

OFFICIAL PROCEEDINGS
OF THE
MEETINGS
OF THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
OF
PORTAGE COUNTY, WISCONSIN

January 20, 1987
March 17, 1987
April 21, 1987
May 19, 1987
June 16, 1987
July 21, 1987
August 18, 1987
September 22, 1987
October 20, 1987
November 17, 1987
December 15, 1987

ROBERT J. STEINKE.....Chairman
O. PHILIP IDSVOOG.....1st Vice-Chairman
FRANK BARBERS, SR.....2nd Vice-Chairman
ROGER WRYCZA.....County Clerk

OFFICIAL PROCEEDINGS
of the
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
of
PORTAGE COUNTY, WISCONSIN

January 20, 1987

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Robert J. Steinke.
Roll call was taken by the Clerk as follows:

District	1,	David A. Medin
District	2,	Gale L. Kidder
District	3,	Paul A. Borham
District	4,	Cheryl A. Kaczmarek
District	5,	Frank Barbers, Sr.
District	6,	Richard M. Purcell
District	7,	Leo Mancheski
District	8,	David Eckholm
District	9,	Eugene G. Szymkowiak
District	10,	Gordon M. Hanson
District	11,	Ernest V. Wanta
District	12,	Jeffrey K. Murphy
District	13,	James E. Clark
District	14,	Phillip J. Janowski
District	15,	Clifford F. Bembenek
District	16,	John W. Holdridge
District	17,	Kevin W. Shibilski
District	18,	William H. Peterson
District	19,	Margaret M. Schad
District	20,	Robert A. Winblad
District	21,	O. Philip Idsvoog
District	22,	Robert J. Steinke
District	23,	Anthony B. Kiedrowski
District	24,	Frank Dernbach
District	25,	Stuart Clark
District	26,	Carrol Winkler
District	27,	Ernest Leppen
District	28,	Stanley Kirschling
District	29,	Eugene Zdroik
District	30,	Ronald J. Check
District	31,	Leif Erickson
District	32,	John Wierzba, Jr.
District	33,	Clarence Hintz

Roll call taken by Clerk Roger Wrycza revealed (30) present, (2) excused, Supervisors Shibilski and Leppen, and (1) absent Supervisor Idsvoog.

All present saluted the flag.

The invocation was delivered by Supervisor Kidder.

Motion by Supervisor Szymkowiak, second by Supervisor Winblad to approve the minutes of the December 16, 1986 meeting. Motion carried by voice vote.

Correspondence

Thank you letter from Supervisor Ernest Leppen for the floral arrangement that was sent to him during his recent illness.

Motion by Supervisor Janowski, second by Supervisor Kidder to place the correspondence on file. Motion carried by voice vote.

Correspondence regarding the Wisconsin Land Records Committee to be held on January 20, 1987 at 7:30 p.m. was presented.

Correspondence was presented regarding a recent article in the Stevens Point Daily Journal on January 2, 1987 regarding the Housing Authority Board.

Notice of claim by Barbara Young against Portage County.

Motion by Supervisor Barbers, second by Supervisor Kirschling to refer the correspondence to the District Attorney. Motion carried by voice vote.

(Enter Supervisor Idsvoog)

Unlimited Topics

Supervisor Kiedrowski urged the County Board to attend the Wisconsin Land Records Committee to be held this evening.

RESOLUTION NO. 69

RE: ZONING ORDINANCE MAP AMENDMENT, LAZARE PROPERTY

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, Michael and Jill Lazare request to amend the Portage County Zoning Ordinance so part of Section 1, T24N, R8E, Town of Hull, an area of approximately 2.13 acres be changed from Conservancy District to Low Density Residence District; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee held a public hearing on the proposed amendment in Conference Room "C" of the Lincoln Center on December 17, 1986 after due notices were published in the Stevens Point Journal. At said hearing all those who wished to be heard were heard and pertinent facts constituting the testimony were recorded; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee, after carefully considering the testimony, including the attached fact sheet at the December 17, 1986 meeting, has placed a recommendation with the County Board that the request be approved; and

WHEREAS, the proposed amendment, with the information furnished in the attached report and fact sheet, has been given due consideration by the County Board.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors does ordain as follows: the south 490 feet, lying east of C.T.H. "Y" and 90 feet west of the Plover River, of the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 1, T24N, R8E, an area of approximately 2.13 acres is hereby changed from Conservancy District to Low Density Residence District.

Dated this 20th day of January, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE
O. Philip Idsvoog, Chairman
Clifford F. Bembenek

Richard M. Purcell
Ronald J. Check
Leif E. Erickson

Motion by Supervisor Idsvoog, second by Supervisor Erickson for the adoption.

Roll call vote revealed (30) ayes, (2) excused, Supervisors Shibilski and Leppen, and (1) abstention, Supervisor Kiedrowski. Resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 70
RE: TEXT AMENDMENTS TO THE PORTAGE COUNTY
ZONING AND SUBDIVISION ORDINANCES

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee requests to amend the Portage County Zoning and Subdivision Ordinances; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee held a public hearing on the proposed text amendments in Conference Room "C" of the Lincoln Center, Stevens Point, Wisconsin, on December 17, 1986 after due notices of such public hearing were published in the Stevens Point Journal (see attached notice). At said hearing all those who wished to be heard were heard and pertinent facts constituting the testimony were recorded; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee, after carefully considering the testimony at the December 17, 1986 meeting, has placed a recommendation with the County Board that the request be approved with modifications; and

WHEREAS, the proposed amendments, with the furnished information, has been given due consideration by the County Board.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the County Board of Supervisors of Portage County does ordain as follows: the Portage County Zoning and Subdivision Ordinances shall be amended as follows:

Repeal: 6.2.3(B)(1) and replace with: "Single Family homes for year-round seasonal use, not including mobile homes."

Add: 6.2.3(C)(4) "Mobile homes."

Add: 6.3.2(B)(14) "The raising and harvesting of cranberries, wild rice, and other specialty crops requiring soil conditions not associated with normal field crops, including the associated buildings necessary for processing and storage of inventory and machinery."

Add: 6.3.2(E) "Exemptions. Activities which require special exception approval in 6.3.2 of this ordinance shall be exempt from the special exception public hearing requirements if a state or federal permit and/or approval is required, or if the plans are prepared by the Soil Conservation Service (SCS) or Land Conservation Department (LCD) or prepared by an engineer and approved by the SCS and/or LCD staff."

Add: To 6.3.5(D)(2) "For this district only, road rights-of-way may be included in the lot area calculation. In lieu of the required minimum acreage (including contiguous land under same ownership), exceptions will be permitted in order to allow one remnant lot of substandard size per quarter-quarter section or government lot; however, in no case shall such remnant lot be created which is less than 7.0 acres in size, including road rights-of-way."

Add: 6.4.3(B)(21) "Off-premise signs not to exceed 300 square feet."

Add: 6.4.3(C)(8) "Race tracks."

Add: 6.4.3(C)(9) "Off-premise signs greater than 300 square feet."

Repeal: 6.5.1(B)(1) through (17).

Add: 6.5.1(B)(1), "All uses in this district not listed in (A) above shall be a special exception."

Repeal: 6.7.1(A)(10)(c) and replace with: "Provided also that when a building is located 20 feet of the right-of-way line, and signs are permitted in connection with such building, such signs may be placed on or behind the setback line established by such building for the lot on which it is located, or may be attached to such building but may not in any case project over the right-of-way line of the highway; and"

Repeal: 6.7.1(D)(6)(9) and replace with: "Fences, walls, and hedges, provided such fence, wall, or hedge is not an obstruction to pedestrians and/or motorists."

Repeal: 6.7.2(C)(1) and replace with: "A fee determined by the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee shall be paid to the Zoning Administrator. A schedule of such fees shall be made available by the Portage County Zoning Department and the Portage County Clerk."

Repeal: 6.6 and replace with existing 6.7 which shall then be renumbered to 6.6 and entitled "General Provisions and Exceptions."

Add: 7.10 "Fees. A fee determined by the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee shall be paid to the Zoning Administrator when a Zoning Permit is issued. A schedule of such fees shall be made available by the Portage County Zoning Department and the Portage County Clerk. All such fees collected by the Zoning Administrator shall be remitted to the County Treasurer."

Dated this 20th day of January, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE
O. Philip Idsvoog, Chairman
Clifford F. Bembenek

Richard M. Purcell
Ronald J. Check
Leif E. Erickson

Motion by Supervisor Idsvoog, second by Supervisor Purcell for the adoption.

Roll call vote revealed (31) ayes, (2) excused, Supervisors Shibilski and Leppen. Resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 71
RE: DESIGNATING THE SECRETARY OF TRANSPORTATION
AS ITS AGENT FOR AIP 3-55-0052-07, CWA

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, the said County of Portage, hereinafter referred to as the Sponsor, being a municipal Body Corporate of the State of Wisconsin, authorized

by law (Sec. 114.11, Wis. Stats.) to acquire, establish, construct, own, control, lease, equip, improve, maintain, and operate an airport, and

WHEREAS, the Sponsor desired to continue to develop the Central Wisconsin Airport, and

WHEREAS, the Sponsor is required by law (Sec. 114.32 (5), Wis. Stat.) to designate the Secretary as its agent to accept, receive, receipt for and disburse any funds granted by the United States under the federal Airport and Airway Development Act, and is authorized by law to designate the Secretary as its agent for other purposes, and

WHEREAS, it is recognized that the items listed in the accompanying Agency Agreement will be funded individually or collectively as funds are available, with specific project costs to be approved as work is authorized, the proportionate cost of the airport development projects described which are to be paid to the Secretary of the Wisconsin Department of Transportation, hereinafter referred to as the Secretary, to be held in trust for the purposes of the project; any unneeded and unspent balance after the project is completed is to be returned to the Sponsor by the Secretary; the Sponsor will make available any additional monies that may be found necessary, upon request of the Secretary, to complete the project as described; the Secretary shall have the right to suspend or discontinue the project at any time additional monies are found to be necessary by the Secretary, and the Sponsor does not provide the same.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Sponsor on the 20th day of January, 1987, that the Secretary is hereby designated as its agent and is requested to agree to accept, receive, receipt for and disburse any funds granted by the United States under the federal Airport Improvement program as required by Wisconsin Statute (Sec. 114.32 (5)), and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Central Wisconsin Airport Board Chairman and Vice-Chairman are authorized to sign and execute the Agency Agreement authorized by this Resolution.

Dated this 20th day of January, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
AIRPORT BOARD
Stuart Clark
Gordon Hanson
Guenther Horn

Motion by Supervisor Stuart Clark, second by Supervisor Hanson for the adoption.

Roll call vote revealed (31) ayes, (2) excused, Supervisors Shibilski and Leppen. Resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 72
RE: WAIVING THE PROVISIONS OF ORDINANCE NUMBER 146
DATED JUNE 18, 1985 AND PERMITTING THE FORMER
OWNER, WILLIAM S. TRZEBIATOWSKI, TO RE-PURCHASE
CERTAIN TAX-DEEDED LANDS

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, Ordinance Number 146, dated June 18, 1985, established procedures
for former owners to re-purchase tax-deeded lands, and

WHEREAS, extraordinary circumstances played a major role in the above
procedures not being followed, and

WHEREAS, this ordinance would prevent a major financial burden from being
placed on the former owner in question, and

WHEREAS, the former owner has deposited the sum of \$7,600.05 with Portage
County to cover all delinquent taxes, accumulated interest and all other costs
associated with the tax deed.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Portage County Board of Supervisors
that the waiver is hereby approved and the former owner may re-purchase the
property in question for the deposited sum of \$7,600.05.

Dated this 20th day of Janaury, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
SPACE AND PROPERTIES COMMITTEE
James Clark, Chairman
Frank Dernbach
Ernest Wanta
Cheryl Kaczmarek
David Medin

FINANCE COMMITTEE
Margaret Schad, Chairperson
Kevin Shibilski
Eugene Zdroik
Paul Borham
O. Philip Idsvooog

Motion by Supervisor James Clark, second by Supervisor Schad for the
adoption.

Roll call vote revealed (31) ayes, (2) excused, Supervisors Shibilski and
Leppen. Resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 73
RE: CONTINGENCY FUND TRANSFER FOR CONSOLIDATED
PAPERS, INC. OVER-PAYMENT OF COUNTY TAXES
FOR 1983 & 1984

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, Consolidated Papers, Inc. had their full value assessment lowered
by the Wisconsin State Board of Assessors for the years of 1983 and 1984, and

WHEREAS, Portage County's share of the over-payment amounted to \$40,855 for
the two years in question, and

WHEREAS, interest due on the over-payment was determined at a lesser amount
than actually due resulting in only \$38,139 being apportioned in 1987 for the

over-payment.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Portage County Board of Supervisors that \$2,716 be made available from the contingency fund for the above stated under-apportionment.

Dated this 20th day of January, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
FINANCE COMMITTEE

Margaret Schad, Chairperson
Paul Borham
Eugene Zdroik

Kevin Shibilski
O. Philip Idsvoog

Motion by Supervisor Schad, second by Supervisor Borham for the adoption. Supervisor Schad explained that the balance of the contingency fund after the approval of this resolution will be \$37,515.00.

Roll call vote revealed (31) ayes, (2) excused, Supervisors Shibilski and Leppen. Resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 74
RE: SUPPORTING THE CONTINUATION OF THE
COMMUNITY OPTIONS PROGRAM

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, the State of Wisconsin made a committment to its frail and disabled citizens to fund and promote community alternatives to institutions; and

WHEREAS, the vast majority of frail and disabled citizens prefer to live in their own homes rather than institutions; and

WHEREAS, the budget for the Community Options Program (COP) is only 4% of the budget for nursing homes; and

WHEREAS, studies have found that community care costs 38% less than institutional care for a comparable population; and

WHEREAS, most counties have substantial waiting-lists in their COP programs; and

WHEREAS, COP sustained a \$3.6 million cut in the last biennium at a time when demand for the program was rising rapidly; and

WHEREAS, COP has been able to serve the most severely disabled persons in the community in a safe, reliable fashion; and

WHEREAS, the evaluation of COP showed that it does not supplant but instead increases the amount of unpaid care giving by family, neighbors, and friends; and

WHEREAS, COP helps families stay together, including families caring for their disabled children; and

WHEREAS, the State has led counties to believe it would continue its commitment to COP; and

WHEREAS, under the laws of the State every disabled person has the right to receive services in the least restrictive setting consistent with his or her needs; and

WHEREAS, COP has demonstrated that "better can cost less":

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the legislature of the State of Wisconsin be urged to appropriate funds in the 1987-89 biennial budget sufficient for the full implementation of the Community Options Program.

Dated this 20th day of January, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
PORTAGE COUNTY COMMISSION ON AGING
Anthony Kiedrowski, Chairman
COMMUNITY HUMAN SERVICES BOARD
Richard Purcell

LONG TERM CARE PLANNING COMMITTEE
Gerald Rous, Chairman
LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE
Kevin Shibilski

Motion by Supervisor Kiedrowski, second by Supervisor Purcell for the adoption.

Roll call vote revealed (31) ayes, (2) excused, Supervisors Shibilski and Leppen. Resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 75
RE: DOG CLAIMS

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WE, the members of your committee on dog claims have met and pursuant to Section 174.11 of the Wisconsin Statutes have allowed the following claims:

DATE	NAME	DESCRIPTION	ASKED	ALLOWED
12/5/86	Ronald Borski 8366 Hwy. B Amherst, WI 54406 T25N-R9E-S23 Town of Stockton	8 Large Breeding Rabbits @ \$7.25/each	\$58.00	\$58.00

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the above claims be paid.

Dated this 20th day of January, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
AGRICULTURE & EXTENSION COMMITTEE
John Wierzba, Chairman
Robert Winblad
Carroll Winkler

Ernest Leppen
Stanley Kirschling

Motion by Supervisor Wierzba, second by Supervisor Winblad for the adoption.

Roll call vote revealed (31) ayes, (2) excused, Supervisors Shibilski and Leppen. Resolution adopted.

OFFICIAL PROCEEDINGS
of the
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
of
PORTAGE COUNTY, WISCONSIN

March 17, 1987

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Robert J. Steinke.
Roll call was taken by the Clerk as follows.

District 1,	David A. Medin	
District 2,	Gale L. Kidder	
District 3,	Paul A. Borham	
District 4,	Cheryl A. Kaczmarek	
District 5,	Frank Barbers, Sr.	
District 6,	Richard M. Purcell	
District 7,	Leo Mancheski	District 8, David Eckholm
District 9,	Eugene G. Szymkowiak	
District 10,	Gordon M. Hanson	
District 11,	Ernest V. Wanta	
District 12,	Jeffrey K. Murphy	
District 13,	James E. Clark	
District 14,	Phillip J. Janowski	
District 15,	Clifford F. Bembenek	
District 16,	John W. Holdridge	
District 17,	Kevin W. Shibilski	
District 18,	William H. Peterson	
District 19,	Margaret M. Schad	
District 20,	Robert A. Winblad	
District 21,	O. Philip Idsvoog	
District 22,	Robert J. Steinke	
District 23,	Anthony B. Kiedrowski	
District 24,	Frank Dernbach	
District 25,	Stuart Clark	
District 26,	Carrol Winkler	
District 27,	Ernest Leppen	
District 28,	Stanley Kirschling	
District 29,	Eugene Zdroik	
District 30,	Ronald J. Check	
District 31,	Leif Erickson	
District 32,	John Wierzba, Jr.	
District 33,	Clarence Hintz	

Roll call taken by Clerk Roger Wrycza revealed (31) ayes, (1) excused,
Supervisor Szymkowiak and (1) absent, Supervisor Wanta.

All present saluted the flag.

The invocation was delivered by Supervisor Purcell.

Motion by Supervisor Schad, second by Supervisor Erickson to approve the
minutes of the January 20, 1987 meeting. Motion carried by voice vote.

Correspondence

The CAP Semi-Annual Update and the 1987-88 State Budget was given to each of the Supervisors.

Correspondence from the District Attorney was presented and distributed regarding a recent interpretation of the Open Meeting Law.

Roger Wrycza, County Clerk, stated that copies of the 1985 and 1986 County Board Proceedings are available if anyone was interested.

(Enter Supervisor Wanta)

Committee Referrals

Motion by Supervisor Barbers, second by Supervisor Bembenek to refer Notice of Claim-David & Rita Jersak vs Portage County and Town of Buena Vista to the District Attorney's office. Motion carried by voice vote.

Motion by Supervisor Wierzba, second by Supervisor Murphy to refer Summons and Complaint-Margaret E. Manga vs Portage County and various other defendants to the District Attorney's office. Motion carried by voice vote.

Motion by Supervisor Janowski, second by Supervisor Murphy to refer Summons and Complaint-Central Federal Savings and Loan vs Portage County, etc. to the District Attorney's office. Motion carried by voice vote.

Appointments

Motion by Supervisor Borham, second by Supervisor Bembenek to appoint Glenn Zipper and Fran Eskritt to the Community Options Program Long Term Care Planning Committee. Motion carried by voice vote.

Exchange of Committee Assignments

Motion by Supervisor Wanta, second by Supervisor Wierzba to approve the appointments of James Clark from the Community Human Services Board to the Judicial/General Government Committee and Cheryl Kaczmarek from Judicial/General Government Committee to the Community Human Services Board effective 5-1-87. Motion carried by voice vote.

(Enter Supervisor Szymkowiak)

Annual Reports

Motion by Supervisor Janowski, second by Supervisor Barbers to approve the Parks Department and Ambulance Service Annual Report. Motion carried by voice vote.

Unlimited Topics

Supervisor Zdroik asked the Highway Commissioner to give a report regarding the Highway operations in 1987.

Michael Buss, County Highway Commissioner, told the Board that he is attempting to avoid layoffs and still meet the budget restraints imposed by the Board. Three employees have retired this year and have not been replaced. Employees are working a strict 40-hour week, sending them home early in the week when they reach 40 hours. Due to less snow removal this year, the Wisconsin Department of Transportation has asked counties to work on state roads clearing brush which has saved monies for the County. Approximately 15 employees are placed on the State payroll each day to work on State projects now. Mr. Buss told the Committee that due to the lack of snow this winter, the snow removal account will still have a deficit of about \$15,000 because of a carryover from previous years. The Highway Department has not hired summer employees, saving about \$30,000. The cleaning service at the Highway Facility in Plover was discontinued saving about \$10,000 and a shorter work week will probably be instituted on April 1, 1987.

Supervisor Murphy questioned a trip attended by Michael Buss, Highway Commissioner, in February 1987. This was to attend the 1987 Machinery Expo that was paid for by John Deere Co. Supervisor Murphy stated that it was his understanding that accepting the trip would be illegal if Buss were a State employee.

Supervisor Idsvoog questioned the County policy regarding the acceptance of gifts from vendors doing business with the County.

Supervisor Murphy suggested that it might be best for the County to preclude Brooks Tractor and John Deere from doing business with the County for three years or so because of the trip.

Paul Jadin, County Personnel Manager, stated that the County has a policy against accepting gifts and gratuities from vendors doing business with the County, and that he wasn't aware of the trip.

Chairman Steinke asked the Personnel Department to look into the matter.

Chairman Steinke told the Board that he will have information regarding the new jail facility next week. Sentry officials have promised to have an answer by next Thursday and asked the Board to forgo any lengthy discussion until we have that proposal.

County Clerk Roger Wrycza read a letter dated 3-12-87 addressed to Chairman Robert Steinke from Sheriff Tom Wanta expressing his concerns and needs for the new jail.

Supervisor Barbers expressed the need for the new jail and invited the Board Members to tour the jail and see the situation first-hand.

ORDINANCE NO. 77
RE: ZONING ORDINANCE MAP AMENDMENT
ADAMSKI PROPERTY

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, Mark and L. Adamski request to amend the Portage County Zoning Ordinance so part of Section 19, T23N, R9E, Town of Stockton, an area of 2 acres be changed from Single Family Residence District and Agricultural District to Low Density Residence District; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee held a public hearing on the proposed amendment in the County Conference Room of the County-City Building on February 11, 1987 after due notices were published in the Stevens Point Journal. At said hearing all those who wished to be heard were heard and pertinent facts constituting the testimony were recorded; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee, after carefully considering the testimony, including the attached fact sheet at the February 11, 1987 meeting, has placed a recommendation with the County Board that the request be approved; and

WHEREAS, the proposed amendment, with the information furnished in the attached report and fact sheet, has been given due consideration by the County Board.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors does ordain as follows: Lot 1 of CSM No. 3433-12-91 being part of Government Lot 26, Section 19, T23N, R9E, Town of Stockton, an area of 2 acres is hereby changed from Single Family Residence District and Agricultural District to Low Density Residence District.

Dated this 17th day of March, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE
O. Philip Idsvoog, Chairman
Clifford F. Bembenek

Richard M. Purcell
Ronald J. Check
Leif E. Erickson

Motion by Supervisor Idsvoog, second by Supervisor Purcell for the adoption.

Roll call vote revealed (32) ayes, (1) excused, Supervisor Holdridge. Ordinance adopted.

(Enter Supervisor Holdridge)

ORDINANCE NO. 78
RE: ZONING ORDINANCE MAP AMENDMENT
SIMKOWSKI PROPERTY

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, Grace and Ernest Simkowski request to amend the Portage County Zoning Ordinance so part of Section 21, T23N, R7E, Town of Linwood, an area of approximately 39.08 acres be changed from Recreational District to Conservancy District; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee held a public hearing on the proposed amendment in the County Conference Room of the County-City Building on February 25, 1987 after due notices were published in the Stevens Point Journal. At said hearing all those who wished to be heard were heard and pertinent facts constituting the testimony were recorded; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee, after carefully considering the testimony, including the attached fact sheet at the February 25, 1987 meeting, has placed a recommendation with the County Board that the request be approved; and

WHEREAS, the proposed amendment, with the information furnished in the attached report and fact sheet, has been given due consideration by the County Board.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors does ordain as follows: the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 21, T23N, R7E, Town of Linwood, an area of 39.08 acres, is hereby changed from Recreational District to Conservancy District.

Dated this 17th day of March, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE
O. Philip Idsvoog, Chairman
Clifford F. Bembenek

Richard M. Purcell
Ronald J. Check
Leif E. Erickson

Motion by Supervisor Idsvoog, second by Supervisor Bembenek for the adoption.

Roll call vote revealed (33) ayes. Ordinance adopted.

ORDINANCE NO. 79
RE: ZONING ORDINANCE MAP AMENDMENT
BIADASZ PROPERTY

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, Norman and M. Biadasz request to amend the Portage County Zoning Ordinance so part of Section 2, T22N, R9E, Town of Buena Vista, an area of 28.03 acres be changed from Agricultural District to A-1, Exclusive Agricultural District; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee held a public hearing on the proposed amendment in the County Conference Room of the County-City Building on January 28, 1987 after due notices were published in the Stevens Point Journal. At said hearing all those who wished to be heard were heard and pertinent facts constituting the testimony were recorded; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee, after carefully considering the testimony, including the attached fact sheet at the January 28, 1987 meeting, has placed a recommendation with the County Board that the request be approved; and

WHEREAS, the proposed amendment, with the information furnished in the attached report and fact sheet, has been given due consideration by the County Board.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors does ordain as follows: that part of the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 2, T22N, R9E, Town of Buena Vista, lying north of County Trunk Highway D, being described as parcel no. 010-22-0902-05.01, is hereby changed from Agricultural District to A-1, Exclusive Agricultural District.

Dated this 17th day of March, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE
O. Philip Idsvoog, Chairman
Clifford F. Bembenek

Richard M. Purcell
Ronald J. Check
Leif E. Erickson

Motion by Supervisor Idsvoog, second by Supervisor Check for the adoption. Roll call vote revealed (33) ayes. Ordinance adopted.

ORDINANCE NO. 80
RE: TEXT AMENDMENTS TO THE PORTAGE COUNTY
FLOODPLAIN AND SHORELAND ZONING ORDINANCES

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee requests to amend the Portage County Floodplain and Shoreland Zoning Ordinances; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee held a public hearing on the proposed amendments in the County Conference Room of the County-City Building, Stevens Point, Wisconsin, on February 25, 1987 after due notices of such public hearing were published in the Stevens Point Journal (see attached notice). At said hearing all those who wished to be heard were heard and pertinent facts constituting the testimony were recorded; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee, after carefully considering the testimony at the February 25, 1987 meeting, has placed a recommendation with the County Board that the request be approved with modifications; and

WHEREAS, the proposed amendments, with the furnished information, has been given due consideration by the County Board.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the County Board of Supervisors of Portage County does ordain as follows: the Portage County Floodplain and Shoreland Zoning Ordinances shall be amended as follows:

Add: 8.1.3 (H): "Prevent increases in regional flood heights that could increase flood damage and may result in conflicts or litigation between property owners."

Delete: 8.2.2 and replace with:

"OFFICIAL MAP

The boundary of the floodplain districts, including the floodway, floodfringe, and other floodplain districts, are those areas designated as floodplains or A-Zones on the following maps: Orthophoto-Topographic mapping prepared by Aero-Metric Engineering, Inc., Flood Insurance Rate Maps and Floodway Maps.

These maps dated June 1, 1983 (FIRM and Floodway), and May 6 and 12, 1975 (Orthophoto-Topographic) are the official floodplain zoning maps and have been approved by the Department of Natural Resources and the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), and are on file in the office of the County Clerk. If more than one map is referenced, the regional flood profiles govern boundary discrepancies according to 8.2.4."

Delete: 8.3.1 (A) through (C) and replace with 8.3.1 (A) through (C) as follows:

"(A) No development, except as provided in Paragraph (B) below, shall be allowed in floodplain areas which will:

(1) Cause an obstruction to flow, defined in Section 8.12.1 as any development which physically blocks the conveyance or floodwaters by itself or in conjunction with future similar development causing an increase in regional flood height; or

- (2) Cause an increase in regional flood height due to floodplain storage area lost, which is equal to or exceeding 0.01 foot;
- (B) Obstructions or increases equal to or greater than 0.01 foot may only be permitted if amendments are made to this ordinance, the official floodplain zoning maps, including floodway lines and water surface profiles, in accordance with Section 8.10, and only if the total cumulative effect of the proposed development will not increase the height of the regional flood more than 1.0 foot for the affected hydraulic reach of the stream.
- (C) The Zoning Administrator shall deny permits where it is determined the proposed development will cause an obstruction to flow or increase in regional flood height of 0.01 foot or greater."

Delete: 8.3.2 and replace with:

"MANUFACTURED AND MOBILE HOMES"

The following apply to all manufactured and mobile homes and/or manufactured or mobile home parks located in the floodplain:

- (A) Owners or operators of all manufactured or mobile home parks and subdivisions located in the regional floodplain shall provide for adequate surface drainage to minimize flood damage.
- (B) All new, replacement and substantially improved manufactured or mobile homes to be placed or improved on a site located in the regional floodplain shall:
 - (1) Be elevated to the flood protection elevation;
 - (2) Meet the residential development standards for the floodfringe in Section 8.5.4 (B); and
 - (3) Be anchored so they do not float, collapse, or move laterally during a flood."

Change: In 8.3.3 "\$75,000" to "\$125,000".

Add: To 8.7.1 "(E) If any nonconforming structure or any structure with a nonconforming use is destroyed or is so badly damaged that it cannot be practically restored, it cannot be replaced, reconstructed or rebuilt unless the use and the structure meet the requirements of this ordinance. For the purpose of this Subsection, restoration is deemed impractical where the total cost of such restoration would exceed 50 percent of the present equalized assessed value of the structure."

Change: 8.7.3 (C) to 8.7.3 (D) and 8.7.3 (D) to 8.7.3 (E) and add 8.7.3 (C) as follows:

"If neither the provisions of Paragraph (A) or (B) above can be met, an addition to an existing room in a nonconforming building or a building with a nonconforming use may be allowed in the floodfringe on a one-time basis, if the addition:

- (1) Meets all other regulations and will be granted by permit or variance;
- (2) Does not exceed 60 square feet in area; and
- (3) In combination with other previous modifications or additions to the building, does not exceed 50 percent of the present equalized assessed value of the building."

Add: 8.9.4 "PUBLIC INFORMATION

- (A) Where useful, marks on bridges or buildings or other markers may be set to show the depth of inundation during the regional flood at appropriate locations within the floodplain.
- (B) All available information in the form of maps, engineering data and regulations shall be readily available and should be widely distributed.
- (C) All legal descriptions of property in the floodplain should include information relative to the floodplain zoning classification when such property is transferred."

Add: To 8.12.1 "OR MANUFACTURED HOME" to the definition of "MOBILE HOME".

Add: To 8.12.1, the following definition: "OBSTRUCTION TO FLOW - Any development which physically blocks the conveyance of floodwaters such that this development by itself or in conjunction with any future similar development will cause an increase in regional flood height."

Replace: "H 63 and H 65" with "ILHR 83 and ILHR 85" respectively anywhere in the ordinance that they may appear.

Replace: ".10 (3cm) feet" with ".01 feet" anywhere in the ordinance that it may appear.

Add: To 8A.1.1 "59.99".

Delete: From 8A.2.52 "except that uses in shoreland-wetland districts shall be uniform."

Delete: From 8A.3.34 "pursuant to the procedures set forth in Section 8A.9".

Delete: 8A.4.22 and replace with 8A.4.22 as follows:

"BOATHOUSES

- (A) Boathouses shall be designed and constructed solely for the storage of boats and related equipment and shall not be used for human habitation.
- (B) Boathouses shall not be placed waterward beyond the ordinary high water mark.
- (C) One boathouse is permitted on a lot as an accessory structure.

(D) Boathouses shall not be constructed where the existing slope is more than 20 percent.

(E) Boathouses shall be set back a minimum of ten feet from the ordinary high water mark and shall be constructed in conformity with local floodplain zoning standards.

(F) Boathouses shall not exceed one story."

Delete: From 8A.7.12 "20.121" and replace with "30.121".

Delete: From 8A.8.3 "8A.5 and" and replace with "8A.3 through".

Delete: 8A.8.33 (C) (2) and replace with 8A.8.33 (C) (2) as follows: "Only limited filling and excavating necessary for the construction of a public boat ramp and public boat landing access roads is allowed only where such construction meets the criteria under Section 8A.8.33 (B) (5);"

Delete: From 8A.8.53 "59.97(6)" and replace with "59.971(6)".

Delete: From 8A.9.91(C), in the definition of "Bulkhead line" the word "complete".

Dated this 17th day of March, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE
O. Philip Idsvoog, Chairman
Clifford F. Bembenek

Richard M. Purcell
Ronald J. Check
Leif E. Erickson

Motion by Supervisor Idsvoog, second by Supervisor Erickson for the adoption.

Supervisor Kiedrowski voiced objections to the amendments, which bring the County into compliance with State and Federal regulations. He stated that even by using the most sophisticated equipment, who can tell anyone that something is going to change by one-eighth of an inch in 100 years.

Supervisor Murphy added that with the tools that you work with, you can't obtain that accuracy.

Steve Brazzale, County Zoning Administrator, agreed with Supervisors Kiedrowski and Murphy, and added that the changes, which are mandated, will cost additional dollars to comply with.

Roll call vote revealed (26) ayes, (7) nays, Supervisors Janowski, Kiedrowski, Murphy, Kirschling, Zdroik, Hanson and Wierzba. Ordinance adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 81

RE: PETITIONING FOR AIRPORT IMPROVEMENT AND
DESIGNATING THE SECRETARY OF TRANSPORTATION AS
ITS AGENT FOR CENTRAL WISCONSIN AIRPORT

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, the said Portage County, through its' Airport Board, hereinafter referred to as the Sponsor, being a municipal Body Corporate of the State of Wisconsin, is authorized by law (Sec. 114.11, Wis. Stats.) to acquire, establish, construct, own, control, lease, equip, improve, maintain, and operate an airport, and

WHEREAS, the Sponsor desires to develop or improve the Central Wisconsin Regional Airport:

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Sponsor that a petition for Federal and (or) State Aid in the following form is hereby approved, to wit:

"PETITION FOR AIRPORT PROJECT"

TO THE SECRETARY OF TRANSPORTATION:

The petitioner, desiring to sponsor an airport development project with Federal and State Aid, or in some cases State Aid only, in accordance with the applicable State and Federal laws, respectfully represents and states:

- A. That the airport project which your petitioner desires to sponsor is necessary for the following reasons: To accommodate increased aeronautical activity and provide a safe airport environment for aircraft operations and the general public.
- B. That the airport which it is desired to develop should generally conform to the requirements for a scheduled transport type airport as defined by the Federal Aviation Administration.
- C. That the location deemed most suitable is generally described as the Central Wisconsin Regional Airport, Mosinee, WI.
- D. The character, extent and kind of improvements desired under the project are as follows:
 1. Widen and extend taxiways to accommodate critical aircraft serving CWA.
 2. Modify terminal building as necessary to adequately serve the user public and tenants using the facility. This element should include the planning, engineering and construction necessary.
 3. Replace inadequate or uneconomical snow removal equipment.
 4. Modify the airport's snow removal equipment storage building as necessary to adequately house equipment.
 5. Modify the airport's crash/fire/rescue/maintenance facility as necessary to properly house, service and dispatch CFR and maintenance equipment.
 6. Reconstruct unserviceable airfield pavements.
 7. Modify roads and parking lots to meet the needs of users of the airport.
 8. Improve storm drainage system as necessary to remove storm water run off from all areas of the airport.
 9. Modify and/or install such utilities as may be necessary to continue the orderly development of Central Wisconsin Airport.

10. Modify and/or install such lighting as may be necessary to continue the orderly development of the airport.

WHEREAS, a public hearing was held prior to the adoption of this petition in accordance with Chapter 114.32(2) as amended, and a transcript of the hearing is transmitted with this petition, and

WHEREAS, it is recognized that the improvements petitioned for as listed with be funded individually or collectively as funds are available, with specific project costs to be approved as work is authorized, the proportionate cost of the airport development projects described above which are to be paid by the Sponsor to the Secretary of the Wisconsin Department of Transportation (hereinafter referred to as the Secretary) to be held in trust for the purposes of the project; any unneeded and unspent balance after the project is completed is to be returned to the Sponsor by the Secretary; the Sponsor will make available any additional monies that may be found necessary upon request of the Secretary, to complete the project as described above; the Secretary shall have the right to suspend or discontinue the project at any time additional monies are found to be necessary by the Secretary, and the Sponsor does not provide the same; and

WHEREAS, the Sponsor is required bylaw (Sec. 114.32(5), Wis. Stats.) to designate the Secretary as its agent to accept, receive, receipt for and disburse any funds granted by the United States under the federal Airport and Airway Improvement Act, and is authorized by law to designate the Secretary as its agent for other purposes,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Sponsor that the Secretary is hereby designated as its agent and is requested to agree to act as such in all matters having to do with accepting, receiving and disbursing of all monies that may become available for the Central Wisconsin Airport Projects and in making all necessary arrangements for its execution, insofar as dealing with the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration, that may be involved with the submission of the project requests, the submission of the Project Applications, the acceptance of the Grant Agreements and the arranging for executing their terms, payments of the Federal share of the costs of the projects when due, and in the final acceptance of the completed projects; reserving, however, to the Sponsor the right to execute the Sponsor's Assurance Agreement, to prepare the Airport Layout Plan, Zoning Maps, Project Construction Plans and Specifications, Estimates of Cost, letting of contracts, supervision of work, of construction and development, the preparation of estimates for partial payments due during the course of the work and the preparation of estimates for final payments on completion of the project all subject to approval by the Secretary.

The Sponsor may employ and pay direct for all engineering services as are necessary in the performance of the functions hereinabove enumerated. It is understood that any direct expenditures for engineering services and other incidental costs for purposes enumerated in this paragraph which are eligible under and allocable to these projects may be paid for in the first instance by the Sponsor and thereafter reported in the summary of project costs submitted by the Sponsor to the Secretary in order that said Sponsor will receive proper credit for and reimbursement of monies so advanced. It is further understood that all estimates for partial or final payments shall be reviewed by the Secretary upon estimates submitted and approved by the Sponsor's Airport Manager and upon approval by the Secretary shall thereafter be paid out of the funds deposited with the State Treasurer to the credit of said funds deposited with the State Treasurer to the credit of said projects, including the reimbursement

of monies due said Sponsor for monies advanced for payment of any portion of the United States of America's share of the projects' costs.

AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Chairman and Vice-Chairman of the Central Wisconsin Airport Board be authorized to execute the Agency Agreement authorized by this Resolution.

Dated this 17th day of March, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
AIRPORT COMMITTEE
Stuart Clark
Gordon Hanson
Guenther Horn

Motion by Supervisor Stuart Clark, second by Supervisor Hanson for the adoption.

Roll call vote revealed (33) ayes. Resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 82
RE: FUNDING AUTHORIZATION OF CAPITAL PROJECTS

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

WHEREAS, the following Capital Projects were submitted by departments for consideration during the 1987 budget process:

<u>DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>PROJECT</u>	<u>COST</u>
Parks Lake	Emily Shower	\$25,000-\$35,000
Courts		
District Atty	Corts Computer	\$40,000
Sheriff	System	

WHEREAS, the Capital Improvement Committee reviewed the requests and forwarded them to the Finance Committee for consideration, subject to budget guideline limitations; and

WHEREAS, the above request failed to survive the 1987 Budget Reduction Plan recommended by the Finance Committee and approved by the County Board; and

WHEREAS, the governing committees of the involved departments have requested that 1986 budget surplus funds be carried over and be made available for financing the projects.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Portage County Board of Supervisors approve the Lake Emily Shower Project and authorize a carryover of 1986 budget surplus funds in an amount of \$10,000 to provide funding for the 1987 construction phase of the project.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Portage County Board of Supervisors approve the segregation of \$35,000 of 1986 surplus funds from the Courts and District Attorney Departments into a Corts Computer System non-lapsing account with the understanding that final authorization of the County Board is required to disburse funds from this account.

Dated March 17, 1987.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,
FINANCE COMMITTEE

Margaret Schad, Chairperson
Kevin Shibilski
O. Philip Idsvoog

Eugene Zdroik
Paul Borham

Motion by Supervisor Schad, second by Supervisor Shibilski for the adoption.

Roll call vote revealed (33) ayes. Resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 83

RE: FUNDING LAW ENFORCEMENT COMMUNICATION PROJECT

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

WHEREAS, Portage County Law Enforcement Department has been experiencing communication problems "dead spots" particularly in the southeastern portion of the county; and

WHEREAS, the problem appears to be escalating as surrounding communication systems broadcast on powerful high frequency bands; and

WHEREAS, the Law Enforcement Committee has studied the proposal submitted by Motorola, Inc. to correct the communication problem and recommends that a repeater system be installed and existing communication devices be converted; and

WHEREAS, total cost of the repeater system and related conversion costs are as follows:

One repeater unit	\$ 9,969
Sheriff Department Equipment Conversion:	
22 mobile units	\$3,410
15 walkie talkies/ lunch box units	<u>1,425</u>
	4,835
County Warden Equipment Conversion:	
4 mobile units	\$ 620
3 walkie talkies	<u>285</u>
	905
Ambulance	
3 walkie talkies	285
Coroner	
1 walkie talkie	<u>95</u>
	\$16,089

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Portage County Board of Supervisors that \$16,089 be made available from the following accounts to correct the communication problem:

Unexpended balance of Conservation Wardens 1986 Budget	\$ 900
Contingency Fund - 1986	<u>15,189</u>
	\$16,089

Dated this 17th of March, 1987

Respectfully submitted,
LAW ENFORCEMENT COMMITTEE
Frank Barbers, Sr., Chairman
Clifford Bembenek
Clarence Hintz
Jeffrey Murphy
Carroll Winkler

FINANCE COMMITTEE
Margaret Schad, Chairperson
Paul Borham
O. Philip Idsvoog
Kevin Shibilski
Eugene Zdroik

Motion by Supervisor Barbers, second by Supervisor Schad for the adoption.
Roll call vote revealed (33) ayes. Resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 84
RE: RESOLUTION FOR SNOWMOBILE
TRAIL AIDS 1987-88 SEASON

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, the Portage County Park Commission is interested in maintaining
snowmobile trails in Portage County, and

WHEREAS, financial aid is required to carry out the project,

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors has
budgeted a sum sufficient to complete the snowmobile maintenance projects
submitted to the Department of Natural Resources, and

HEREBY AUTHORIZED Gerald J. Ernst, Portage County Park Superintendent, to
act on behalf of the Portage County Park Commission to: submit an application
to the State of Wisconsin, Department of Natural Resources for any financial
aid that may be available, sign documents, and take necessary action to
undertake, direct and complete the approved projects.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT, the Portage County Park Commission with comply
with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (PL 83,352); will comply with
State and Federal rules for the program; may perform force account work; will
maintain the completed project in an attractive, inviting and safe manner; will
keep the facilities open to the general public during reasonable hours
consistent with the type of facility; and will obtain from the State of
Wisconsin, Department of Natural Resources, approval in writing before any
change is made in use of the project site.

Dated this 17th day of March, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
PORTAGE COUNTY PARK COMMISSION
Gail Kidder, President
David Galecke, Vice-President
Jerry Corgiat
James Krems

William Peterson
Eugene Szymkowiak
Robert Winblad

Motion by Supervisor Kidder, second by Supervisor Peterson for the
adoption.

Roll call vote revealed (33) ayes. Resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 85
RE: HIGHWAY SALT SHED PROGRAM

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

WHEREAS, the following state objectives have been established for the State Salt Storage Program:

- a. To have all state-furnished salt storage in compliance with DNR Regulations Trans 277 before October 1, 1987.
- b. To have state furnished salt storage capacity on site for 100% of an average winter's salt needs.

WHEREAS, the state has segregated a seven million dollar reserve to finance the objectives of the Salt Storage Compliance Program; and

WHEREAS, it would be financially advantageous for the county to construct their own on-site storage needs in conjunction with the state's construction project; and

WHEREAS, preliminary costs of the combined construction project are projected as follows:

	<u>STATE SHARE</u>	<u>CO SHARE</u>	<u>TOTAL COST</u>
Land Acquisition	\$ 18,000	\$ 27,000	\$ 45,000
Bldg/Landscaping	132,000 (etc.)	33,000	165,000
TOTAL:	<u>\$ 150,000</u>	<u>\$ 60,000</u>	<u>\$ 210,000</u>

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that Portage County Board of Supervisors approve the Salt Shed Project and authorize the county's share to an amount not to exceed \$60,000 and that the source of funding the county's share of the project be the unexpended balance of the Highway Construction Facility non-lapsing account; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that when completed the entire ownership of the Salt Shed Facility reverts to Portage County and that an annual contract will be negotiated with the state which requires maintenance cost sharing between Portage County and the State.

Dated this 17th day of March, 1987.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,
HIGHWAY COMMITTEE
Eugene Zdroik, Chairman
Ronald Check
Ernest Leppen
Ernest Wanta
Frank Barbers, Sr.

FINANCE COMMITTEE
Margaret Schad, Chairperson
Eugene Zdroik
Paul Borham
Kevin Shibilski
O. Philip Idsvoog

Motion by Supervisor Zdroik, second by Supervisor Wanta for the adoption. Several supervisors expressed dissatisfaction with the price of the land. Supervisor Hanson suggested that the County buy two acres from Plover and try to negotiate a better price than \$9,000 an acre.

Supervisor Murphy stated that he felt the price was too high.

Michael Buss, Highway Commissioner, stated that Viking reduced its asked price for the parcel from \$60,000 after negotiations. He added that two acres would be needed for the shed, and that cost will be paid entirely by state funds. The Committee proposed purchasing the three additional acres for future expansion.

Supervisor Zdroik stated that the County should have purchased those five acres when it bought the facility site for \$7,200 per acre.

Supervisor Winblad added that he had talked with two real estate agents and they told him the price was too high.

Motion by Supervisor Hanson, second by Supervisor James Clark to refer the resolution back to the Highway Committee for further negotiations.

Roll call vote revealed (19) ayes, (14) naves, Supervisors Shibilski, Leppen, Zdroik, Bembenek, Barbers, Steinke, Winkler, Check, Kirschling, Wanta, Kaczmarek, Janowski, Dernbach, and Borham. Motion carried.

ORDINANCE NO. 86
RE: THEFT OF LIBRARY MATERIAL ORDINANCE

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, the theft of library material is on the increase, and

WHEREAS, an ordinance is necessary to stem the increase of such conduct.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors does ordain that the attached theft of library material is hereby adopted.

1.1.13 THEFT OF LIBRARY MATERIAL

(1) In this section:

(a) "Archives" means a place in which public or institutional records are systematically preserved.

(b) "Library" means any public library; library of an educational, historical or eleemosynary institution, organization or society; archives; or museum.

(c) "Library material" includes any book, plate, picture, photograph, engraving, painting, drawing, map, newspaper, magazine, pamphlet; broadside, manuscript, document, letter, public record, microform, sound recording, audiovisual materials in any format, magnetic or other tapes, electronic data processing records, artifacts or other documentary, written or printed materials, regardless of physical form or characteristics belonging to, on loan to, or otherwise in the custody of a library.

(2) Whoever intentionally takes and carries away, transfers, conceals or retains possession of any library material without the consent of a library official, agent or employee and with intent to deprive the library of possession of the material may be penalized as provided in sub. (5).

(3) The Concealment of library material beyond the last station for borrowing library material in a library is evidence of intent to deprive the library of possession of the material. The discovery of library material which has not been borrowed in accordance with the library's procedures or taken with consent of a library official, agent or employee and which is concealed upon the person or among the belongings of the person or concealed by a person upon the person or among the belongings of another is evidence of intentional concealment on the part of the person so concealing the material.

(4) An official or adult employee or agent of the library who has probable cause for believing that a person has violated this section in his or her presence may detain the person in a reasonable manner for a reasonable length of time to deliver the person to a peace officer, or to the person's parent or guardian in the case of a minor. The detained person shall be permitted to make phone calls, but shall not be interrogated or searched against his or her will before the arrival of a peace officer who may conduct a lawful interrogation of the accused person. Compliance with this subsection entitled the official, agent or employee effecting the detention to the same defense in any action as is available to a peace officer making an arrest in the line of duty.

(5) A forfeiture not to exceed \$200 per occurrence.

Dated this 17th day of March, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
PUBLIC LIBRARY BOARD

Mary Jane Zdroik, President
Larry Sipiorski
Margaret Stern
Susan M. Rutta

Carrol Winkler
William L. Ohm
Helen R. Adams

Motion by Supervisor Winkler, second by Supervisor Kidder for the adoption. Roll call vote revealed (33) ayes. Ordinance adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 87

RE: ENDORSEMENT OF CONCEPT OF CORPORATE
RESPONSIBILITY FOR CHEMICAL CONTAMINATION
CLEAN UP BY THOSE BUSINESSES MARKETING
PRODUCTS CREATING ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARDS

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, Union Carbide has marketed for use the agricultural product, Aldicarb, and

WHEREAS, Aldicarb, a herbicide used in the practice of agriculture according to directions, has resulted in contamination of groundwater in several places in Portage and other counties, and

WHEREAS, the level of contamination has been ascertained by the Division of Health and Social Services, Department of Natural Resources, and Department of Agriculture to be that which causes demonstrable health impairment, and

WHEREAS, the cost of making drinking water safe is such so as to incur costs to the individuals and/or counties, and

WHEREAS, the initial cause of this problem was as a result of a product marketed by Union Carbide and not a fault of the individual and/or county.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Portage County Board of Supervisors go on record requesting the State of Wisconsin to take the necessary steps to see that Union Carbide and/or any other corporation with like product is made financially responsible for this action.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that copies of this resolution be sent to Governor Thompson, Senator Helbach, Representatives Robinson, Hasenohrl, and Gruszynski, the State Division of Health and Social Services, and to all counties in Wisconsin suggesting concurrence for this action to resolve this dire environmental health problem.

Dated this 17th day of March, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
COMMUNITY HUMAN SERVICES BOARD
Richard Purcell, Chairperson
John Holdridge
Jim Clark
Gordon Hanson
Carole Holmes
David Eckholm

Gene Szymkowiak, Vice-Chairperson
Paul Borham
Dave Varney
Marge Lundquist
Dennis Tierney

Motion by Supervisor Purcell, second by Supervisor Eckholm for the adoption.

Supervisor Purcell stated that as elected officials, the County Board has responsibility for the health and welfare of the public.

Mary Ann Ford, Manager of Public Affairs for Union Carbide, objected to the language in the resolution and urged the Board to table it or refer it back to the Committee.

Gerald Timm, President of the Portage County Farm Bureau, felt that this resolution would put a burden on the vegetable growers in Portage County.

Supervisor Shibilski felt that this resolution should be sent to the Ground Water Council which was created to deal with the ground water issues, but never dealt with this resolution.

Motion by Supervisor Holdridge, second by Supervisor Stuart Clark to table the resolution.

Roll call vote revealed (31) ayes, (2) nays, Supervisors Purcell and Borham. Resolution tabled.

RESOLUTION NO. 88
RE: SUPPORTING LEGISLATIVE CHANGES IN THE
NURSING HOME REIMBURSEMENT FORMULA

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, the present "hold harmless" clause in the nursing home reimbursement formula subsidizes nursing homes that are not cost effective by guaranteeing established rates, and

WHEREAS, the present nursing home reimbursement formula does not provide any incentives for inefficient nursing homes to improve their efficiency, and

WHEREAS, these inefficient nursing homes are taking away additional revenues for efficiently run nursing homes such as Portage County's.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors goes on record in requesting that the nursing home reimbursement formula be changed to only provide financial incentives to efficiently operated nursing homes.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a copy of this resolution be forwarded to all area legislators, Wisconsin Counties Association and the Governor of the State of Wisconsin.

Dated this 17th day of March, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
COUNTY HOME COMMITTEE
John Wierzba, Chairman
Cheryl Kaczmarek
Phillip Janowski

Jeffrey Murphy
Anthony Kiedrowski

Motion by Supervisor Wierzba, second by Supervisor Kaczmarek for the adoption.

Roll call vote revealed (33) ayes. Resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 89
RE: REFUND OF EXCESS DOG LICENSE FUNDS

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, Section 174.09(2) of the Wisconsin Statutes provides that any surplus in the dog license fund in excess of \$1,000 shall be refunded to municipalities in the proportion in which said local units of government have contributed to said fund; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County dog license fund has accumulated a balance of \$11,660.40 which is \$10,660.40 in excess of \$1,000 at the end of the current payment year; and

WHEREAS, the following municipalities have contributed to the dog license fund in the amounts listed on the attached sheets totaling \$13,916.85.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors do hereby establish the reserve fund in the dog license claim account as being \$1,000 and directs the refund of excess funds in proportion to contribution.

Dated this 17th day of March, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
AGRICULTURE AND EXTENSION EDUCATION COMMITTEE
John Wierzba, Chairman
Carrol Winkler
Stanley Kirschling

Robert Winblad
Ernest Leppen

Motion by Supervisor Wierzba, second by Supervisor Winblad for the adoption.

Motion carried by voice vote. Resolution adopted.

1986 DOG LICENSE
REFUND TO MUNICIPALITIES

<u>MUNICIPALITIES</u>	<u>NET COLLECTIONS</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>AMT. TO BE REFUNDED</u>
ALBAN	137.25	0.986%	105.13
ALMOND	304.05	2.185%	232.90
AMHERST	484.35	3.480%	371.02
BELMONT	364.65	2.620%	279.32
BUENA VISTA	423.95	3.046%	324.75
CARSON	503.10	3.615%	385.38
DEWEY	568.15	4.082%	435.21
EAU PLEINE	251.00	1.804%	192.27
GRANT	493.35	3.545%	377.91
HULL	1603.35	11.521%	1228.18
LANARK	620.80	4.461%	475.54
LINWOOD	570.90	4.102%	437.31
NEW HOPE	350.25	2.517%	268.29
PINE GROVE	357.00	2.565%	273.46
PLOVER	612.90	4.404%	469.49
SHARON	545.20	3.918%	417.63
STOCKTON	573.45	4.121%	439.27
 VILLAGES:			
ALMOND	111.60	0.802%	85.49
AMHERST	239.85	1.723%	183.73
AMH JUNCTION	0.00	0.000%	0.00
JUNCTION CITY	180.00	1.293%	137.88
NELSONVILLE	176.55	1.269%	135.24
PARK RIDGE	166.65	1.197%	127.66
PLOVER	1112.85	7.996%	852.45
ROSHOLT	49.80	0.358%	38.15
WHITING	293.25	2.107%	224.63
 CITY OF ST PT	 2822.60	 20.282%	 2162.13
 TOTALS:	 13916.85	 100.000%	 10660.40

PORTAGE COUNTY DOG LICENSE FUND

January 1, 1986 - December 31, 1986

BEGINNING BALANCE 1/1/86	\$ 13,968.60
NET COLLECTIONS	\$ 13,916.85
EXPENSES: Claims	\$ 1,937.00
Supplies	1,319.45
Refund of Excess Claims (3/86)	12,968.60
Balance on Hand	<u>11,660.40</u>
	\$ 27,885.45

TOWNS:	JAN-NOV/1986 NET COLLECTIONS	COLLECTIONS DECEMBER APPROX 95%	TREASURER'S COMMISSION .25 DOG	NET COLLECTIONS DECEMBER	YEAR TO DATE NET COLLECT INCLUDING DEC
ALBAN	137.25			0.00	137.25
ALMOND	304.05			0.00	304.05
AMHERST	464.25	21.85	1.75	20.10	484.35
BELMONT	319.20	48.45	3.00	45.45	364.65
BUENA VISTA	423.95			0.00	423.95
CARSON	503.10			0.00	503.10
DEWEY	-129.50	747.65	50.00	697.65	568.15
EAU PLEINE	251.00			0.00	251.00
GRANT	431.85	66.50	5.00	61.50	493.35
HULL	1592.70	11.40	0.75	10.65	1603.35
LANARK	620.80			0.00	620.80
LINWOOD	570.90			0.00	570.90
NEW HOPE	350.25			0.00	350.25
PINE GROVE	357.00			0.00	357.00
PLOVER	503.40	109.50		109.50	612.90
SHARON	545.20			0.00	545.20
STOCKTON	573.45			0.00	573.45
VILLAGES:					
ALMOND	111.60			0.00	111.60
AMHERST	239.85			0.00	239.85
AMH JUNCTION	0.00			0.00	0.00
JUNCTION CITY	180.00			0.00	180.00
NELSONVILLE	176.55			0.00	176.55
PARK RIDGE	166.65			0.00	166.65
PLOVER	990.75	131.10	9.00	122.10	1112.85
ROSHOLT	49.80			0.00	49.80
WHITING	258.00	38.00	2.75	35.25	293.25
CITY OF ST PT	2089.50	1171.35	438.25	733.10	2822.60
TOTALS:	12081.55	2345.80	510.50	1835.30	13916.85

RESOLUTION NO. 90
RE: DOG CLAIMS

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WE, the members of your committee on dog claims have met and pursuant to Section 174.11 of the Wisconsin Statutes have allowed the following claims:

Date	Name	Description	Asked	Allowed
2/7/87	Deb Lazarski 1960 Teal Ct. Stevens Point, WI 54481 T.23N-R.7E Town of Linwood	Two rabbits - 1 killed, 1 lost \$10.00/each	\$20.00	\$20.00

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the above claims be paid.

Dated this 17th day of March, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
AGRICULTURE AND EXTENSION EDUCATION COMMITTEE

John Wierzba, Chairman
Carrol Winkler
Stanley Kirschling

Robert Winblad
Ernest Leppen

Motion by Supervisor Wierzba, second by Supervisor Kirschling for the adoption.

Motion carried by voice vote. Resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 91
RE: FINAL RESOLUTION

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, by the County Board of Supervisors of Portage County, Wisconsin, now in Adjourned Session assembled that the Resolutions and motions adopted and carried at this meeting and all appropriations made and claims allowed at this meeting be and they are hereby ratified and confirmed in all respects by the Board.

Supervisor Richard Purcell

Motion by Supervisor Barbers, second by Supervisor Hanson for the adoption.
Motion carried by voice vote. Resolution adopted.

Motion by Supervisor Murphy, second by Supervisor Peterson to adjourn the meeting subject to the call of the chair. Motion carried by voice vote.

STATE OF WISCONSIN)
) SS
COUNTY OF PORTAGE)

I, Roger Wrycza, County Clerk of said County do hereby certify that the above is a true and correct record of the Adjourned Session of the Portage County Board of Supervisors of Portage County, Wisconsin.

ROGER WRYCZA
PORTAGE COUNTY CLERK

OFFICIAL PROCEEDINGS
of the
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
of
PORTAGE COUNTY, WISCONSIN

April 21, 1987

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Robert J. Steinke.
Roll call was taken by the Clerk as follows.

District 1, David A. Medin
District 2, Gale L. Kidder
District 3, Paul A. Borham
District 4, Cheryl A. Kaczmarek
District 5, Frank Barbers, Sr.
District 6, Richard M. Purcell
District 7, Leo Mancheski
District 8, David Eckholm
District 9, Eugene G. Szymkowiak
District 10, Gordon M. Hanson
District 11, Ernest V. Wanta
District 12, Jeffrey K. Murphy
District 13, James E. Clark
District 14, Phillip J. Janowski
District 15, Clifford F. Bembenek
District 16, John W. Holdridge
District 17, Kevin W. Shibilski
District 18, William H. Peterson
District 19, Margaret M. Schad
District 20, Robert A. Winblad
District 21, O. Philip Idsvoog
District 22, Robert J. Steinke
District 23, Anthony B. Kiedrowski
District 24, Frank Dernbach
District 25, Stuart Clark
District 26, Carrol Winkler
District 27, Ernest Leppen
District 28, Stanley Kirschling
District 29, Eugene Zdroik
District 30, Ronald J. Check
District 31, Leif Erickson
District 32, John Wierzba, Jr.
District 33, Clarence Hintz

Roll call taken by Clerk Roger Wrycza revealed (30) present, (2) excused, Supervisors Bembenek and Eckholm and (1) absent, Supervisor Shibilski.

All present saluted the flag.

The invocation was delivered by Supervisor Barbers.

6:35 p.m. - Motion by Supervisor Janowski, second by Supervisor Wierzba to go into Executive Session in accordance with Section 19.85 (1) (f), Wisconsin State Statutes for the purpose of preliminary consideration of specific personnel problems. Roll call vote revealed (26) ayes, (4) nays, Supervisors Medin, Purcell, Hanson and Borham, (1) absent, Supervisor Shibilski, and (2)

excused, Supervisors Bembenek and Eckholm. In addition to the County Board Supervisors the following were also present for the executive session: County Clerk Roger Wrycza, Kathy Zblewski, Jerry Glad, John Runde, Paul Jadin, Mike Buss and George Samardich. Motion carried.

(Enter Supervisor Shibilski)

7:10 p.m. - Motion by Supervisor Schad, second by Supervisor Idsvoog to go into open session. Roll call vote revealed (29) ayes. (2) naves, Supervisors Holdridge and Murphy and (2) excused, Supervisors Bembenek and Eckholm. Motion carried.

Motion by Supervisor Szymkowiak, second by Supervisor Schad to approve the minutes of the March 17, 1987 meeting. Motion carried by voice vote.

Correspondence

Information on the impact of the 1988 Federal Budget on Wisconsin from Congressman Dave Obey was distributed to all Supervisors.

Thank you note from Supervisor Schad for the flowers sent by the Board during her recent hospital stay was read and placed on file.

Letter of acknowledgement from Governor Thompson regarding Portage County's Nursing Home Reimbursement Formula Resolution was read and placed on file.

Letter of acknowledgement from Senator David Helbach regarding Portage County's Nursing Home Reimbursement Formula Resolution was read and placed on file.

Committee Referrals

Motion by Supervisor Leppen, second by Supervisor Kidder to refer the Summons and Complaint of St. Michael's Hospital vs Portage County (\$11,528) to the District Attorney's office. Motion carried by voice vote.

Motion by Supervisor Wierzba, second by Supervisor Kaczmarek to deny the Claim of Barbara A. Young (\$11,615 and continuing damages). Motion carried by voice vote.

Motion by Supervisor Wierzba, second by Supervisor Idsvoog to refer the Judgment on Motion for Summary Judgment and Application for Bill of Costs in the Emergency Government Case to the District Attorney's office. Motion carried by voice vote.

Unlimited Topics

Chairman Steinke told the supervisors that he had no choice but to file a complaint about some supervisors possible violating the State's Open Meeting Law. "If I would not have filed the complaint, I would be as guilty as the ones who attended the meeting. The public was already aware of the meeting going on by the time the District Attorney arrived on the scene." "I didn't enjoy doing it but I had no choice", Steinke said. Chairman Steinke further stated that he felt supervisors should have been aware that a meeting to discuss a county business item could be a violation as the packet for the March County Board meeting included information about a recent State Supreme Court decision pertaining to a similar situation.

Supervisor Murphy, objected to Chairman Steinke's statement about guilt. "A person is innocent until proven guilty," Murphy said. "Some if us perceive that we've already been found guilty." Supervisor Murphy further stated that the meeting was an informal gathering to discuss some concerns they had about the county. He said most of the conversation centered on items unrelated to county government until the District Attorney arrived to inform them of a possible violation. The District Attorney then sat down and discussed the county jail situation for about an hour before he left.

Supervisor Kiedrowski stated that the Wood County Board has asked the State Legislature to clarify the law regarding what is a meeting and what isn't.

Supervisor Hanson stated that based on the latest court interpretation, many other possible violations of the open meetings law have occurred. "I would bet there is not one person who, technically, might not have violated the Open Meetings Law."

Supervisor Purcell raised the issue of the alleged Open Meetings Law violation, and questioned the county having to hire an outside attorney at taxpayers expense to prosecute.

Supervisor Murphy once again brought up the subject of writing a letter to all vendors doing \$5,000 worth of business with the county, in the last five years and asking them if they provided any gratuities to the county or any official.

Chairman Steinke directed the Finance Committee to look at the issue and asked District Attorney Runde to draw up the questionnaire.

Jerry Glad stated that the county can easily identify the firms doing \$5,000 worth of business and that he would work with the District Attorney to ensure that the letters were sent out.

Supervisor Wierzba stated that the county should check with vendors that have done business with the county the past five years or more, not three years as previously suggested, as he has heard comments from past vendors that might be worth investigating.

Supervisor Barbers stated that free lunches and other gifts are common for board members and that other committees have accepted gratuities.

Supervisor Winblad stated that the "free lunches" have to stop. "Let's be honest supervisors and not accept anything."

Supervisor James Clark stated that he has received numerous phone calls from people about questionable incidents in the county since Supervisor Murphy brought up Buss's trip last month. "We need to clear the air to get the confidence of the people back."

Supervisor Idsvoog requested the personnel manager to review existing county personnel policies to see if they are being followed in all departments or if individual departments are making their own policies.

Supervisor Kidder stated that the county lacks a procedure for dealing with its committee structure. If a committee doesn't get a referral it can't act on a matter or if a committee requests some action from other committees but the request is ignored, the requesting committee has no recourse.

Paul Jadin stated that the county has personnel polices containing many items found in a code of ethics, but those policies apply only to employees, not to County Board Supervisors.

District Attorney Runde stated that a code of ethics patterned after the state ethics board regulations could be adopted by the County Board which could be used to regulate most of the concerns discussed. Chairman Steinke referred to the Personnel Committee and the District Attorney the task of drafting such code of ethics.

Supervisor Holdridge stated that the county needs personnel policies that affect all employees as well as the committee structure including a "whistleblower" policy similar to the one the state has. The "whistleblower" policy protects an employee who reports possible violations of county policy and that power could overrule a standing committee, Holdridge said.

Supervisor Hanson stated that in addition to looking at the code of ethics issue, the Personnel Committee will look into the number of other things, such as reimbursement for use of vehicles.

Supervisor Holdridge questioned what penalty the board could impose on violations by supervisors, other than waiting for the voters to throw the official out the office.

District Attorney Runde stated the code could impose forfeitures of \$100 to \$1,000.

Supervisor Schad reported that an out-of-state conference to Indiana has been approved for any employee of Human Services at a cost of \$75.00 to the County.

ORDINANCE NO. 92
RE: ZONING ORDINANCE MAP AMENDMENT
ZDROIK PROPERTY

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, Regina Zdroik, requests to amend the Portage County Zoning Ordinance so part of Sections 16 and 17, Town of Sharon, an area of 20 acres be changed from A-1, Exclusive Agricultural District to Agricultural District; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee held a public hearing on the proposed amendment in the County Conference Room of the County-City Building on March 25, 1987 after due notices were published in the Stevens Point Journal. At said hearing all those who wished to be heard were heard and pertinent facts constituting the testimony were recorded; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee, after carefully considering the testimony, including the attached fact sheet at the March 25, 1987 meeting, has placed a recommendation with the County Board that the request be approved; and

WHEREAS, the proposed amendment, with the information furnished in the attached report and fact sheet, has been given due consideration by the County Board.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors does ordain as follows: the south 473 feet of the E $\frac{1}{2}$ of the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of the SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 17, T24N, R9E, and the south 473 feet of the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 16, T24N, R9E, an area of 20 acres is hereby changed from A-1, Exclusive Agricultural District to Agricultural District.

Dated this 21st day of April, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE Richard M. Purcell
O. Philip Idsvoog, Chairman Ronald J. Check
Clifford F. Bembenek Leif E. Erickson

Motion by Supervisor Idsvoog, second by Supervisor Erickson for the adoption.

Roll call vote revealed (31) ayes, (2) excused, Supervisors Bembenek and Eckholm. Ordinance adopted.

ORDINANCE NO. 93
RE: ZONING ORDINANCE MAP AMENDMENT
TOWN OF NEW HOPE

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, the New Hope Town Board and the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee request to amend the zoning map for the Town of New Hope, which

includes numerous and various changes from the Agricultural, Exclusive Agricultural, Recreational, Conservancy, Commercial, and Multiple Family Residence Districts to the A-1 Exclusive Agricultural, A-2 Agricultural Transition, Agricultural, Low Density Residence, Single Family Residence, Neighborhood Commercial, Industrial, and Conservancy Districts; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee held a public hearing on the proposed map amendments in the County Conference Room of the County-City Building on April 8, 1987 after due notices were published in the Stevens Point Journal. At said hearing, all those who wished to be heard were heard and pertinent facts constituting the testimony were recorded; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee, after carefully considering the testimony, including the attached fact sheet at the April 8, 1987 meeting, has placed a recommendation with the County Board that the request be approved; and

WHEREAS, the proposed amendments, with the information furnished in the attached report and fact sheet, have been given due consideration by the County Board.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors does ordain as follows: the current zoning map for the Town of New Hope is hereby amended by adoption of the updated zoning map for the Town of New Hope dated April 21, 1987, filed in the office of the Portage County Zoning Administrator, which said map, together with all boundary lines and designations therein, is made part of the Portage County Zoning Ordinance.

Dated this 21st day of April, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE
O. Philip Idsvoog, Chairman
Clifford F. Bembenek

Richard M. Purcell
Ronald J. Check
Leif E. Erickson

Motion by Supervisor Check, second by Supervisor Purcell for the adoption.

Supervisor Kiedrowski stated that the Town has some of the best residential land in the County because the soil is ideal for development and therefore we should not restrict development to one house on a ten acre lot. He further stated that be adopting this ordinance we would be setting up a land pattern for the future that is a horrible waste.

Chuck Kell, County Planning Director, stated that this is the type of zoning that the citizens wanted. They want to maintain the rural character of the township and control development of new homes. Kell also stated that if someone wants to change the zoning of their property, they may request a change from the County.

Supervisor Murphy stated that he did not oppose the rezoning as strongly as Supervisor Kiedrowski, but feels it's a waste of land by limiting the development to ten acre lots.

Roll call vote revealed (29) ayes, (2) naves, Supervisors Murphy and Kiedrowski and (2) excused, Supervisors Bembenek and Eckholm. Ordinance adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 94
RE: NEW STAFF REQUESTS FOR 1987 -
GENERAL ASSISTANCE CASEWORKER AND THREE
(3) PERMANENT PART-TIME COOK/MATRONS

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, requests for additional staff have been submitted by department heads and their governing committees to provide essential services for 1987; and

WHEREAS, the Personnel and Finance Committees have evaluated the staff requests for need justification; and

WHEREAS, a two-thirds vote of the County Board members being present will be required for final approval; and

WHEREAS, the classifications and salaries indicated on the attached forms are tentative and subject to revision by the Personnel Committee.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors authorize the new staff requests for 1987 as outlined on the attached authorization forms.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that these positions are subject to Portage County's ability to finance them and may be cut by the Finance Committee during future budget hearings.

Dated this 21st day of April, 1987.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,
PORTAGE COUNTY PERSONNEL COMMITTEE
Gordon Hanson Chairman
Clarence Hintz
Gale Kidder
David Medin
Margaret Schad

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,
PORTAGE COUNTY FINANCE COMMITTEE
Margaret Schad, Chairperson
O. Philip Idsvoog
Paul Borham
Kevin Shibilski
Eugene Zdroik

PORTAGE COUNTY
AUTHORIZATION FOR ADDITIONAL STAFF

Department	Community Human Services
Classification	General Assist. Caseworker
Beginning Employment	4/22 - 12/31/87
Annual Salary Recommended by Comm.	\$10,874.56
400 hrs x \$7.36 = \$2,944.00 + 1,056 hrs x \$7.51 = \$7,930.56	
Cost of Fringe Benefits Annualized:	
Retirement (12%)	\$1,304.95
Social Security (7.15%)	\$ 777.53
Health Insurance (\$194 x 8 mo.)	\$1,552.00
Life Insurance (3.80 x 8 mo.)	\$ 30.40
Other (Specify)	-0-
Total Fringe Benefits	\$3,664.88
Cost of Operating Supplies (Specify)	-0-
Cost of Equip. for New Position (Specify)	-0-
Space Requirements	Financial Services Section
Department Head	Judy A. Bablitch
Governing Committee Chair	Richard Purcell
Personnel Committee Chair	Gordon Hanson
Finance Committee Chair	Margaret Schad

Department	Sheriff's Department
Classification	Permanent Part Time Cook/Matron
Beginning Employment	As soon as possible after 3-1-87
Anticipated Annual Salary	\$3,075.60
6.99 x 440 average LTE's hrs 1986	\$2714.00
Hours are determined by jail population	
Annualized Fringe Benefits:	
Retirement	-0-
Social Security (7.15%)	\$ 219.91
Health Insurance	-0-
Life Insurance	-0-
Other (Specify)	-0-
Total Cost of Fringe Benefits	\$ 219.91
Cost of Operating Supplies (Specify)	-0-
Cost of Equip. for New Position (Specify)	-0-
Space Requirements	-0-
Total cost for additional staff	\$3,295.51
Department Head	Sheriff Thomas A. Wanta
Governing Committee Chair	Frank Barbers
Personnel Committee Chair	
Finance Committee Chair	

(There were two positions for this classification)

Motion by Supervisor Schad, second by Supervisor Hanson for the adoption. Supervisor Wierzba stated that he was against the caseworker position when it was first proposed over a year ago, "now I have to commend that office for the job it's doing."

Sheriff Wanta stated that basically all this resolution does is change the job titles of LTE positions. The funds are already budgeted.

Roll call vote revealed (31) ayes, (2) excused, Supervisors Bembenek and Eckholm. Resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 95
RE: CONTINGENCY FUND TRANSFER OF \$4,000
FOR PRISONER MEDICAL EXPENSES

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, Ken King was arrested on December 16, 1985, in Tampa, Florida on an outstanding Portage County Felony Warrant; and

WHEREAS, as a result of the apprehension of Mr. King, medical bills were incurred in the amount of \$6,535.35; and

WHEREAS, Portage County may be liable for the total amount of that bill; and

WHEREAS, Hillsborough County, Florida, has sued Portage County in the United States District Court for the middle district of Florida; and

WHEREAS, settlement negotiations have been discussed in this matter whereby Portage County would pay \$4,000 of the \$6,535.35; and

WHEREAS, it would appear that settlement in the amount of \$4,000 would be in the best interest of Portage County.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that \$4,000 be transferred from the contingency fund to pay for the medical expenses incurred in this case.

Dated this 21st day of April, 1987, by the Portage County Finance Committee.

Respectfully submitted,

FINANCE COMMITTEE

Margaret Schad, Chairperson

O. Philip Idsvoog

Eugene Zdroik

Paul Borham

Kevin Shibilski

Motion by Supervisor Shibilski, second by Supervisor Borham for the adoption.

District Attorney Runde stated that the full payment of the expenses was \$6,535 and that this \$4,000 represents a negotiated settlement with authorities in Hillsborough County, Florida.

Roll call vote revealed (3) ayes, (2) excused, Supervisors Bembenek and Eckholm. Resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 96
RE: DIVIDED INSURANCE PROGRAM FOR
WORKERS COMPENSATION

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, the County's existing worker's compensation insurance coverage recognizes all departments as one single entity, and;

WHEREAS, the Worker's Compensation Act of Wisconsin, regulated by the State Department of Industry, Labor and Human Relations (DILHR) allows divided insurance privileges, and;

WHEREAS, the divided insurance concept, if approved by DILHR, would allow separate coverage for the Nursing Home through the Worker's Compensation Insurance Pool and the balance of the county departments covered through Sentry Insurance Company, and;

WHEREAS, the County Nursing Home's adverse loss experience on the worker's compensation insurance program is impacting negatively on other county department's budgets, and;

WHEREAS, this concept would allow the Nursing Home to absorb premium costs that would properly reflect its own loss experience which would be eligible for reimbursement.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the County Board of Supervisors authorize the application for divided insurance coverage for the County Nursing Home through the Worker's Compensation Insurance Pool.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that if a dispute should arise as to which insurance company is responsible for a particular injury or illness sustained during the time this written order is in effect, the County agrees to assume full responsibility to immediately make all payments as DILHR may require, pending a final determination as to liability between insurance carriers.

The effective date of this resolution is October 1, 1987.

Dated this 21st day of April, 1987

Respectfully submitted,
PORTAGE COUNTY FINANCE COMMITTEE
Margaret Schad, Chairperson
O. Philip Idsvoog
Eugene Zdroik

Paul Borham
Kevin Shibilski

Motion by Supervisor Schad, second by Supervisor Zdroik for the adoption.

Supervisor Schad stated that the claims at the County Home have exceeded \$50,000 in each of the last two years, increasing the premium of the county's risk-sharing policy. She further stated that by separating the County Home policy will reduce the county's premiums for its risk-sharing policy.

Jerry Glad stated that the county has had to pay \$20,000 in additional premiums in each of the last two years because of the County Home claims. He further stated that dividing the county's workers compensation insurance is subject to the approval of the state Department of Industry, Labor and Human Relations.

Motion by Supervisor Wierzba, second by Supervisor Schad to amend the resolution to read: The effective date of this resolution is October 1, 1987. Motion carried by voice vote.

Roll call vote revealed (31) ayes, (2) excused, Supervisors Bembenek and Eckholm. Resolution adopted as amended.

RECALL - RESOLUTION NO. 85
RE: HIGHWAY SALT SHED PROGRAM

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

WHEREAS, the following state objectives have been established for the State Salt Storage Program:

- a. To have all state-furnished salt storage in compliance with DNR Regulations Trans 277 before October 1, 1987.
- b. To have state furnished salt storage capacity on site for 100% of an average winter's salt needs.

WHEREAS, the state has segregated a seven million dollar reserve to finance the objectives of the Salt Storage Compliance Program; and

WHEREAS, it would be financially advantageous for the county to construct their own on-site storage needs in conjunction with the state's construction project; and

WHEREAS, preliminary costs of the combined construction project are projected as follows:

	<u>STATE SHARE</u>	<u>CO SHARE</u>	<u>TOTAL COST</u>
Land Acquisition	\$ 18,000	\$ 27,000	\$ 42,050
Bldg/Landscaping	132,000	33,000	165,000
TOTAL:	<u>\$ 150,000</u>	<u>\$ 60,000</u>	<u>\$ 207,500</u>

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that Portage County Board of Supervisors approve the Salt Shed Project and authorize the county's share to an amount not to exceed \$60,000 and that the source of funding the county's share of the project be the unexpended balance of the Highway Construction Facility non-lapsing account; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that when completed the entire ownership of the Salt Shed Facility reverts to Portage County and that an annual contract will be negotiated with the state which requires maintenance cost sharing between Portage County and the State.

Dated March 17, 1987.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,
HIGHWAY COMMITTEE
Eugene Zdroik, Chairman
Ronald Check
Ernest Leppen
Ernest Wanta
Frank Barbers, Sr.

FINANCE COMMITTEE
Margaret Schad, Chairperson
Eugene Zdroik
Paul Borham
Kevin Shibilski
O. Philip Idsvoog

Motion by Supervisor Janowski, second by Supervisor Shibilski to recall Resolution No. 85. Motion carried by voice vote. Resolution No. 85 is recalled.

Motion by Supervisor Zdroik, second by Supervisor Check for the adoption.

Motion by Supervisor Barbers, second by Supervisor Zdroik to amend the land acquisition figure from \$45,000 to \$42,500. Motion carried by voice vote.

Supervisor Zdroik stated that the village indicated that they would sell the county two acres, if the county insisted, at \$8,000 per acre, but preferred keeping it for industrial land.

Supervisor Murphy stated that he feels "adamant" that even the \$42,500 price is too high.

Supervisor Kidder questioned if the county could begin condemnation proceedings.

Chuck Kell, County Planning Directory, stated that the county would have to pay the appraised value, which has already has been determined to be \$45,000 in addition, through condemnation the county might have to pay a higher award.

Supervisor Hanson stated that the county bought 15 acres for the facility and additional space should be available on the site.

Mike Buss, Highway Commissioner, stated that the area west of the main building at the facility is for future expansion of that building and the rest of the site area is utilized. He further stated that the purchase of this parcel would provide additional parking for conference at the facility. He further stated that the county's share of the \$210,000 project would be around \$60,000 which would come out of the facility's construction account.

Roll call vote revealed (18) ayes, (13) nays, Supervisors Winblad, Szymkowiak, Purcell, Murphy, James Clark, Idsvoog, Kidder, Winkler, Hanson, Holdridge, Kiedrowski, Medin and Hintz, (2) excused, Supervisors Eckholm and Bembenek. Resolution adopted as amended.

RESOLUTION NO. 97

RE: ADMINISTRATIVE COORDINATOR DUTIES

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, Resolution Number 57, dated November 19, 1986 appointed the County Board Chairman as the Administrative Coordinator as required by Section 59.034, Wisc. State Stats., and

WHEREAS, this resolution did not assign any specific duties to the Coordinator position, and

WHEREAS, the Administrative Coordinator has requested that the attached

duties be assigned to this position to enhance his ability to function properly.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the attached Administrative Coordinator's duties are hereby adopted.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the attached Administrative Coordinator's duties shall terminate upon the expiration of the term of the County Board Chairman and must be re-authorized following the election of each new County Board Chairman.

Dated this 21st day of April, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES
Robert Steinke, Chairman
O. Philip Idsvoog, 1st Vice-Chairman
Frank Barbers, 2nd Vice-Chairman

ADMINISTRATIVE COORDINATOR

GENERAL STATEMENT OF DUTIES:

The Administrative Coordinator shall be responsible for coordinating all administrative and management functions of County government not otherwise vested by law in boards, committees or other elected officials. This individual shall at all times be fully accountable to the County Board of Supervisors in the fulfillment of these duties.

DESCRIPTION:

Subject to general supervision of the County Board, the Administrative Coordinator shall:

1. Present advise and recommendations to the County Board on all matters within the purview of that office.
2. Assist in selection and appointment of department heads, with the respective governing committees retaining final hiring authority.
3. Assist governing committees with the supervision of department heads as requested by committees. Any governing committee may also delegate certain of its supervisory responsibilities to the Administrative Coordinator as needed.
4. Make recommendations to the County Board, based on input from staff and governing committees, for re-organization of county departments, re-assignment of responsibilities, consolidation or abolition of county agencies, positions or programs.
5. Recommend resolutions, ordinances, policies or regulations to the County Board which promote the public interest and provide appropriate documentation in support of those recommendations.
6. Close County buildings, facilities and operations in the event of an emergency by consulting relevant staff and notifying appropriate new agencies.
7. Represent and act on behalf of the County in all matters not currently assigned to staff, boards, committees or other elected officials, including serving as liaison to the Governor's office.

Motion by Supervisor Idsvoog, second by Supervisor Barbers for the adoption.

Roll call vote revealed (31) ayes, (2) excused, Supervisors Eckholm and Bembenek. Resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 98
RE: CANCELLATION OF UNCASHED CHECKS
ISSUED PRIOR TO JANUARY 1, 1986

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, the checks listed in the attached sheet were issued by the Portage County Treasurer prior to February 1, 1986, and

WHEREAS, the checks listed in the attached sheet have not been cashed by the payees, and

WHEREAS, the County Treasurer has made every effort to trace the checks listed in the attached sheet, and

WHEREAS, a list of the payees and check numbers will be published and payees will have an opportunity to have the cancelled checks reissued.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Portage County Board of Supervisors that the attached list of outstanding checks be cancelled.

Dated this 21st day of April, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,

FINANCE COMMITTEE

Margaret Schad, Chairperson

O. Philip Idsvoog

Eugene Zdroik

Paul Borham

Kevin Shibilski

OUTSTANDING CHECK LIST

<u>CHECK#</u>	<u>DATE</u>	<u>PAYEE</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
589789	12-10-83	Marie Shulfer	26.29
176455	01-16-84	George Obenke Sagbe	16.40
176457	01-16-84	Charles Melcher	16.40
187118	11-14-84	WI State Division of Corrections	69.88
189043	12-28-84	Caroline Hopfensperger	20.00
194800	05-10-85	Hersel Janz	24.00
197756	07-19-85	Advertising Concepts, Inc.	99.50
198776	08-16-85	Prudential Insurance Company	106.25
198778	08-16-85	Joanne B. Anderson	9.00
199271	08-30-85	EDS Federal Corporation	50.00
199459	09-06-85	Linda Pagel	15.00
200255	09-20-85	Thomas Tillman	16.40
203145	12-06-85	AM heritage	29.95
204066	01-10-86	Jack & B. Kitzerow	374.50
205420	01-30-86	St. Peter's Rosary Society	<u>50.00</u>
		Total	923.57

OFFICIAL PROCEEDINGS
of the
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
of
PORTAGE COUNTY, WISCONSIN

May 19, 1987

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Robert J. Steinke.
Roll call was taken by the Clerk as follows.

District	1,	David A. Medin
District	2,	Gale L. Kidder
District	3,	Paul A. Borham
District	4,	Cheryl A. Kaczmarek
District	5,	Frank Barbers, Sr.
District	6,	Richard M. Purcell
District	7,	Leo Mancheski
District	8,	David Eckholm
District	9,	Eugene G. Szymkowiak
District	10,	Gordon M. Hanson
District	11,	Ernest V. Wanta
District	12,	Jeffrey K. Murphy
District	13,	James E. Clark
District	14,	Phillip J. Janowski
District	15,	Clifford F. Bembenek
District	16,	John W. Holdridge
District	17,	Kevin W. Shibilski
District	18,	William H. Peterson
District	19,	Margaret M. Schad
District	20,	Robert A. Winblad
District	21,	O. Philip Idsvoog
District	22,	Robert J. Steinke
District	23,	Anthony B. Kiedrowski
District	24,	Frank Dernbach
District	25,	Stuart Clark
District	26,	Carrol Winkler
District	27,	Ernest Leppen
District	28,	Stanley Kirschling
District	29,	Eugene Zdroik
District	30,	Ronald J. Check
District	31,	Leif Erickson
District	32,	John Wierzba, Jr.
District	33,	Clarence Hintz

Roll call taken by Clerk Roger Wrycza revealed (30) present, (1) absent,
Supervisor Wanta, (2) excused, Supervisors Winblad and Kaczmarek.

All present saluted the flag.

The invocation was delivered by Supervisor Medin.

(Enter Supervisor Wanta)

Motion by Supervisor Szymkowiak, second by Supervisor Janowski to approve the minutes of the April 21, 1987 meeting. Motion carried by voice vote.

Correspondence

Proclamation of the week of May 18, 1987 as the initiation of a county wide campaign to promote the use of safety belts and child safety seats and thereby all citizens of Portage County are urged to properly secure themselves and their children when driving in an automobile.

Motion by Supervisor Shibilski, second by Supervisor Barbers to approve the proclamation. Motion carried by voice vote.

Appointments

Motion by Supervisor Barbers, second by Supervisor Idsvoog to approve the following appointments. Motion carried by voice vote.

Guenther Horn reappointed to the Airport Board for a two year term expiring April 1989.

Marjorie Lundquist reappointed to the Community Human Services Board for a three year term expiring April 1990.

Daniel Schlutter reappointed to the Solid Waste Management Board for a three year term expiring April 1990 as the elected official from the Village of Plover.

Jerry Borski reappointed to the Solid Waste Management Board for a three year term expiring April 1990 as the elected official from the Town of Hull.

Jeanne Dodge reappointed to the Solid Waste Management Board for a three year term expiring April 1990 as the citizen member from the Township of the landfill location.

W. Scott Schultz appointed to the Solid Waste Management Board to replace Michael Haberman as the elected official from the City of Stevens Point whose term will expire in April 1988.

Lorraine Dudley appointed to the Commission on Aging for a three year term expiring April 1990 to replace Helen Marshall who cannot be reappointed.

Alice Johnson appointed to the Commission on Aging for a three year term expiring April 1990 to replace Wallace Worzella who cannot be reappointed.

John Runde appointed to the Portage County Traffic Safety Commission to replace John Osinga.

Kenneth Heimerman appointed to the Portage County Traffic Safety Commission as an alternate for John Runde.

Appearances

Jeff Cohen of Stewart & Cohen CPA firm presented the 1986 annual county audit report.

(Enter Supervisor Winblad)

Motion by Supervisor Schad, second by Supervisor Bembenek to accept the report. Motion carried by voice vote.

Lou Gaspard, River Pines Administrator, presented a report on the Senior Citizens Project at River Pines which includes a wheelchair and walking fitness course. Mr. Gaspard asked for the Board's support of the project but stated that no funds will be requested from the County although personal contributions would be accepted.

Motion by Supervisor Shibilski, second by Supervisor Szymkowiak to endorse the project and send a written statement to that effect. Motion carried by voice vote.

Unlimited Topics

Supervisor Holdridge asked the Finance Committee and Board members to be aware that the budget process is nearing and encouraged the Board to study the tax rate and look at the budget as last year by studying the revenues first and setting expenditures by those guidelines.

Supervisor Wierzba stated that in regard to the issue of the carpet purchase at the County Home, the County Home Committee did approve the purchase of carpeting in 1985. Wierzba stated that George Samardich, Home Administrator, waited until now to make the purchase because of cost savings to the County by purchasing 100 yards at one time. In order to receive the low price Samardich had to purchase the entire 100 yards and pay for it when ordered. Samardich decided to keep 40 yards of carpet for his own home use.

Supervisor Kidder stated that minutes show that no formal motion was made for the carpet purchase but rather just an informal discussion on the matter. Kidder also questioned why the voucher was only signed by Supervisor Wierzba and not brought to the whole Committee for their approval.

Supervisor Idsvoog stated that there has to be some sort of consistency in the purchasing policy for the entire county.

Chairman Steinke stated that the Committee on Committees would be discussing the issue at their next meeting.

Supervisor Holdridge questioned if the code of ethics might pertain to this type of issue.

John Runde, District Attorney, stated that the code of ethics is basically finished and will be presented to Committee on Committees and brought to the full County Board in June.

Supervisor Murphy stated that Samardich told him that he purchased the carpet in this manner to save the County some money because he felt previous estimates of \$1000 were too high. Murphy stated that the County is always directing departments to cut corners but when someone gets a good deal they get criticized. Murphy did agree that direct purchasing might be a good idea.

Supervisor Janowski, Vice-Chairman of the County Home Committee, stated that Samardich never discussed the carpet purchase with him.

Supervisor Bembenek stated that if Samardich purchased 100 yards of carpet he should have kept the entire 100 yards for his own use, or possibly asked Board members if they needed carpet at a discounted rate.

Supervisor Idsvoog stated that the County does have a purchasing agent and all departments should be following the purchasing procedures.

Chairman Steinke again stated that the issue will be discussed at the next Committee on Committees meeting.

Supervisor Stuart Clark stated that there will be an open house at the newly remodeled Central Wisconsin Airport, Mosinee, on Thursday June 11, 1987 for all Portage and Marathon County Board members. A public open house will be held on June 13, 1987.

Supervisor Hanson expressed his concerns over the Wausau Airport receiving state and federal funds to lengthen its runways and possibly offering CWA competition.

Supervisor Holdridge also expressed his concerns and felt the County Board should take formal action as supporting the CWA as the main airport for the area.

Chairman Steinke stated that the Board will be taking formal action on the matter in June.

Supervisor Janowski asked that Board members observe a moment of silence for the servicemen of the USS Stark killed or injured in the missile attack in the Persian Gulf on Sunday.

ORDINANCE NO. 101
RE: ZONING ORDINANCE MAP AMENDMENT, GLODOWSKI PROPERTY

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, Bernadette Glodowski requests to amend the Portage County Zoning Ordinance so part of Section 3, T24N, R9E, Town of Sharon, an area of 2 acres be changed from Highway Commercial District to Agricultural District; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee held a public hearing on the proposed amendment in the County Conference Room of the County-City Building on April 22, 1987 after due notices were published in the Stevens Point Journal. At said hearing all those who wished to be heard were heard and pertinent facts constituting the testimony were recorded; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee, after carefully considering the testimony, including the attached fact sheet at the April 22, 1987 meeting, has placed a recommendation with the County Board that the request be approved; and

WHEREAS, the proposed amendment, with the information furnished in the attached report and fact sheet, has been given due consideration by the County Board.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors does ordain as follows: commencing at the north corner of Section 3, T24N, R9E, thence south to the centerline of Merryland Drive, thence westerly along the centerline of said road 430 feet, which is the point-of-beginning (POB), thence continuing westerly along the centerline 200 feet, thence southerly 469 feet, thence easterly parallel to the centerline of Merryland Drive 200 feet, thence northerly to the POB, being part of the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 3, T24N, R9E, Town of Sharon, an area of approximately 2.15 acres is hereby changed from Highway Commercial District to Agricultural District.

Dated this 19th day of May, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE
O. Philip Idsvoog, Chairman
Clifford F. Bembenek

Richard M. Purcell
Ronald J. Check
Leif E. Erickson

Motion by Supervisor Idsvoog, second by Supervisor Check for the adoption.
Roll call vote revealed (32) ayes, (1) excused, Supervisor Kaczmarek.
Resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 102
RE: CONTINGENCY FUND TRANSFER FOR ADDITIONAL
JAIL STUDY COSTS

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, \$3,000 was allocated for the preliminary schematic plans for the proposed new jail, and

WHEREAS, due to the new jail siting problems, the initial allotment has been depleted without the preliminary schematic plans being completed.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Portage County Board of Supervisors that an amount not to exceed \$1,500 be made available from the contingency fund for the above stated purpose.

Dated this 19th day of May, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,

JAIL BUILDING COMMITTEE
Robert Steinke, Chairman
Margaret Schad
James Clark
Frank Barbers
Clifford Bembenek

FINANCE COMMITTEE
Margaret Schad, Chairperson
Kevin Shibilski
Paul Borham
O. Philip Idsvoog
Eugene Zdroik

Motion by Supervisor Barbers, second by Supervisor Schad for the adoption. Supervisor Schad informed the Board that if this resolution was adopted the new balance of the contingency fund would be \$30,215.

Roll call vote revealed (32) ayes, (1) excused, Supervisor Kaczmarek. Resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 103
RE: SUPPORTING THE ENACTMENT OF SB-207 DEALING WITH
INCREASING THE ISSUANCE FEE FOR DNR LICENSES

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, the issuance fee for D.N.R. licenses has not kept up with the cost of issuing such licenses, and

WHEREAS, SB-207 would increase the issuance fee for D.N.R. licenses from \$.50 to \$1.00, and

WHEREAS, this increase would more adequately cover the cost of issuing such licenses, both on the County and license depot level.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors goes on record in urging all area legislators to support the enactment of SB-207.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a copy of this resolution be forwarded to Governor Thompson, Senator Helbach, Representatives Gruszynski, Schneider, Hasenohrl and the Wisconsin Counties Association.

Dated this 19th day of May, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE
Kevin Shibilski, Chairman
Eugene Szymkowiak
Jeffrey Murphy

Motion by Supervisor Shibilski, second by Supervisor Murphy for the adoption.

Roll call vote revealed (32) ayes, (1) excused, Supervisor Kaczmarek. Resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 104

RE: SUPPORTING LEGISLATION TO PERMIT COUNTIES TO RETAIN
ALL PROCEEDS FROM THE SALE OF TAX DEEDED PROPERTY

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, various legislation has been proposed to require counties to return the net proceeds from the sale of tax deeded property to the former owner of the property, and

WHEREAS, most sales of tax deeded properties result in a net loss to counties, and

WHEREAS, counties would incur additional expenses in trying to locate some former owners, and

WHEREAS, economically and administratively, counties should be permitted to retain any net proceeds from the sale of tax deeded properties.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors goes on record in urging all area legislators to support legislation to permit counties to retain all proceeds from the sale of tax deeded properties.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a copy of this resolution be forwarded to Governor Thompson, Senator Helbach, Representatives Gruszynski, Schneider, Hasenohrl and the Wisconsin Counties Association.

Dated this 19th day of May, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE
Kevin Shibilski, Chairman
Eugene Szymkowiak
Jeffrey Murphy

Motion by Supervisor Szymkowiak, second by Supervisor Hanson for the adoption.

Supervisor Kiedrowski stated that he felt the proceeds should go back to the individual.

Roger Wrycza, County Clerk, stated that the County probably only makes a small amount of money in one of ten cases.

Jerry Glad, Business Administrator, stated that allowing the money to go back to the individuals could put the County in the real estate business by allowing the individuals to let their property go to tax deed, wait for the County to sell it and in the meantime live there without paying taxes.

Roll call vote revealed (30) ayes, (2) nays, Supervisors Kiedrowski and Wierzba, and (1) excused, Supervisor Kaczmarek. Resolution adopted.

OFFICIAL PROCEEDINGS
of the
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
of
PORTAGE COUNTY, WISCONSIN

June 16, 1987

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Robert J. Steinke following a dinner and tour at the Portage County Home.

Roll call was taken by the Clerk as follows.

District	1,	David A. Medin
District	2,	Gale L. Kidder
District	3,	Paul A. Borham
District	4,	Cheryl A. Kaczmarek
District	5,	Frank Barbers, Sr.
District	6,	Richard M. Purcell
District	7,	Leo Mancheski
District	8,	David Eckholm
District	9,	Eugene G. Szymkowiak
District	10,	Gordon M. Hanson
District	11,	Ernest V. Wanta
District	12,	Jeffrey K. Murphy
District	13,	James E. Clark
District	14,	Phillip J. Janowski
District	15,	Clifford F. Bembenek
District	16,	John W. Holdridge
District	17,	Kevin W. Shibilski
District	18,	William H. Peterson
District	19,	Margaret M. Schad
District	20,	Robert A. Winblad
District	21,	O. Philip Idsvoog
District	22,	Robert J. Steinke
District	23,	Anthony B. Kiedrowski
District	24,	Frank Dernbach
District	25,	Stuart Clark
District	26,	Carrol Winkler
District	27,	Ernest Leppen
District	28,	Stanley Kirschling
District	29,	Eugene Zdroik
District	30,	Ronald J. Check
District	31,	Leif Erickson
District	32,	John Wierzba, Jr.
District	33,	Clarence Hintz

Roll call vote taken by Clerk Roger Wrycza revealed (30) present, (1) absent, Supervisor Holdridge, (2) excused, Supervisors Szymkowiak and Erickson.

All present saluted the flag.

The invocation was delivered by Supervisor Shibilski.

Motion by Supervisor Janowski, second by Supervisor Dernbach to amend the minutes to include under Unlimited Topics the moment of silence observed by Board members for the servicemen of the USS Stark who were killed or injured in the missile attack in the Persian Gulf. Motion carried by voice vote.

Motion by Supervisor Wierzba, second by Supervisor Wanta to amend the minutes under Unlimited Topics, paragraph two, sentence two, to change the words "at arrival" to "when ordered". Motion carried by voice vote.

Motion by Supervisor Barbers, second by Supervisor Shibilski to approve the May County Board minutes as amended. Motion carried by voice vote.

Appointments

Motion by Supervisor Schad, second by Supervisor Barbers to approve the reappointment of James Krems to the Park Commission for a seven year term expiring June 1994. Motion carried by voice vote.

Motion by Supervisor Janowski, second by Supervisor Murphy to approve the reappointment of Kurt Knopp to the Board of Adjustment for a three year term expiring July 1990. Motion carried by voice vote.

Motion by Supervisor Janowski, second by Supervisor Murphy to approve the appointment of LaVerne Peterson to the Housing Authority Board to replace William Clinton whose term expires September 1991. Motion carried by voice vote.

Unlimited Topics

Supervisor Murphy questioned the status of the Code of Ethics.

John Runde, District Attorney, reported that the Code of Ethics was presented to the Judicial/General Government Committee and is being revised. It will be presented to the Committee on Committees for their consideration and input at their meeting of July 1. The Judicial/General Government Committee will most likely make a recommendation to the County Board in July for discussion. It will again be reviewed by the Judicial/General Government Committee and Committee on Committees to be presented to the full County Board in August for adoption.

(Enter Supervisor Holdridge)

Supervisor Wierzba questioned the results of the survey of vendors.

Runde stated that he will be presenting the results of the survey to the Finance Committee before reporting to the County Board. He stated that of the seventy-nine vendors surveyed he did not receive responses from eighteen or nineteen, but responses are still coming in. He did state that there is "no smoking gun" from those that have been received. Common practice of vendors has been to provide free lunches and a few have provided such items as Christmas dinner gifts, cost-shared hunting and fishing trips, and hats and pens.

Supervisor Barbers questioned if the Code of Ethics will include a clause that would prevent a County Supervisor from testifying against the County referring to an issue where a Supervisor testified and changed his mind on the value of land contrary to what was stated at a Board meeting.

Supervisor Murphy stated that he believed Supervisor Barbers was questioning his testimony at a condemnation hearing where he had done a survey of land owned by the Zurawski's which he had conducted before he was a County Board Supervisor. He stated that he was asked to testify and he is quite sure that had he not agreed to testify he would have been subpoenaed to appear.

Murphy stated that he was not to testify as to the value of land because he is not a licensed appraiser. Murphy stated that in 1981 the Zurawskis considered to develop the land into a mobile home park. A site study was conducted and a preliminary design on how many mobile home sites could be there as well as sewer information was provided. Murphy stated that he was asked to testify as to the extent of the work he conducted, not the value of the land. Murphy added that he felt that he did not violate any Code of Ethics.

Runde stated that conflicts of interests would be prohibited but whether or not the specified situation would be a conflict would have to be determined by the Code of Ethics Committee.

RESOLUTION NO. 106

RE: ADOPTION OF COUNTY ZONING MAP FOR TOWN OF PLOVER

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, the Plover Town Board has declared its intention to approve the Portage County Zoning Ordinance, and has worked in cooperation with the County Planning Department to develop a County zoning map for the Town of Plover, and after numerous public meetings has approved said map and requested County Board adoption of same; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee held a public hearing on the proposed County zoning map for the Town of Plover in the County Conference Room of the County-City Building on May 27, 1987 after required notices were published in the Stevens Point Journal. At said hearing, all those who wished to be heard were heard and pertinent facts constituting the testimony were recorded; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee, after carefully considering the testimony, including the attached fact sheet, at the May 27, 1987 meeting, has placed a recommendation with the County Board that the proposed County zoning map for the Town of Plover be adopted.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors does ordain as follows: a County zoning map for the Town of Plover, a copy of which is attached hereto, is hereby adopted. Said map, together with all boundary lines and designations thereon, shall become part of the Portage County Zoning Ordinance and shall become effective in the Town of Plover upon the date of filing by the Plover Town Clerk with the Portage County Clerk, of a certified copy of a Town Board resolution approving both the County zoning ordinance and map (attached to a copy of said ordinance and map), in accordance with Section 59.97(5)(C), Wis. Stats.

Dated this 16th day of June, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE
O. Philip Idsvoog, Chairman
Leif E. Erickson
Ronald J. Check

Clifford F. Bembenek
Richard M. Purcell

Motion by Supervisor Schad, second by Supervisor Idsvoog for the adoption.
Roll call vote revealed (31) ayes, (2) excused, Supervisors Szymkowiak and Erickson. Resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 107

RE: ZONING ORDINANCE MAP AMENDMENT, LINDQUIST PROPERTY

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, Edythe and Glenn Lindquist request to amend the Portage County Zoning Ordinance so part of Section 33, T23N, R10E, Town of Amherst, an area of 0.5 acres be removed from the Shoreland/Wetland District; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee held a public hearing on the proposed amendment in the County Conference Room of the County-City Building on May 27, 1987 after due notices were published in the Stevens Point Journal. At said hearing all those who wished to be heard were heard and pertinent facts constituting the testimony were recorded; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee, after carefully considering the testimony, including the attached fact sheet at the May 27, 1987 meeting, has placed a recommendation with the County Board that the request be approved; and

WHEREAS, the proposed amendment, with the information furnished in the attached report and fact sheet, has been given due consideration by the County Board.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors does ordain as follows: Part of Lot 1 of CSM #3201-11-159 being approximately 35 feet wide by approximately 200 feet long as per plans submitted to the Department of Natural Resources being part of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 33, T23N, R10E, Town of Amherst, an area not to exceed 0.5 acres is hereby removed from the Shoreland/Wetland District.

Dated this 16th day of June, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE
O. Philip Idsvoog, Chairman
Leif E. Erickson
Ronald J. Check

Clifford F. Bembenek
Richard M. Purcell

Motion by Supervisor Idsvoog, second by Supervisor Bembenek for the adoption.

Roll call vote revealed (30) ayes, (1) abstained, Supervisor Murphy, (2) excused, Supervisors Szymkowiak and Erickson. Resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 108

RE: ZONING ORDINANCE MAP AMENDMENT, LASKA PROPERTY

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, Myron Laska requests to amend the Portage County Zoning Ordinance so part of Sections 24 and 25 of T25N, R8E, Town of Dewey, an area of 60 acres be changed from Conservancy District to Agricultural District; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee held a public hearing on the proposed amendment in the County Conference Room of the County-City Building on April 8, 1987 after due notices were published in the Stevens Point Journal. At said hearing all those who wished to be heard were heard and pertinent facts constituting the testimony were recorded; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee, after carefully considering the testimony, including the attached fact sheet at the April 8 and May 27, 1987 meetings, has placed a recommendation with the County Board that the request be approved with modifications; and

WHEREAS, the proposed amendment, with the information furnished in the attached report and fact sheet, has been given due consideration by the County Board.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors does ordain as follows: the south 330 feet of the north 430 feet of parcel #014-25-0824-11.01 being part of the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 24, T25N, R8E, Town of Dewey, an area of 10 acres is hereby changed from Conservancy District to A-2 Agricultural Transition District.

Dated this 16th day of June, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE
O. Philip Idsvoog, Chairman
Leif E. Erickson
Ronald J. Check

Clifford F. Bembenek
Richard M. Purcell

Motion by Supervisor Purcell, second by Supervisor Idsvoog for the adoption.

Supervisor Peterson questioned why the Planning and Zoning Committee is recommending this amendment with so many negative factors listed.

Chuck Kell, County Planner, reported that the Planning and Zoning Committee is recommending that only the lot of ten acres be rezoned rather than the original 60 acres which was requested. Kell stated that the applicant did show that the area could accommodate at least one homesite based on the soil tests and it is the feeling that one homesite would be appropriate for the area.

Supervisor Peterson questioned how Mr. Laska was going to get a road to the property.

Kell stated that there is a Town road right up to the edge of his property although it is not a fully improved Town road and from that point on he would have to either ask the Town to extend that road or make a private driveway.

Supervisor Peterson stated that he was concerned because there are many isolated areas such as this in the County and wondered how many would be coming for zoning changes in the future.

Kell stated that it is a legitimate concern and the Planning Report shows that the County is not overly anxious to approve this type of request.

Roll call vote revealed (27) ayes, (4) naves, Supervisors Kidder, Peterson, Winblad, Shibilski, (2) excused, Supervisors Szymkowiak and Erickson.
Resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 109
RE: ACQUISITION OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT
1016 ARLINGTON PLACE

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, a long-range plan approved by the County has identified the area located in a westerly direction from the County-City Building as the best site for future expansion, and

WHEREAS, the property in question which is located within this area at 1016 Arlington Place has been placed on the market for sale, and

WHEREAS, it would be financially advantageous for Portage County to acquire the property at the present time to avoid inflationary acquisition costs in the future.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors approve the acquisition of the property at 1016 Arlington Place and authorize the transfer of any amount not to exceed \$49,900 from the general fund to finance the acquisition.

Dated this 16th day of June, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
SPACE AND PROPERTIES COMMITTEE
James Clark, Chairman
David Medin
Frank Dernbach
Cheryl Kaczmarek
Ernest V. Wanta

FINANCE COMMITTEE
Margaret Schad, Chairperson
Kevin Shibilski
Paul Borham
O. Philip Idsvoog
Eugene Zdroik

Motion by Supervisor James Clark, second by Supervisor Wanta for the adoption.

Supervisor Schad reported that if this resolution is adopted the new balance of the General Fund would be \$949,966.

Supervisor Purcell stated that the long-range plans of the County seem to change on occasion and questioned if we should be buying property at this time.

Roger Wrycza, County Clerk, stated that at the last Jail Building Committee meeting the Committee voted to recommend to build a jail on the Strongs Avenue site which is the current parking lot, this would require the County to acquire additional parking areas. This recommendation will come to the full County Board in August. Wrycza stated that due to the unfortunate circumstances regarding this property the party approached the County to buy the property and had the site gone on the market the County might have had to pay more for it.

Supervisor Murphy stated that it is his understanding that the County is purchasing this property below the assessed value and urged the County to purchase it at this time. Murphy questioned if we would utilize the space.

Supervisor James Clark stated that it will probably be rented out as office space.

Roll call vote revealed (31) ayes, (2) excused, Supervisors Szymkowiak and Erickson. Resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 110

RE: UPDATING AND REVISION OF THE COUNTY PARK ORDINANCE

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, the Portage County Park Ordinance adopted on February 19, 1970 and amended since that date is in need of further revision, and

WHEREAS, the proposed changes have been reviewed by the Portage County District Attorney and conform to resolution #149 dated March 20, 1979 dealing with codification of ordinances.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors adopt the proposed changes and revise the Portage County Ordinance (Section V of County Ordinances) as follows:

Section 5.1.5(B)(1) (Boat Speed and Aquatic Activity Regulation)

Add (f) Lake DuBay, Town of Eau Pleine, as follows:

A 100' strip of water along the shoreline at DuBay County Park from a point commencing at the north edge of the swimming area, thence northwesterly along a line 100' feet from the shoreline to a point on the north line of the NE 1/4 of the SE 1/4, Section 5 T25N R7E, a distance of 1,090 feet more or less marked with a restricted speed buoy.

Dated this 16th day of June, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
PORTAGE COUNTY PARK COMMISSION
Gail Kidder
David Galecke
Jerry Corgiat
James Krems

William Peterson
Eugene Szymkowiak
Robert Winblad

Motion by Supervisor Schad, second by Supervisor Janowski to amend the resolution by changing "RESOLUTION NO." to "ORDINANCE NO.". Motion carried by voice vote.

Motion by Supervisor Kidder, second by Supervisor Winblad for the adoption. Jerry Ernst, Parks Superintendent, explained that since this park is becoming very popular this amendment is being offered as a safety precaution.

Roll call vote revealed (31) ayes, (2) excused, Supervisors Szymkowiak and Erickson. Resolution adopted.

Chairman Steinke asked Board members to remain for dairy treats provided by the June Dairy Day Committee in observance of June Dairy Month.

OFFICIAL PROCEEDINGS
of the
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
of
PORTAGE COUNTY, WISCONSIN

July 21, 1987

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Robert J. Steinke.
Roll call was taken by the Clerk as follows.

District	1,	David A. Medin
District	2,	Gale L. Kidder
District	3,	Paul A. Borham
District	4,	Cheryl A. Kaczmarek
District	5,	Frank Barbers, Sr.
District	6,	Richard M. Purcell
District	7,	Leo Mancheski
District	8,	David Eckholm
District	9,	Eugene G. Szymkowiak
District	10,	Gordon M. Hanson
District	11,	Ernest V. Wanta
District	12,	Jeffrey K. Murphy
District	13,	James E. Clark
District	14,	Phillip J. Janowski
District	15,	Clifford F. Bembenek
District	16,	John W. Holdridge
District	17,	Kevin W. Shibilski
District	18,	William H. Peterson
District	19,	Margaret M. Schad
District	20,	Robert A. Winblad
District	21,	O. Philip Idsvoog
District	22,	Robert J. Steinke
District	23,	Anthony B. Kiedrowski
District	24,	Vacant
District	25,	Stuart Clark
District	26,	Carrol Winkler
District	27,	Ernest Leppen
District	28,	Stanley Kirschling
District	29,	Eugene Zdroik
District	30,	Ronald J. Check
District	31,	Leif Erickson
District	32,	Vacant
District	33,	Clarence Hintz

Roll call taken by Clerk Roger Wrycza revealed (31) present, (2) vacant,
District 24 and District 32 Supervisors.

All present saluted the flag.

Chairman Steinke asked that the Board observe a moment of silent prayer in
memory of Supervisor Frank Dernbach and Supervisor John Wierzba.

Motion by Supervisor Szymkowiak, second by Supervisor Kaczmarek to approve
the minutes of the June 16, 1987 County Board meeting. Motion carried by voice
vote.

Correspondence

Notice from the County Clerk informing the Board that the Park Commission has extended an invitation to host the August 18, 1987 County Board meeting at Jordan Park with a cookout after the meeting for a fee of \$4.00 per person. Response indicated that Board members accepted the invitation so the August 18, 1987 County Board meeting will be at 5:00 p.m. at Jordan Park.

Supervisor Purcell extended an invitation to County Board members for a portrait dedication and open house at the Ruth Gilfry Human Resources Center at 4:00 p.m. on August 18, 1987.

Committee Referrals

Motion by Supervisor Idsvoog, second by Supervisor Purcell to deny the rezoning petition of Fred & Wilma Schulz in the Town of Hull.

Supervisor Murphy questioned why denial is being recommended.

Todd Gates, Senior Planner, stated that the request was to build in a wetland area in order to construct a residence nearer to the Wisconsin River. The County Planning and Zoning Committee as well as the Town Board felt that denial was warranted because there were other buildable sites on his property that were not in the wetland.

Supervisor Idsvoog stated that part of the applicant's land is buildable and part of it is not buildable because of the wetland and that is why the Committee and Town recommended denial. Idsvoog added that similar requests have been denied in the past.

Supervisor Murphy then stated that his understanding was that the applicant can still build on his property but he cannot build in the location that he wanted to construct the residence.

Supervisor Ron Check stated that from the on-site inspection they found that the applicant wanted to build on the edge of the River but in order to build there he would have to move back 100 feet from the water which brought the house in the wetland.

Motion carried by voice vote. Denial approved.

Motion by Supervisor Szymkowiak, second by Supervisor Hanson to refer the summons and complaint of Barbara A. Young vs Portage County to the District Attorney's office. Motion carried by voice vote.

County Clerk Roger Wrycza informed the Board that the Town of Plover officially went under County Zoning effective July 2, 1987.

Clerk Wrycza also informed the Board that the September Board meeting is changed to September 22, 1987 because the third Tuesday is in conflict with the WCA Convention.

Supervisor Schad, Finance Committee Chairperson, stated that the Finance Committee has begun preliminary planning for the budget process. Schad stated that at the next Finance meeting the Committee will discuss the budget status and several department heads will report to the Committee on the budget guideline impact on the 1987 programs. On August 3 the Finance Committee will develop preliminary 1988 guidelines, August 5 the Finance and Personnel Committees will develop salary and wage budget guidelines, August 24 will be the equalization hearing to develop specific budget guidelines for departments. Schad also stated that the Finance Committee's intention is to develop a plan that only includes existing sources of revenue, that is federal and state grants, user fees and property taxes. The Committee will focus their attention in controlling the expenditure component of the budget and after the Committee has finalized the budget and it has been published in the paper we

will consider alternate revenue sources such as a sales tax or wheel tax. Schad stated that the Finance Committee feels that this process will ensure that if a sales tax is implemented it will be used only as a direct property tax relief which is the purpose of a County sales tax and that would be possible since the expenditure component would already have been published. Schad repeated that this is only a preliminary plan.

Supervisor Idsvoog stated that as a point of information the County sales tax is only required to be a direct property tax relief the first year but that does not mean it would be the case the following year.

Supervisor Holdridge questioned the targeted tax rate for 1988 and if it might be comparable with 1987.

Schad stated that a rate has not yet been determined but is hoped that it might even be less than 1987.

Supervisor Holdridge suggested looking at a targeted rate before looking at expenditures.

Schad stated that the Finance Committee will be having planning meetings before the budget process actually starts and will keep Supervisor Holdridge's suggestion in mind.

Appointments

Motion by Supervisor Barbers, second by Supervisor Idsvoog to approve the appointment of Steve A. Bogaczyk to the Housing Authority Board to fill the unexpired term of Robert Berndt which expires September 1989. Motion carried by voice vote.

Unlimited Topics

Housing Authority Annual Report Questions

Supervisor James Clark questioned if the Housing Authority is staying within their budget guidelines after the County cut the 1987 Housing Budget.

Mary Schultz, Interim Housing Authority Director, stated that approximately \$35,000 which was the entire County subsidy was cut from the budget and the Authority is operating solely on the federal subsidy and have paid all of the bills as presented to them by the County without any problem.

Supervisor Eckholm questioned the decrease in the rental income and the increase in the interest income.

Schultz stated that the rental income could have dropped because the tenants the Authority are serving might have lower incomes which means they pay a lower portion of rent by themselves and that would mean the subsidy money would be higher from the federal government in order to keep the same amount of income coming in to operate the program.

Supervisor Idsvoog questioned that in terms of the interest money that was collected was there any surplus generated by the present structure.

Schultz stated than any money in excess of the operating needs is in saving accounts to generate interest.

Supervisor Stuart Clark stated that in regard to the rental income decrease the tenants pay 30% of their adjusted income in rent and the federal government picks up the balance. Clark stated that in regard to the interest income that part of the program is to put some money in reserves for each project to help replace major things like roofs. We are not allowed to spend that reserve money without Farmers Home approval. Clark stated that project two has quite a sizeable reserve account which is partly because of a high occupancy and because HUD approved a rent increase where Farmers Home had to pay the rent increase which is being put into the reserve account.

Supervisor Murphy questioned what would have happened if the new roof would not have been put on the building.

Supervisor Stuart Clark stated that it was leaking.

Supervisor Murphy stated that the Tomorrow River Villa will now have three new roofs on the building in a ten year period and felt that was not necessary.

Schultz stated that she did some checking and was unable to find any record of a second roof being put on the building.

Supervisor Murphy stated that the original roof that was put on the building leaked and he is not sure if the contractor had to pay for another roof but stated that the point was that the building should not have needed three roofs within the ten years.

Schultz stated that original specs showed that the requirements showed that only a five year standard was required but it did last longer than five years. The roof was leaking and is now replaced with shingles that are guaranteed for fifteen years.

Supervisor Szymkowiak stated that the Board should not be surprised that the roofs leak since every building the County constructs seems to have roof problems and suggested that possibly someone should be checking the work of the contractor to ensure that the work is being done properly.

Supervisor Kidder questioned the vacancy rates.

Schultz stated that project one has five units without subsidies and there are problems renting those five units because people have trouble paying the full market rent, project two is in the Milladore area and is felt to be in a bad location because the type of people allowed to rent those units are staying home longer, the Authority now received permission to rent the units to single and under 62 years of age tenants which should help that problem, and project three is the family units and Schultz felt that the project was being run too restrictively and hopes to work with the new Board to change some of the rules and regulations to get better occupancy.

Supervisor Eckholm questioned if the report contained a complete financial data report.

Jerry Glad, Business Administrator, stated that the County Audit report does have that information and that it might be included in the next report.

Supervisor Hanson questioned if there have been any discussions concerning the possibility of consolidating with the City Housing Authority.

Supervisor Stuart Clark stated that there have been no formal talks but there have been informal talks with the Mayor. Clark stated that the Housing Board has had a hectic past six to nine months and the Board wants to explore all options that might be available such as combining or contract services with the City, reorganization of existing structure, or hire management like an outside contractor to manage the Housing Authority. The Housing Board wants to look at how to best serve the elderly and handicapped residents for the next five to ten year period.

Motion by Supervisor Stuart Clark, second by Supervisor Janowski to approve the report. Motion carried by voice vote.

Proposed Code of Ethics

CODE OF ETHICS FOR PUBLIC OFFICIALS AND COUNTY EMPLOYEES

1.01 Declaration of policy. (1) It is declared that high moral and ethical standards among county public officials are essential to the conduct of free government; that the County Board of Supervisors believes that a code of ethics for the guidance of county public officials and County employees will help them avoid conflicts between their personal interests and their public responsibilities, will improve standards of public service and will promote and strengthen the faith and confidence of the people of this county in their county public officials and County employees.

(2) It is the intent of the County Board of Supervisors that in its operations the board shall protect to the fullest extent possible the rights of individuals affected.

1.02 Definitions. In this code:

(1) "Anything of value" means any money or property, favor, service, payment, advance, forbearance, loan, or promise of future employment, but does not include compensation and expenses paid by the county, fees, honorariums and expenses which are permitted and reported or political contributions which are reported under state law, or hospitality extended for a purpose unrelated to county business by a person other than an organization.

(2) "Associated", when used with reference to an organization, includes any organization in which an individual or a member of his or her immediate family is a director, officer, or trustee, or owns or controls, directly or indirectly, and severally or in the aggregate, at least 10% of the outstanding equity.

(3) "Chairman" means the chairman of the ethics committee.

(4) "Committee" means the ethics committee.

(5) "Gift" means the payment or receipt of anything of value without valuable consideration.

(6) "Immediate family" means:

(a) An individual's spouse; and

(b) An individual's relative by marriage, lineal descent or adoption who receives, directly or indirectly, more than one-half of his or her support from the individual or from whom the individual receives, directly or indirectly, more than one-half of his or her support.

(6m) "Income" has the meaning given under section 61 of the internal revenue code.

(6s) "Internal revenue code" has the meanings given under s.71.02(2)(d), Wis. Stats.

(7) "County public official" means any individual holding a county public office whether elected or appointed or department head.

(8) "Verified" means sworn and notarized.

2.01 Standards of conduct. (1) The county board hereby reaffirms that a county public official holds his or her position as a public trust, and any effort to realize substantial personal gain through official conduct is a violation of that trust. This code does not prevent any county public official from accepting other employment or following any pursuit which in no way interferes with the full and faithful discharge of his or her duties to this county. The county board further recognizes that in a representative democracy, the supervisors are drawn from society and, therefore, cannot and should not be without all personal and economic interest in the decisions and policies of government; that citizens who serve as county public officials retain their rights as citizens to interests of a personal or economic nature; that standards of ethical conduct for county public officials need to distinguish between those minor and inconsequential conflicts that are unavoidable in a free society, and those conflicts which are substantial and material; and that county public officials may need to engage in employment, professional or business activities, other than official duties, in order to support themselves or their families and to maintain a continuity of professional or business activity, or may need to maintain investments, which activities or investments do not conflict with the specific provisions of this code.

(2) No county public official may use his or her public position or office to obtain financial gain or anything of substantial value for the private benefit of himself or herself or his or her immediate family, or for an organization with which he or she is associated.

(3) No person may offer or give to a county public official, directly or indirectly, and no county public official may solicit or accept from any person, directly or indirectly, anything of value if it could reasonably be expected to influence the county public official's vote, official actions or judgment, or could reasonably be considered as a reward for any official action

or inaction on the part of the county public official. This subsection does not prohibit a county public official from engaging in outside employment.

(4) No county public official may intentionally use or disclose information gained in the course of or by reason of his or her official position or activities in any way that could result in the receipt of anything of value for himself or herself, for his or her immediately family, or for any other person, if the information has not been communicated to the public or is not public information.

(5) No county public official may use or attempt to use his public position to influence or gain unlawful benefits, advantages or privileges for himself or others.

2.02 Conflict of interest prohibited; exception. (1) Except in accordance with the committee's advice under sub. (2) and except as otherwise provided in sub. (2), no county public official may:

(a) Take any official action substantially affecting a matter in which the official, a member of his or her immediate family, or an organization with which the official is associated has a substantial financial interest.

(b) Use his or her office or position in a way that produces or assists in the production of a substantial benefit, direct or indirect, for the official, one or more members of the official's immediate family either separately or together, or an organization with which the official is associated.

(2) Any individual, either personally or on behalf of an organization or governmental body, may request of the committee an advisory opinion regarding the propriety of any matter to which the person is or may become a party and any appointing officer, with the consent of a prospective appointee, may request of the committee an advisory opinion regarding the propriety of any matter to which the prospective appointee is or may become a party. The committee shall review a request for an advisory opinion and may advise the person making the request. Advisory opinions and requests therefor shall be in writing. The committee's deliberations and actions upon such requests shall be in meetings not open to the public. It is prima facie evidence of intent to comply with this code when a person refers a matter to the committee and abides by the committee's advisory opinion. The committee may authorize the chairman to act in its stead in instances where delay is of substantial inconvenience or detriment to the requesting party. No member or employe of the committee may make public the identity of the individual requesting an advisory opinion or of individuals or organizations mentioned in the opinion.

(3) This section does not prohibit a county public official from taking any action concerning the lawful payment of salaries or employe benefits or reimbursement of actual and necessary expenses, or prohibit a county public official from taking official action with respect to any proposal to modify county law.

2.03 Examples of conduct prohibited. By way of illustration the following gratuities may not be accepted by a county public official from any persons. These are examples only and other gratuities of like value are also prohibited. Examples are: Food and drink regularly offered in connection with meetings concerning county government, bottles of liquor, turkeys, and meals presented as a gift or reward. Any overnight trip, regardless of its purpose, taken by a county public official together with a vendor doing business with Portage County is prohibited unless prior approval by the governing committee of the county public official, or the ethics committee, is obtained.

2.04 Examples of approved gratuities. For purposes of illustration, the following items may be accepted by county public officials by any person. These are examples only and other gratuities of like value may also be

acceptable. Examples are: Promotional items of a small value with advertising prominently displayed such as baseball caps, calendars, pens, pencils and ash trays. An occasional beverage offered at social gatherings may also be accepted.

3.01 Creation of Ethics Committee. (1) An ethics committee is hereby created.

(2) The ethics committee shall be comprised of nine people. The members, including their immediate family, shall not be county employees nor hold any other county public office. The members shall be nominated by a majority of the Judicial/General Government Committee. The Judicial/General Government Committee shall select 18 names from prospective appointees and forward those names to the Committee on Committees. The Committee on Committees shall select nine members from the nominated list. Said selected members shall be appointed by a majority of the County Board. The term of office shall be for three years, except the original appointees shall be appointed to serve until September 1, 1988, 1989 and 1990 respectfully.

(3) No member shall serve more than two consecutive terms.

(4) The members shall elect a chairman.

3.02 Duties of the committee. The Committee shall:

(1) Adopt such rules as may be necessary to carry out this code. The committee shall give prompt notice of the contents of its rules to county public officials who will be affected thereby.

(2) Accept and file any information related to the purposes of this code which is voluntarily supplied by any person in addition to the information required by this code.

(3) The records of the committee shall be kept in the County Clerk's Office.

(4) The County Clerk shall allow the inspection and copying of any record open to public inspection and may impose a reasonable fee therefore.

(5) The District Attorney shall provide legal assistance to the committee upon request regarding interpretation of the language and procedural aspects of the code.

(6) Upon recommendation by the District Attorney, the committee may retain an attorney, at county expense, to carry out its duties. A maximum of \$500 per complaint may be authorized as payment by the committee without other approval. Expenditures in excess of that amount shall be approved by the County Finance Committee.

4.01 Complaints. (1) The committee shall accept from any individual, either personally or on behalf of an organization or governmental body, a verified complaint in writing which states the name of any person alleged to have committed a violation of this code and which sets forth the particulars thereof. The committee shall forward to the accused within 10 days a copy of the complaint and a general statement of the applicable rules with respect to such verified complaint. If the committee determines that the verified complaint does not allege facts sufficient to constitute a violation of this code, it shall dismiss the complaint and notify the complainant and the accused. If the committee determines that the verified complaint alleges facts sufficient to constitute a violation of this code, it may make an investigation with respect to any alleged violation. If the committee determines that the verified complaint was brought for harassment purposes, the committee shall so state.

(2) A signed complaint may be filed in the County Clerk's Office. The County Clerk shall accept the complaint and forward it to the chairman of the committee within 5 days. Thereafter, the procedure under sub (1) shall apply.

(3) Any county public official may request the committee to make an investigation of his or her own conduct or of allegations made by other persons as to his or her conduct. Such a request shall be made in writing and shall set forth in detail the reasons therefor.

(4) Following the receipt of a verified complaint or upon the receipt of other information, whether or not under oath, that provides a reasonable basis for the belief that a violation of this code has been committed or that an investigation of a possible violation is warranted, the committee may investigate the circumstances concerning the possible violation. Such investigation shall be initiated by a resolution of the committee and shall state the nature and purpose of the investigation and the actions or activities to be investigated. No investigation of any person may be commenced until it has been authorized by the committee and until the person who is the subject of the investigation has been notified of the investigation pursuant to sub. (4). If the committee, during the course of an investigation, finds probable cause to believe that a violation of this code has occurred, it may:

(a) If no verified complaint has been filed, make upon its own motion a verified complaint, which shall be in writing, shall state the name of the person is alleged to have committed a violation of this code and shall set forth the particulars thereof. The committee shall forward to the accused within 10 days a copy of the complaint, a general statement of the applicable rules with respect to such verified complaint and a specific statement enumerating the source or sources of information upon which the complaint is based.

(b) If a verified complaint has been filed and the committee finds probable cause to believe that a violation of this code, other than one contained in the complaint, has occurred it may amend the complaint, upon its own motion, to include such violations. If the complaint is so amended by the committee a copy of the amendment shall be sent to the person complained against within 48 hours.

(5) As soon as it becomes apparent to the chairman that there exists probable cause for the belief that a particular person has committed a violation of this code, the chairman shall apply to the committee for a resolution authorizing the investigation and, if secured, shall mail a copy of the resolution to the alleged violator together with a notice informing the alleged violator that such person is the subject of the investigation authorized by such resolution and a general statement of the applicable rules with respect to such investigation. Service of the notice is complete upon mailing.

(6) No action may be taken on any complaint which is filed later than 2 years after a violation of this code is alleged to have occurred.

4.02 Investigations. Pursuant to any investigation or hearing conducted under this code, the committee has the power:

(1) To require any person to submit in writing such reports and answers to questions relevant to the proceedings conducted under this code as the committee may prescribe, such submission to be made within such period and under oath or otherwise as the committee may determine.

(2) To administer oaths and to require by subpoena issued by it the attendance and testimony of witnesses and the production of any documentary evidence relating to the investigation or hearing being conducted.

(3) To order testimony to be taken by deposition before any individual who is designated by the committee and has the power to administer oaths, and, in such instances, to compel testimony and the production of evidence in the same manner as authorized by sub.(2).

(4) To pay witnesses the same fees and mileage as are paid in like circumstances by the courts of this state.

(5) To request and obtain from the department of revenue copies of

state income tax returns and access to other appropriate information under s. 77.11(44)(c), Wis. Stats. regarding all persons who are the subject of such investigation.

4.03 Probable cause of violation. (1) At the conclusion of its investigation, the committee shall, in preliminary written findings of fact and conclusions based thereon, make a determination of whether or not probable cause exists to believe that a violation of this code has occurred. If the committee determines that no probable cause exists, it shall immediately send written notice of such determination to the accused and to the party who made the complaint. If the committee determines that there is probable cause for believing that a violation of this case has been committed, its preliminary findings of fact and conclusions may contain:

(a) A recommendation for criminal prosecution which shall be referred to the district attorney in whose jurisdiction the alleged violation occurred, and, if the district attorney fails to commence a prosecution within 30 days to the attorney general, who may then commence a prosecution; or

(b) An order setting a date for hearing before the committee to determine whether a violation of this code has occurred. Such order shall be served upon the accused. A hearing ordered under this paragraph shall be commenced within 30 days of the date it is ordered unless the accused petitions for and the committee consents to a later date. Prior to any hearing ordered under this paragraph, the accused is entitled to full discovery rights, including adverse examination of witnesses who will testify at the hearing at a reasonable time before the date of the hearing.

(2) The committee shall inform the accused or his or her counsel of exculpatory evidence in its possession.

(3) If the committee makes a recommendation for criminal prosecution under sub. (1), the district attorney to whom the recommendation is made shall, within 30 days of receipt of such recommendation, make a decision whether to prosecute the party charged. The committee shall give written notice of any referral under this code to the accused. The district attorney shall give written notice of the decision to the accused, the complainant and the committee.

5.01 Hearing procedure. (1) During any investigation and during any hearing which is conducted to determine whether a violation of this code has occurred, the person under investigation or the accused may be represented by counsel of his or her own choosing and the accused of his or her representative, if any, shall have an opportunity to challenge the sufficiency of any complaint which has been filed against him or her, to examine all documents and records obtained or prepared by the committee in connection with the matter heard, to bring witnesses, to establish all pertinent facts and circumstances, to question or refute testimony or evidence, including the opportunity to confront and cross-examine adverse witnesses, and shall otherwise be able to exercise fully any pretrial discovery procedure usually available in civil actions. During any hearing conducted by the committee to determine whether a violation of this code has occurred, all evidence including certified copies of records which the committee considers shall be fully offered and made a part of the record in the proceedings. The accused or any other person under investigation shall be afforded adequate opportunity to rebut or offer countervailing evidence. Upon request of the accused, the committee shall issue subpoenas to compel the attendance of necessary witnesses.

(2) Any person whose name is mentioned or who is otherwise identified during a hearing being conducted by the committee and who, in the opinion of the committee, may be adversely affected thereby, may, upon request of the person or a representative of the person, or upon the request of any member, appear personally before the committee and testify on his or her own behalf

or have a representative appear to so testify, and the committee may permit any other person to appear and to testify at a hearing.

(3) The standards of evidence and the burden of proof applicable to criminal proceedings shall apply to hearings under this section.

(4) After the conclusion of its hearing the committee shall as soon as practicable begin deliberations on the evidence presented at such hearing and shall then proceed to determine whether the accused has violated this code.

5.02 Findings of fact and conclusions; order and recommendations. If the committee determines that no violation of this code has occurred, it shall immediately send written notice of such determination to the accused and to the party who made the complaint. If the committee determines that a violation of this code has occurred, its findings of fact and conclusions may contain one or more of the following orders or recommendations;

(1) A recommendation that the county public official be censured, suspended, or removed from office or employment. Such recommendations shall be made to the appropriate appointing authority who may censure, suspend, or take action to remove the official from office or employment.

(2) An order requiring the accused to conform his or her conduct to this code.

(3) An order requiring the accused to forfeit not more than \$500 for each violation of this code. The district attorney, when so requested by the committee, shall institute proceedings to recover any forfeiture incurred under this section which is not paid by the person against whom it is assessed.

(4) Such other recommendation or order as may be necessary and appropriate and is consistent with the intent and purposes of this code.

5.03 Rehearings. (1) After the service upon the accused by the committee of any decision containing an order or recommendation, the accused may apply to the committee for a rehearing with respect to any matter determined in such decision.

(2) An application for rehearing is governed by such general rules as the committee may establish. Only one rehearing may be granted by the committee. No order of the committee becomes effective until 20 days after it is issued, or while an application for rehearing or a rehearing is pending, or until 10 days after such application for rehearing is either denied, expressly or by implication, or the committee has announced its final determination on rehearing.

6.01 Public inspection of records. (1) Except as provided in sub. (2), all records in the possession of the committee including records filed with the County Clerk, are open to public inspection at all reasonable times. The committee shall require an individual wishing to examine the records which are in the committee's possession to provide his or her full name and address, and if the individual is representing another person, the full name and address of the person which he or she represents. Such identification may be provided in writing or in person. The committee shall record and retain for at least 3 years information obtained by it pursuant to this code. No individual may use a fictitious name or address or fail to identify a principal in making any request for inspection.

(2) The following records in the committee's possession are not for public inspection:

(a) Records obtained in connection with a request for an advisory opinion other than summaries of advisory opinions that do not disclose the identity of individuals requesting such opinions or organizations on whose behalf they are requested. The committee may, however, make such records public with the consent of the individual requesting the advisory opinion or the organization or governmental body on whose behalf it is requested. A

erson who makes or purports to make public the substance of or any portion of an advisory opinion requested by or on behalf of the person is deemed to have waived the confidentiality of the request for an advisory opinion and of any records obtained or prepared by the committee in connection with the request for the advisory opinion.

(b) Records obtained or prepared by the committee in connection with an investigation, except that the committee shall permit inspection of records that are made public in the course of a hearing by the committee to determine if a violation of this code has occurred. Whenever the committee refers such investigation and hearing records to a district attorney, they may be made public in the course of a prosecution initiated under this code.

7.01 Honorariums, fees and expenses. (1) Every county public official is encouraged to meet with clubs, conventions, special interest groups, political groups, school groups and other gatherings to discuss and to interpret legislative, administrative, executive or judicial processes and proposals and issues initiated by or affecting a department. Free meals offered in connection with such gatherings are not in violation of this code and need not be reported. Any other fee or expense paid in excess of \$10.00 per event or \$50.00 annually shall be reported to the ethics committee.

Supervisor Purcell questioned if the Code of Ethics is a law.

John Runde, District Attorney, stated that it is a little difficult as to whether it is actually a law but it could certainly be a County Ordinance. The legal definition of law is that it would require legislative action but to the extent that the legislature has given the County the authority to pass codes of ethics this would become a county ordinance that elected officials would have to operate under. There was a question raised that if someone violates the adopted code of ethics could they be removed from office and Runde stated that the answer to that is that they could be if in addition to passing it as an ordinance it was made a part of the rules of the County Board of Supervisors and once that would be done all the sanctions that apply within the Code of Ethics, the Ethics Committee would be able to enforce.

Supervisor Szymkowiak questioned that if this was the case and the Ethics Committee chose to remove a Supervisor from office would that take away that Supervisor's right of recall by the people to be voted back into office the next election. Supervisor Szymkowiak stated that he would rather be removed from office by his constituents rather than a nine member Ethics Committee.

Runde stated that Statutes already provides that County Board Supervisors as a body could remove another County Board Supervisor if there was cause to take such action by a two-thirds majority vote to do so. The Board could use the findings of the Ethics Committee as the cause to take this action.

Supervisor Szymkowiak stated that he felt the Code of Ethics was very confusing as to what was and was not allowed to do and cited examples of possible violations. Szymkowiak stated that he would have difficulty voting on the Code without more specific guidelines.

Runde stated that Page 2, Number 3 of the Code outlines what should or should not be accepted and as to how it might influence your official actions or judgments. Runde stated that there are some specific examples included in the Code.

Supervisor Check stated that he felt that good common sense should answer all the questions and that as Elected Officials and grown men and women Board members should know what can and cannot be accepted.

Supervisor Hanson stated that when the Personnel Committee reviewed the Code they were not as concerned with the small items but rather the larger more costly items as the fishing trips in Northern Wisconsin and hunting trips to South Dakota that were brought up in the vendor study. It was felt that the vendors were not offering these expensive types of things without expecting

some type of return. Hanson stated that he felt the Code should give some guidance in telling Board members and elected officials not to get involved in the costly instances which might influence decisions. Hanson stated that he did have concerns about setting up a separate Committee and felt that the District Attorney's office should administer the Code without setting up the nine member Committee which would result in extra per diem and travel expenses.

Supervisor Holdridge questioned Page 2, Number 3 as to whether the giver could be prosecuted, for giving someone something.

Runde stated that the giver could not be prosecuted because you cannot prosecute someone that is not governed by the Code.

Supervisor Holdridge questioned who would be governed by the Code.

Runde stated that the Personnel Committee offered an amendment to the Code to not include Department Heads since they are already governed by Personnel Policy.

Paul Jadin, Personnel Director, stated that Personnel Policy allows for disciplinary action by the governing committee or by the Personnel Committee for department heads.

Supervisor Szymkowiak stated that he would be more satisfied with a gentlemen's agreement rather than something in writing where a Board member feels that you have to take an attorney to your meetings to be sure that you would not be in violation of the Code of Ethics.

Supervisor Murphy stated that he feels the Code needs some clarification but he is in opposition to creating another Committee which causes a greater expense for the taxpayer.

Runde stated that he does know that there may be some costs involved with the Committee but feels that it would be money well spent. Runde went on to say that if the District Attorney administers the Code there would be the problem that you would only have one individual making the judgment call rather than three or five people. Runde stated that the another problem is that the District Attorney will ask for special prosecutors because an elected District Attorney will not prosecute another elected official. Runde stated that the District Attorney code of ethics states that the District Attorney legally cannot do work if there is a conflict of interest.

Supervisor Peterson pointed out that there are three pages to the Code of Ethics dealing specifically with the Code and six pages dealing with the Ethics Committee. Peterson stated that he does not believe the Committee will have a necessity to really meet unless there is something really bad that would be violated and does not see that happening.

Runde stated that the number of nine people to serve on the Committee was chosen to have a bank of people to possibly serve on the Ethics Committee.

Supervisor Hanson again stated that he felt the District Attorney's office should administer the plan.

Runde stated that the District Attorney will not administer the plan due to the possibility of the conflict of interest. Runde stated that the District Attorney would interpret the Code of Ethics for the Ethics Committee.

Supervisor Idsvoog pointed out that the Code of Ethics being proposed is almost exactly the same as the Code followed by State employees and felt that if State officials could follow it the County should also be able to follow the same rules.

Supervisor Holdridge stated that he supports the Committee concept but feels that Board members should be members of the Ethics Committee to allow Board members to judge and discipline their peers. Holdridge stated that he felt that election of the Board every two years does not suffice as judgment when some of the members have no opposition.

Runde stated that the Ethics Committee could have some Board members on the Committee.

Supervisor Szymkowiak questioned the power of the Ethics Committee and the power of the County Board in disciplining another Board member.

Supervisor Idsvoog stated that it was his understanding that the Ethics Committee would determine whether someone violated the code and under no circumstance take any action.

Runde disagreed with Idsvoog's interpretation and referred to Page 8 of the Code which allows a recommendation and order for action.

Supervisor Eckholm wondered if the Ethics Committee was composed of Board members if the public might think the incidents might be white-washed.

Runde stated that he did not think that would be the case if the Code allowed for the open records policy.

Supervisor Idsvoog stated that he felt as Supervisor Eckholm that it might not be a very good idea to have the Committee entirely consisting of County Board members.

Supervisor Holdridge stated that he felt it should be a mixed Ethics Committee with citizen members and a minority of County Board members.

Supervisor Barbers stated that he would rather have County Board members serving on the Ethics Committee rather than an outsider. Barbers felt that the County Board Supervisors would have a better understanding of the Code as to judge what is right or wrong.

Supervisor Holdridge questioned the length of two years time to have action taken against a person if a violation occurs and stated that he felt that six months or a year would be a sufficient amount of time.

Runde stated that it was recommended that since the terms of office are two years that the limit also be two years as to taking action on a violation.

Supervisor Holdridge referred to Section 4.01 and asked if the person filing the complaint could be protected from exposure.

Runde stated that a verified complaint must be sworn and notarized so that would not allow the person filing the complaint to be anonymous but the code does provide for the records to be public or closed to the public. The policy is that a person should not have to answer to allegations without knowing who is doing the accusing.

Supervisor Kiedrowski asked if the complainant has any protection of a countersuit.

Runde stated that if someone intentionally slandered a person he could be counter sued.

Supervisor Schad stated that she felt that most Board members were on the County Board because they believe in democratic principles and want to perform a public service. Schad stated that when Board members took the Oath of Office they promised to uphold the laws that are defined in the Constitution of the United States and the Constitution of Wisconsin. Schad reminded Board members that they salute the flag every County Board meeting as well as ask God's guidance to make the right decisions and questioned that if that is not enough and we still need a Code of Ethics let's adopt one.

Supervisor Holdridge questioned where the Code of Ethics will stand after the Board meeting.

Runde stated that it will go back to Judicial/General Government for review after suggestions from the Board.

Supervisor Shibilski stated that the agenda stated that amendments would be allowed on the Code of Ethics.

Runde stated that he would like amendments on the major items that were brought up at this Board meeting. Runde stated that he wanted more detailed information about the Committee structure and per diem payments. Runde stated that the question of how many times the Ethics Committee could meet was also addressed.

Supervisor Hanson stated that the Personnel Committee did not feel that there would be many complaints filed to limit the number of meetings held. Hanson stated that the way it is written the Committee would also have to meet on an advisory opinion and the Personnel Committee felt that would be too many meetings. The Personnel Committee felt the advisory opinions should come from

the District Attorney's office with the Ethics Committee only meeting on complaints. Hanson stated that the per diem would be similar to current committee meeting payments.

Runde stated that he had no problems with writing advisory opinions but did want the Ethics Committee to be able to review these opinions to ratify or reject these opinions.

Supervisor Shibilski suggested that amendments be voted on in an orderly fashion beginning with Page One of the Code and proceed from there.

Motion by Supervisor Shibilski, second by Supervisor Janowski to amend the Code of Ethics on Page One, Section 7 to delete the words "or department heads" and insert "to an elected office".

Motion carried by voice vote. Amendment carried.

Runde stated that the title of the Code would exclude the words "and employees".

Clerk Wrycza stated that with the amendment citizen members serving on certain Committees would not be covered under the Code of Ethics and would be allowed to accept gratuities.

Motion by Supervisor Shibilski, second by Supervisor Kidder to amend the Code of Ethics on Page One, Section 7 to add language which would include any and all appointed citizen members to County Board Committees. Motion carried by voice vote. Amendment carried.

Runde stated that on Page 3, Section 2 of the Code he would like to work on the language to indicate that the District Attorney's office would provide written advisory opinions and that the Ethics Committee at its next regular meeting may ratify that opinion but the person seeking the opinion can rely on the District Attorney opinion regardless if the Committee has acted on it.

Supervisor Holdridge stated that on Page 3, Section 2.04 the word "by" should be changed to "from".

Runde agreed to change that wording.

Runde pointed out that upon request of Judicial/General Government Committee and Committees on Committees there is language in the Code on Page 3, Section 2.03 that states that overnight trips, regardless of its purpose, taken by a county public official together with a vendor doing business with Portage County is prohibited unless prior approval by the governing committee of the county public official, or the ethics committee, is obtained. Runde stated that both committees felt it was necessary to keep a handle on the overnight hunting and fishing trips.

Motion by Supervisor Holdridge, second by Supervisor James Clark to amend the Code on Page 4, Section 3.01 (2) to include language that would state that of the nine member Ethics Committee at least three of the nine member bank be County Board Supervisors and of the three member board to do the actual investigation at least one of those three members shall be a County Board Supervisor. Motion carried by voice vote. Amendment carried.

Chairman Steinke suggested that all County Board Supervisor's names could be put in a hat and drawn by lot.

Runde stated that he would take that suggestion into consideration.

Motion by Supervisor Shibilski, second by Supervisor Kidder to amend the Code on Page 4, Section 3.01 (2) to include language that would keep the three County Board Supervisor positions vacant until the Committee meets and the names be drawn by lot. Motion carried by voice vote. Amendment carried.

Motion by Supervisor Hanson, second by Supervisor Janowski to amend the Code to allow the Ethics Committee to meet only when there is a complaint.

Motion carried by voice vote. Amendment carried.

Supervisor James Clark suggested that the Committee should meet at least quarterly to review the District Attorney advisory opinions and to review the Code and be familiar with it.

Chairman Steinke suggested that there be two orientation meetings for the Ethics Committee.

Runde stated that he understood that the Board wanted to limit the number of meetings to keep the costs to a minimum but he did recommend that the Ethics Committee meet periodically to review the District Attorney advisory opinions. Runde stated that he could add language in the Code to have the Ethics Committee meet only if there are complaints or advisory opinions that need to be reviewed. Runde stated that he felt the Board should be concerned with the number of meetings once a complaint has actually been filed.

Supervisor Hanson corrected his original motion regarding the number of meetings to include two orientation meetings.

Chairman Steinke stated that the orientation meetings would be included in the amendment.

Runde stated that he would include language in the Code as regards this last amendment that the Ethics Committee would have the two orientation meetings and then meetings only when an agenda is developed and or if the District Attorney requests a meeting for ratification of opinions.

Supervisor Idsvoog stated that he wanted to put a cap on the number of meetings to be four times a year.

Chairman Steinke stated that the District Attorney was only going to be requesting a meeting when required.

Motion by Supervisor Murphy, second by Supervisor Janowski to amend the Code to pay per diem and expenses as allowed to other County Board Committees. Roll call vote following voice vote revealed (19) ayes, Supervisors Steinke, Borham, Schad, Wanta, Winblad, Mancheski, Eckholm, Purcell, Murphy, Peterson, James Clark, Idsvoog, Kidder, Hanson, Barbers, Stuart Clark, Shibilski, Bembenek, Holdridge; (11) nays, Supervisors Zdroik, Szymkowiak, Kaczmarek, Leppen, Janowski, Winkler, Check, Kiedrowski, Kirschling, Medin, Hintz; (1) excused, Supervisor Erickson, (2) vacant, District 24 and District 32 Supervisors. Amendment carried.

Motion by Supervisor Purcell, second by Supervisor Murphy to amend the code on Page 4, Section 3.02 (6) to add the words "and approval of the County Board". Motion carried by voice vote. Amendment carried.

Motion by Supervisor Holdridge to amend the code on Page 6, Section 4.01 (6) to change "2 years" to "1 year". Motion died for lack of a second.

Motion by Supervisor Purcell, second by Supervisor Janowski to amend the Code on Page 5, Section 4.01 (1), third sentence by adding the word "possible" to read 'sufficient to constitute a "possible" violation'.

Runde suggested using the term "probable cause" rather than "possible" to allow for easier legal aspects.

Supervisor Purcell stated that he would rather remain with the word "possible".

Supervisor Janowski withdrew his second on the motion.

Supervisor Bembenek then seconded the motion.

Roll call vote following a voice vote revealed (8) ayes, Supervisors Purcell, Kaczmarek, Murphy, Kiedrowski, Holdridge, Bembenek, Hintz, Hanson; (22) nays, Supervisors Borham, Check, Leppen, Wanta, Zdroik, Winkler, Peterson, Winblad, Medin, Janowski, Stuart Clark, Barbers, Kirschling, Schad, Eckholm, Szymkowiak, Steinke, Kidder, Shibilski, James Clark, Idsvoog, Mancheski; (1) excused, Supervisor Erickson, (2) vacant, District 24 and 32 Supervisors. Amendment lost.

Motion by Supervisor Hanson, second by Supervisor Janowski to amend the Code on Page 5, Section 4.01 (1), third sentence by adding the words "probable cause" to read 'sufficient to constitute "probable cause" violation'. Motion carried by voice vote. Amendment carried.

Motion by Supervisor Purcell, second by Supervisor Kiedrowski to amend the Code on Page 5, Section 4.01 (1) end of the paragraph to add the words "and the complaint should be dismissed". Motion carried by voice vote. Amendment carried.

Chairman Steinke stated that if there were no other amendments the Board would proceed with the next agenda item.

RESOLUTION NO. 112

RE: RATIFYING ACTION OF THE SECRETARY OF TRANSPORTATION
WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION IN ACCEPTING GRANT
OFFER AND EXECUTING GRANT AGREEMENT, CENTRAL WISCONSIN AIRPORT,
MOSINEE, WISCONSIN AIP 3-55-0052-07

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS:

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE Board of Supervisors of the County of Portage as
follows:

SECTION I. That the County of Portage, as Sponsor, ratifies the action of the
Secretary of Transportation in entering into a Grant agreement for the purpose
of obtaining federal aid in the development of the Central Wisconsin Airport of
Mosinee, Wisconsin, said Agreement being as set forth hereinbelow.

SECTION II. That the Board of Supervisors does hereby ratify and affirm the
Agency Agreement between the Secretary of Transportation, and the County of
Portage, Wisconsin, dated April 10, 1987 in accordance with Section 114.32 and
Section 114.33, Wisconsin Statutes, affecting this project.

SECTION III. That a copy of the Grant Agreement is attached hereto and made a
part hereof.

SECTION IV. That the County of Portage does hereby ratify and adopt all
statements, representations, warranties, covenants, and agreements contained in
the "Application for Federal Assistance" executed January 21, 1987, the
assurance made as required by Title 49 CFR, DOT Subtitle A, Office of the
Secretary, Part 21, Nondiscrimination in the Federally Assisted Programs of the
Department of Transportation, Subsection 21.7 (a) (1) and the Assurance
required by the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition
Policies Act of 1970 on behalf of the County of Portage, Wisconsin, and does
hereby ratify the action of the Secretary of Transportation in accepting said
offer on June 15, 1987 and by such acceptance, the County of Portage agrees to
all terms and conditions thereof.

Dated this 21st day of July, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
CENTRAL WISCONSIN JOINT AIRPORT BOARD
Stuart Clark, Vice-Chairman
Gordon Hanson
Guenther Horn

Motion by Supervisor Shibilski, second by Supervisor Bembenek for the
adoption.

Motion carried by voice vote. Resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 113
RE: AFFIRMATIVE ACTION

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF
SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, it is the policy of Portage County to provide equal employment
opportunity to all qualified persons and to prohibit discrimination in
employment because of race, color, religion, sex, age, national origin, marital

status, sexual preference, or handicap; and

WHEREAS, equal employment opportunities for all segments of the labor force enables maximum utilization of human resources available for employment in the County; and

WHEREAS, recent legislation has necessitated revising and updating the Affirmative Action Plan adopted by Resolution No. 243, dated February 17, 1976; and

WHEREAS, the Wisconsin Department of Health and Social Services requires periodic updating of Affirmative Action plans.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors does hereby adopt the revised and updated Affirmative Action Plan as attached.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Affirmative Action/Fair Housing Committee serve as the controlling committee for administration of the Affirmative Action Plan.

Dated this 21st day of July 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
PERSONNEL COMMITTEE
Gordon Hanson, Chairman
Gail Kidder
Margaret Schad

Clarence Hintz
David Medin

AFFIRMATIVE ACTION PLAN
PORTAGE COUNTY

SECTION I
EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY
GENERAL POLICY STATEMENT

It is the policy of the Portage County Board of Supervisors to be fair and equitable in all its relations with its employees and applicants for employment without regard to race, color, religion, creed, sex, age, national origin, ancestry, handicap, marital status, or sexual preference.

The Portage County Board of Supervisors continues to be committed to the concept of equal employment opportunity as a necessary element of basic merit system principles that all persons shall be afforded equal access to positions in the public service limited only by their ability to do the job. Equal opportunity can best be effected through programmed Affirmative Action.

The major emphasis of this Affirmative Action Plan is to continue to remove artificial employment practices that could operate disadvantageously for an identifiable protected group of persons and to apply good faith efforts to seek out, employ, train and promote under-represented protected group members within and into the County's workforce. The County Board of Supervisors believes that an effective Affirmative Action Program not only benefits those who could have been denied equal employment opportunity, but also will benefit those County departments, programs and services which could have underutilized useful talents, resources and skills especially among women, minorities, handicapped, and other protected group persons.

The County Board of Supervisors, through adoption of the Affirmative Action Plan, commits the County and all its operating departments to a results-oriented personnel program aimed at achieving equal employment opportunity in all occupational levels of the County service.

SECTION II
GENERAL OBJECTIVES OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY
AFFIRMATIVE ACTION PLAN

1. To achieve and maintain employment levels for protected group members throughout the classes of positions in the County service in proportion to their availability in the relevant labor force. This will be achieved through targeted recruitments and increased hiring, promotion and training of these protected groups.
2. To prevent arbitrary, unnecessary and artificial practices affecting protected group members by examining personnel transactions and enforcing the use of validated employment practices.
3. To assign responsibility and accountability for Affirmative Action progress. The most crucial parts for policy implementation are at the Department Head and supervisory levels where decisions affecting hiring, assignment, training, promotion, compensation and disciplinary action are made.
4. To promote harmonious employee relations by providing training regarding County personnel policies and fair employment practices to supervisory employees, increasing employee awareness and acceptance of race/cultural, sex and handicap differences among employees and prohibiting unlawful harassment of employees in the workplace.

SECTION III
THE LAW

In our efforts to achieve Equal Employment Opportunity for all in the County service we will be guided by the intent and mandates of all applicable laws, including but not limited to the following laws:

1. Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended - Title VII, SEC. 703. (a) It shall be an unlawful employment practice of an employer:
 - (1) to fail or refuse to hire or to discharge an individual, or otherwise to discriminate against any individual with respect to his or her compensation, of such individual's race, color, religion, sex, nationality, or handicap; or
 - (2) to limit, segregate, or classify his or her employees or applicants for employment in any way which would deprive or tend to deprive any individual or employment opportunities or otherwise adversely affect his or her status as an employee, because of such individual's race, color, religion, sex, or national origin.
 - (3) It shall be an unlawful employment practice for an employment agency to fail or refuse to refer for employment, or otherwise to discriminate against, any individual because of his or her race, color, religion, sex, or national origin, or to classify or refer for employment any individual on the basis of his or her race, color, religion, sex, national origin or handicap.

2. Civil Rights Act of 1964 Title VI - Non-Discrimination in Federally Assisted Program

Requires that no person in the United States shall, on the ground of race, color, or national origin, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving federal financial assistance.

3. Executive Order 11246 (As amended by Executive Order 11375)

This order issued by the President in 1965, required Affirmative Action Programs by all Federal contractors and sub-contractors and requires that firms with contract of \$50,000 and 50 or more employees develop and implement written programs, which are monitored by an assigned Federal compliance agency.

Specific requirements for such "result-oriented" programs are spelled out in Revised Order No. 4 issued by the Office of Federal Contract Compliance, U.S. Department of Labor. These requirements include identifying areas of minority and female "underutilization", numerical hiring and promotion goals and other Actions to increase minority and female employment in job classifications where they are underutilized. Firms found not in compliance with Order No. 4 may face termination or cancellation of contracts or be barred from future contracts.

4. Fourteenth Amendment

Section 1. Declares that all persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside and that no State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the privileges or immunities of citizens of the United States; nor shall any State deprive any person of life, liberty, or property without due process of law; nor deny persons within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws.

5. Rehabilitation Act of 1973

Section 504. Prohibits discrimination based on handicap for both employment and the provision of services and requires the employer to make reasonable accommodation for employees and users of services. Examples of appropriate accommodation include, but are not limited to, the following:

- (a) moving or modifying office furniture to allow greater mobility for wheelchair-bound individuals.
- (b) providing sign language interpreters for the hearing impaired.
- (c) providing braille materials for the visually impaired.
- (d) provide specialized training for people with learning disabilities or mental disorders.

6. Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967

Seeks to prevent employment discrimination because of age against persons 40 to 70 years old.

7. The Equal Pay Act of 1963

The Equal Pay Act was the first federal law specifically aimed at correcting discrimination in employment. Basically, it mandates "Equal pay for equal work" regardless of sex. The law's three benchmarks for a job are skill, effort, and responsibility.

8. Sexual Harassment

Sex Discrimination Guidelines issued by the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission. Effective November 10, 1980.

This amendment reaffirms that sexual harassment is an unlawful employment practice.

9. Executive Order No. 39

Governor Lucey issued this order on May 17, 1972, requiring state agencies to develop and implement an Affirmative Action Plan having as ultimate goals the balancing of the state's workforce and the abolition of discrimination in the state's employment system.

SECTION IV
ASSIGNMENT OF RESPONSIBILITIES
IN SUPPORT OF THE PROGRAM

1. County Board of Supervisors:

The County Board of Supervisors will actively and aggressively support the County's Affirmative Action Plan for Equal Employment Opportunity through encouragement and budgetary assistance to County departments, through dissemination of Affirmative Action oriented information to employees and the general public, and by requiring and reviewing affirmative action progress reports.

2. County Administrative Coordinator:

The County Administrative Coordinator shall have the ultimate responsibility for the success of the Affirmative Action Program Plan. The County Administrative Coordinator shall provide for effective communication of and conformance with the requirements of this Plan and assure that each Department Head takes such Affirmative Action as is necessary to promote its goals.

3. Personnel Director:

The Personnel Director, in implementing the provisions of the Personnel Policies and Resolutions pertaining to the County's Personnel programs, shall be responsible for the general administration of the Plan and continually review all personnel policies, employment practices and procedures and make such recommendations consistent with progress in realizing full equal employment opportunity. Further, the Personnel Director shall make recommendations regarding staffing patterns to carry out the intent of this Plan.

4. Department Heads:

Department Heads shall adhere to the policy and intent of the County's Affirmative Action Plan by accepting responsibility for effectuating progress towards the goals and objectives of the Plan, ensure that appropriate steps

are implemented throughout their respective departments that are consistent with and supportive of the plan and hold supervisory staff and employees accountable for promoting equal employment opportunity in the workplace.

Specifically the Department Heads shall:

- (1) Make good faith efforts to meet realistic numerical goals and timetables from data provided by the Personnel Department and will participate with the Affirmative Action Officer in identifying problem areas and developing annual updates of the Plan as it applies to their individual departments.
- (2) Ensure that all employment decisions including development of job knowledge and skill requirements, interviews, offers of employment and compensation commitments, assignment, training and evaluation, and employee relations are consistent with the County's Personnel and Affirmative Action policies.
- (3) Evaluate the effectiveness of department supervisory personnel in furthering the progress of the department's efforts in Affirmative Action.

5. Affirmative Action Officer:

The Affirmative Action Officer will be responsible for the following.

- (a) Planning and coordinating activities associated with the Program.
- (b) Assisting members of management and department heads in problem identification and resolution relative to any requirement or provision of the program.
- (c) Developing draft policy statements, affirmative action components, and internal and external communication techniques.
- (d) Developing and implementing audit and reporting systems designed to:
 - Periodically measure the effectiveness of the County's Plan as well as individual departmental efforts.
 - Identify areas that appear to require special attention or remedial action.
 - Determine the degree to which minority, women and other protected group placement goals are being achieved.
- (e) Analyzing and evaluating employment practices and developing methods and strategies for improving the County's Affirmative Action position, increasing protected group employment, and complying with merit principles and legal requirements.
- (f) Serving as liaison between departments, enforcement agencies, minority/women groups, handicapped groups and other community action groups as appropriate.
- (g) Acting as resource person in matters regarding developments in the area of Equal Employment Opportunity.

- (h) Receiving, investigating and working to resolve internal complaints of alleged discrimination.
- (i) Arranging, participating and evaluating training activities related to Affirmative Action; preparing reports and informative articles and making presentations to County management, employees and community groups.
- (j) Providing technical assistance to the Affirmative Action/Fair Housing Committee regarding policies, procedures and resources available to the program.

6. County Employees

County employees will be responsible for supporting a work climate which is conducive to achieving the County's Affirmative Action Program goals. Commitment to support, in an affirmative manner, the County Board of Supervisors' policy regarding equal employment opportunity shall be a condition of employment or continuing employment.

7. City/County Affirmative Action/Fair Housing Committee:

An Affirmative Action/Fair Housing Committee, appointed by the County Board of Supervisors and Common Council, will function as a resource group to the Board, the County Administrative Coordinator, and the Personnel Department by reviewing, commenting and submitting recommendations for action on achieving Affirmative Action goals and objectives. To accomplish these responsibilities, the Committee will:

- Serve as a source of information regarding community and employee concerns relative to Affirmative Action.
- Become familiar with the County's employment policies and procedures, the resources available in the Personnel Department and will maintain close liaison with the Affirmative Action Officer.
- Maintain knowledge of current development in the field of equal employment opportunity law; and will
- Meet regularly in order to carry out its functions and responsibilities. All regular meetings of the Committee will be open and public.

The Committee will be composed of six (6) members to be appointed by the Common Council and County Board of Supervisors for a term of two years. The Committee will be broadly representative of the ethnic and sexual composition found in Government service and the community in general. Members will include one County Board Supervisor, one Alderman, one County employee, one City employee, and two representatives from minority groups and/or other interest groups in the community who are qualified to represent the interests of all protected groups in the labor force.

Criteria for selection of new members will include the candidates ability to understand the Affirmative Action need, broad community involvement and understanding of business and employment practices. The Common Council and County Board of Supervisors will consider the recommendations of the Committee when making new appointments.

SECTION V
PROGRAM DISSEMINATION

1. Internally

- a. Department Heads and first line supervisors will be fully informed by:
 - (1) Written communication from the Affirmative Action Officer.
 - (2) Meetings held regularly to discuss the program, individual responsibilities and review progress.
- b. All Department Heads and supervisory personnel will be informed that their performance on Affirmative Action goals will be rated along with other criteria in evaluation for overall performance, including promotions and merit increases. Inadequate cooperation or obstruction of the program will be considered a serious matter. Such continuing conduct may be grounds for disciplinary action.
- c. Educational materials and training sessions to communicate legal requirements for affirmative action will outline the responsibilities affecting the operations of each Department Head or supervisor. Discussion groups and "awareness" training to inform Department Heads and supervisors on the kinds of employment barriers and attitudinal stereotypes which often hinder Equal Employment Opportunity will be held and/or provided.
- d. All employees will be informed of the County's policy on Equal Employment Opportunity and the Affirmative Action Program through such means as:
 - (1) Presentation and discussion of the Program by immediate supervisors for all new hires during initial orientation, by Personnel/Affirmative Action Officer at general new employee orientations and training programs dealing with County employment practices.
 - (2) Posting of EEO policy standards and Federal EEO notices on County bulletin boards, dissemination of the Affirmative Action Plan and Personnel Policies to all departments, and inclusion of EEO articles in County publications and notices with paychecks for reference by all employees.
- e. County Personnel will meet with employee organization officials to inform them of the County's affirmative action policy and request their cooperation. Discrimination clauses will be included in all memorandum of understanding with employee organizations.

Elements of the affirmative action program which will enable employees to know of and avail themselves of the benefits of the program will be communicated to them.

2. Externally

- a. The County's Affirmative Action Program for Equal Employment Opportunity will be disseminated to the Portage County community at large as follows:

- (1) Recruiting sources will be informed in writing that we are an Equal Employment Opportunity employer.
- (2) Written and personal contacts will be made with minority and women's organizations, community agencies and leaders, news media, high schools and colleges and employment and training programs to inform them that we are an Equal Employment Opportunity employer, and encourage the application of minorities, women, handicapped and other protected group members.
- (3) The Affirmative Action Officer and Personnel staff will disseminate employment information, seek and counsel prospects, provide information on the Affirmative Action Program and in general assure that every possible contact is made which can be judged to assist the Affirmative Action effort.

SECTION VI
GOALS AND TIMETABLES FOR MINORITIES AND WOMEN

The term goal as used in this plan refers to a numerical flexible objective established internally by an employer and, based on the availability of protected group members in the relevant labor force, indicates the extent of diligent good faith efforts applied to the hiring and advancement of protected groups. Used as such, goals are an appropriate measure of Affirmative Action progress in achieving minority and female representation in the County's workforce.

Portage County Departments will establish hiring and promotion goals based on the County's utilization analysis and the availability of protected groups in the relevant labor market.

The goals will represent a reasonable objective that departments seek to achieve and will be modified as more current data become available.

SECTION VII
IMPLEMENTATION

The authority for implementation of this Plan is provided by County Board Resolution #243, dated February 17, 1976. The Plan was revised and updated June 18, 1987.

Motion by Supervisor Hanson, second by Supervisor Schad for the adoption.
Motion carried by voice vote. Resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 114
RE: AUTHORIZATION TO HOST THE 1990 WINTER HIGHWAY CONFERENCE

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, great interest has been shown for Portage County to host the 1990 Winter Highway Conference, and

WHEREAS, hosting the Winter Highway Conference would bring untold economic benefits to Portage County, and

WHEREAS, a resolution must be approved by the County Board prior to being considered to host this Conference.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors goes on record in support of hosting the 1990 Winter Highway Conference.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that if the 1990 Winter Highway Conference is awarded to Portage County, an amount not to exceed \$5,000 will be made available for incidental expenses in hosting this Conference.

Dated this 21st day of July, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
HIGHWAY COMMITTEE
Eugene Zdroik, Chairman
Frank Barbers
Ernest V. Wanta

Ronald Check
Ernest Leppen

Motion by Supervisor Zdroik, second by Supervisor Check for the adoption.

Supervisor Murphy suggested that the Highway Committee ask the City of Stevens Point to pay \$2500 of the \$5000 since the City benefits on all of the room tax collected from the convention.

Supervisor Hanson questioned if this is just front money and does the County get this money back.

Chairman Steinke stated that the County does not get the money back.

Supervisor Zdroik stated that he agreed with Supervisor Murphy and would talk to the City to try to obtain some funding from them. Zdroik stated that the County would not get the \$5000 back because there is usually a host night provided by the County.

Supervisor James Clark suggested contacting the tourism agency to get help with the \$5000 host fee.

Supervisor Idsvoog stated that he has no problem with voting for the resolution he just thinks that the City should have been approached before the resolution was brought to the Board for adoption.

Supervisor Szymkowiak stated that he felt the convention should be set up so it does not cost the host County that type of money.

Supervisor Check stated that the convention will bring people to the County to the business area and they will spend money at these businesses. Check stated that if Portage County does not want to host the convention there are other Counties that are more than willing to host it.

Supervisor Zdroik reminded Board members that this resolution is only to bid for the convention to be held in Portage County, it is not guaranteed that Portage County will actually have the convention here.

Roll call vote revealed (28) ayes, (2) excused, Supervisors Holdridge and Erickson, (2) vacant, District 24 and District 32 Supervisors. Resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 115
RE: FINAL RESOLUTION

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, by the County Board of Supervisors of Portage County, Wisconsin, now in Adjourned Session assembled that the Resolutions and motions adopted and carried at this meeting and all appropriations made and claims allowed at this meeting be and they are hereby ratified and confirmed in all respects by the Board.

(s) Supervisor Robert Steinke

OFFICIAL PROCEEDINGS
of the
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
of
PORTAGE COUNTY, WISCONSIN

August 18, 1987

The meeting was called to order by First Vice-Chairman O. Phillip Idsvoog at the Jordan Park Lodge.

Roll call was taken by the Clerk as follows.

District	1,	David A. Medin
District	2,	Gale L. Kidder
District	3,	Paul A. Borham
District	4,	Cheryl A. Kaczmarek
District	5,	Frank Barbers, Sr.
District	6,	Richard M. Purcell
District	7,	Leo Mancheski
District	8,	David Eckholm
District	9,	Eugene G. Szymkowiak
District	10,	Gordon M. Hanson
District	11,	Ernest V. Wanta
District	12,	Jeffrey K. Murphy
District	13,	James E. Clark
District	14,	Phillip J. Janowski
District	15,	Clifford F. Bembenek
District	16,	John W. Holdridge
District	17,	Kevin W. Shibilski
District	18,	William H. Peterson
District	19,	Margaret M. Schad
District	20,	Robert A. Winblad
District	21,	O. Philip Idsvoog
District	22,	Robert J. Steinke
District	23,	Anthony B. Kiedrowski
District	24,	Vacant
District	25,	Stuart Clark
District	26,	Carrol Winkler
District	27,	Ernest Leppen
District	28,	Stanley Kirschling
District	29,	Eugene Zdroik
District	30,	Ronald J. Check
District	31,	Leif Erickson
District	32,	Vacant
District	33,	Clarence Hintz

Roll call taken by Clerk Roger Wrycza revealed (25) present, (4) absent, Supervisors Steinke, Hanson, Purcell, Janowski, (2) excused, Supervisors Shibilski and Winblad, (2) vacant, District 24 and District 32.

All present saluted the flag.

Supervisor Borham delivered the invocation.

Motion by Supervisor Schad, second by Supervisor Kaczmarek to seat Wayne A. Cummings as 24th District Supervisor to fill the unexpired term of Frank Dernbach. Motion carried by voice vote.

Motion by Supervisor Kidder, second by Supervisor Schad to seat Betty Bruski Mallek as 32nd District Supervisor to fill the unexpired term of John Wierzba, Jr. Motion carried by voice vote.

Clerk Roger Wrycza administered the Oath of Office to Supervisor Cummings and Supervisor Bruski Mallek.

Motion by Supervisor Szymkowiak, second by Supervisor Kidder to approve the minutes of the July County Board meeting. Motion carried by voice vote.

Motion by Supervisor Barbers, second by Supervisor Stuart Clark to refer to the District Attorney the notice of appeal by Albert and Elizabeth Zurawski in regards to the HH Interchange Condemnation Award. Motion carried by voice vote.

Correspondence

Resolution proclaiming September 13-20, 1987 as Constitution Week in Portage County.

Motion by Supervisor Kidder, second by Supervisor Schad to approve the resolution. Motion carried by voice vote.

Thank you notes from the families of Supervisor John Wierzba, Jr. and Supervisor Frank Dernbach for floral arrangements.

Motion by Supervisor Idsvoog, second by Supervisor Barbers to place the correspondence on file. Motion carried by voice vote.

Clerk Wrycza reminded Board members that if they have changed their mind on attending the Wisconsin Counties Convention they should notify him to avoid any cancellation charges.

Clerk Wrycza notified Board members that the Land Conservation Annual Report and the Highway Department Internal Service Fund and Balance Sheet were mailed to them and any questions will be addressed at the next Board meeting.

Clerk Wrycza reminded Board members of the retirement party for Lt. Raymond Potocki to be held on August 24, 1987.

(Enter Chairman Steinke)

Committee Referrals

Supervisor Schad, Finance Committee Chairperson, stated that the Finance Committee has accepted the recommendation of the District Attorney regarding the Highway Vendor Survey Report.

Supervisor Medin suggested notifying the vendors that their information was received and what the results of the vendor survey were.

(Enter Supervisor Hanson)

Motion by Supervisor Schad, second by Supervisor Barbers to accept the report and place it on file. Motion carried by voice vote.

Len Swift presented a Constitution Committee Report outlining the events of Constitution Week, September 13-20, 1987.

Motion by Supervisor Schad, second by Supervisor Purcell to accept the report. Motion carried by voice vote.

Chairman Steinke introduced the new Register of Deeds, Sandra Carne.

Supervisor Schad reported that the OWI Program will officially end on September 19, 1987 and the Finance Committee elected not to continue the program.

Supervisor Holdridge stated that he hoped the County would continue some type of OWI Program.

Supervisor Schad stated that the Finance Committee hoped that the Law Enforcement Committee would consider funding some type of program with the 1988 budget.

(Enter Supervisor Janowski)

Appointments

Motion by Supervisor Schad, second by Supervisor Janowski to approve the appointment of Wayne A. Cummings to the Land Conservation Committee, Solid Waste Management Board and the Space and Properties Committee. Motion carried by voice vote.

Motion by Supervisor Janowski, second by Supervisor Kaczmarek to approve the appointment of Betty Bruski Mallek to the Agriculture and Extension Education Committee and the County Home Committee. Motion carried by voice vote.

Motion by Supervisor Janowski, second by Supervisor Barbers to approve the appointment of Stuart Clark to the Housing Authority Board for a five-year term expiring September 1992. Motion carried by voice vote.

(Enter Supervisor Purcell)

Unlimited Topics

Supervisor James Clark questioned the budget procedure.

Supervisor Schad stated that the Equalization Meeting is scheduled for August 24, 1987 and that not much has changed since the last Board meeting when she outlined the preliminary plans.

Supervisor Holdridge questioned if the County would be receiving any federal revenues.

Jerry Glad, Business Administrator, stated that federal revenue sharing is terminated but with Gramm/Rudman some funds were withheld but we may get some of those funds returned to Portage County in the area of \$30,000. Glad added that with the \$10,000 in the non-lapsing account there may be approximately \$40,000 to apply toward the 1988 budget.

Supervisor Schad stated that to date the balance of the contingency fund is \$28,680.00.

Supervisor Peterson asked for an update on the jail project.

Chairman Steinke stated that the Jail Building Committee is considering acquiring two homes to square off the southwest corner of the property. Steinke stated that the project will be brought before the Board in September.

ORDINANCE NO. 116
RE: ZONING ORDINANCE MAP AMENDMENT,
COOK AND GAGAS PROPERTIES

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, Todd and Sally Cook and Eugene and Barbara Gagas request to amend the Portage County Zoning Ordinance so part of Section 14, T24N, R9E, Town of Sharon, an area of 40 acres be changed from A-1, Exclusive Agricultural District to A-2, Agricultural Transition District; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee held a public hearing on the proposed amendment in the County Conference Room of the County-City Building on July 29, 1987 after due notices were published in the Stevens Point Journal. At said hearing all those who wished to be heard were heard and pertinent facts constituting the testimony were recorded; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee, after carefully considering the testimony, including the attached fact sheet at the July 29, 1987 meeting, has placed a recommendation with the County Board that the request be approved; and

WHEREAS, the proposed amendment, with the information furnished in the attached report and fact sheet, has been given due consideration by the County Board.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors does ordain as follows: the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 14, T24N, R9E, Town of Sharon, an area of 40 acres being parcel numbers 032-24-0914-11.01 and 032-24-0914-11 is hereby changed from A-1, Exclusive Agricultural District to A-2, Agricultural Transition District.

Dated this 18th day of August, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
PLANNING & ZONING COMMITTEE
O. Philip Idsvoog, Chairperson
Leif E. Erickson
Ronald J. Check

Clifford F. Bembenek
Richard M. Purcell

Motion by Supervisor Idsvoog, second by Supervisor Bembenek for the adoption.

Roll call vote revealed (31) ayes, (2) excused, Supervisors Shibilski and Winblad. Ordinance adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 117
RE: PORTAGE COUNTY ACCEPTANCE OF THE NORTH CENTRAL WISCONSIN
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT'S 1987 ANNUAL OVERALL
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM (OEDP) REPORT

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, the Public Works and Economic Development Act of 1965, as amended, was created to provide grants and other financial assistance to designated redevelopment areas; and

WHEREAS, the County's endorsement of the Regional Annual Overall Economic Development Program will provide for the continued designation of the County as a redevelopment area; and

WHEREAS, the designation will maintain and enhance the County's and its local units of government's ability to receive grants and other financial assistance from the Economic Development Administration and other federal agencies for projects consistent with the OEDP; and

WHEREAS, the projects for Portage County municipalities included in the 1987 Annual Overall Economic Development Program are consistent with the recommendations of the County's Economic Development Plan and the Portage County Development Guide; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee has recommended that the Portage County Board of Supervisors endorse this program.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors concurs with the goals, objectives, and work program of the North Central Wisconsin 1987 Overall Economic Development Program.

Dated this 18th day of August, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE
O. Philip Idsvoog, Chairman
Clifford F. Bembenek

Richard M. Purcell
Ronald J. Check
Leif E. Erickson

Motion by Supervisor Idsvoog, second by Supervisor Purcell for the adoption.

Supervisor Kiedrowski stated that he has picked on this report in the past because it has been difficult to understand as well as too lengthy. Kiedrowski stated that although the report has been cut down from 187 to 107 pages it still needs improvement with the editing and poor grammar. Kiedrowski pointed out that statistics were not very accurate and questioned the report which states that there were 1300 farms in Portage County in 1981 and dropped to 700 in 1982 and then back up to 1300 in 1983. Kiedrowski also pointed out that the lost acreage area in the report indicated that Marathon County lost the equivalent of three townships in area and Portage County lost the equivalent on one whole township. Kiedrowski stated that there is a vacancy on that Board and questioned why Portage County is not represented.

Charles Kell, County Planner, stated that in the past the Board voted not to fund Regional Planning so that is why the County does not have a member serving on the Board. Kell stated that he does attend some of the meetings. Kell stated that there are a number of errors and they have been pointed out to the newly hired regional staff member and hopes that some of these will be corrected in future reports.

Supervisor Medin questioned if Portage County has any projects listed and the priority of these projects.

Kell stated that there are projects relating to Portage County but the projects are no longer prioritized but rather through a negotiable process. Kell stated that although the County is not a member of the Commission it is required to include County planning proposals and recommend county programs, they will not offer any planning services so the County planning office handles all planning for Portage County.

Roll call vote revealed (31) ayes, (2) excused, Supervisors Shibilski and Winblad. Resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 118

RE: WASTE-TO-ENERGY FEASIBILITY STUDY GRANT PROGRAM

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, the disposal of solid waste is becoming a problem locally as well as nationally, and

WHEREAS, alternate methods of disposal must be implemented to alleviate this growing disposal problem, and

WHEREAS, the waste-to-energy concept is of growing importance with great potential for many municipalities and counties, and

WHEREAS, the State of Wisconsin has established a \$1.4 million waste-to-energy feasibility study grant program which will provide grants to local government, and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Solid Waste Management Board desires to participate in the waste-to-energy feasibility grant program.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors authorizes the Solid Waste Management Board to participate in the Waste-to-Energy Feasibility Study Grant Program.

Dated this 18th day of August, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD
Eugene Zdroik, Chairman
Richard M. Purcell
W. Scott Schultz
Jerry Borski
(vacant position)

James E. Clark
Stanley Kirschling
Daniel Schlutter
Jeanne Dodge

Motion by Supervisor Zdroik, second by Supervisor James Clark for the adoption.

Mike Stemple, Solid Waste Manager, stated that the state has established a \$1.4 million grant program and the Solid Waste Board is considering a joint program with the University of Wisconsin-Stevens Point.

Roll call vote revealed (31) ayes, (2) excused, Supervisors Shibilski and Winblad. Resolution adopted.

ORDINANCE NO. 119

RE: ABANDONED PROPERTY ORDINANCE

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, Portage County currently does not have an ordinance that deals with the disposal of abandoned property, and

WHEREAS, Wisc. State Stats., 66.26 sets procedure for the disposition of certain abandoned property, and

WHEREAS, it would be advantageous to Portage County to have such an ordinance to ensure uniform disposal of abandoned property.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors does ordain that the attached Abandoned Property Ordinance is hereby adopted.

Dated this 18th day of August, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
LAW ENFORCEMENT/EMERGENCY GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE
Frank Barbers, Chairman
Clarence Hintz
Clifford Bembenek
Jeffrey Murphy
Carrol Winkler

Motion by Supervisor Barbers, second by Supervisor Murphy for the adoption.
Roll call vote revealed (31) ayes, (2) excused, Supervisors Shibilski and Winblad. Ordinance adopted.

ORDINANCE NO. 120
RE: CODE OF ETHICS FOR PUBLIC OFFICIALS

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, high moral and ethical standards among county public officials are essential to the conduct of good government, and

WHEREAS, a code of ethics would help county public officials to avoid conflicts between their personal interests and their public responsibilities, and

WHEREAS, a code of ethics would improve standards of public service and will promote and strengthen the faith and confidence of the people of Portage County in their county public officials.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the County Board of Supervisors does ordain that the attached Code of Ethics for Public Officials is hereby adopted.

Dated this 18th day of August, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES
Robert J. Steinke, Chairman
O. Philip Idsvoog
Frank Barbers

CODE OF ETHICS FOR PUBLIC OFFICIALS

1.01 Declaration of policy. (1) It is declared that high moral and ethical standards among county public officials are essential to the conduct of free government; that the County Board of Supervisors believes that a code of ethics for the guidance of county public officials will help them avoid conflicts between their personal interests and their public responsibilities, will improve standards of public service and will promote and strengthen the faith and confidence of the people of this county in their county public officials.

(2) It is the intent of the County Board of Supervisors that in its operations the board shall protect to the fullest extent possible the rights of individuals affected.

1.02 Definitions. In this code:

(1) "Anything of value" means any money or property, favor, service, payment, advance, forbearance, loan, or promise of future employment, but does not include compensation and expenses paid by the county, fees, honorariums and expenses which are permitted and reported or political contributions which are reported under state law, or hospitality extended for a purpose unrelated to county business by a person other than an organization.

(2) "Associated", when used with reference to an organization, includes any organization in which an individual or a member of his or her immediate family is a director, officer, or trustee, or owns or controls, directly or indirectly, and severally or in the aggregate, at least 10% of the outstanding equity.

(3) "Chair" means the chair of the ethics committee.

(4) "Committee" means the ethics committee.

(5) "County public official" means any individual holding a county public office whether elected or appointed to an elected office including appointed citizen members.

(6) "Gift" means the payment or receipt of anything of value without valuable consideration.

(7) "Immediate family" means:

(a) An individual's spouse; and

(b) An individual's relative by marriage, lineal descent or adoption who receives, directly or indirectly, more than one-half of his or her support from the individual or from whom the individual receives, directly or indirectly, more than one-half of his or her support.

(7m) "Income" has the meaning given under section 61 of the internal revenue code.

(7s) "Internal revenue code" has the meanings given under s. 71.02(2)(d), Wis. Stats.

(8) "Panel" means the investigative panel formed by the committee.

(9) "Verified" means sworn and notarized.

2.01 Standards of conduct. (1) The county board hereby reaffirms that a county public official holds his or her position as a public trust, and any effort to realize substantial personal gain through official conduct is a violation of that trust. This code does not prevent any county public official from accepting other employment or following any pursuit which in no way interferes with the full and faithful discharge of his or her duties to this county. The county board further recognizes that in a representative democracy, the supervisors are drawn from society and, therefore, cannot and should not be without all personal and economic interest in the decisions and policies of government; that citizens who serve as county public officials retain their rights as citizens to interests of a personal or economic nature; that standards of ethical conduct for county public officials need to distinguish between those minor and inconsequential conflicts that are unavoidable in a free society, and those conflicts which are substantial and material; and that county public officials may need to engage in employment, professional or business activities, other than official duties, in order to support themselves or their families and to maintain a continuity of professional or business activity, or may need to maintain investments, which activities or investments do not conflict with the specific provisions of this code.

(2) No county public official may use his or her public position or office to obtain financial gain or anything of substantial value for the private benefit of himself or herself or his or her immediate family, or for an organization with which he or she is associated.

(3) No person may offer or give to a county public official, directly or indirectly, and no county public official may solicit or accept from any person, directly or indirectly, anything of value if it could reasonably be expected to influence the county public official's vote, official actions or judgment, or could reasonably be considered as a reward for any official action or inaction on the part of the county public official. This subsection does not prohibit a county public official from engaging in outside employment.

(4) No county public official may intentionally use or disclose information gained in the course of or by reason of his or her official position or activities in any way that could result in the receipt of anything of value for himself or herself, for his or her immediately family, or for any other person, if the information has not been communicated to the public or is not public information.

(5) No county public official may use or attempt to use his public position to influence or gain unlawful benefits, advantages or privileges for himself or others.

2.02 Conflict of interest prohibited; exception. (1) Except in accordance with the committee's advice under sub. (2) and except as otherwise provided in sub. (2), no county public official may:

(a) Take any official action substantially affecting a matter in which the official, a member of his or her immediate family, or an organization with which the official is associated has a substantial financial interest.

(b) Use his or her office or position in a way that produces or assists in the production of a substantial benefit, direct or indirect, for the official, one or more members of the official's immediate family either separately or together, or an organization with which the official is associated.

(2) This section does not prohibit a county public official from taking any action concerning the lawful payment of salaries or employe benefits or reimbursement of actual and necessary expenses, or prohibit a county public official from taking official action with respect to any proposal to modify county law.

2.03 Advisory opinions. (1) Any individual, either personally or on behalf of an organization or governmental body, may request of the District Attorney an advisory opinion regarding the propriety of any matter to which the person is or may become a party; and any appointing officer, with the consent of a prospective appointee, may request of the District Attorney an advisory opinion regarding the propriety of any matter to which the prospective appointee is or may become a party. The District Attorney shall review a request for an advisory opinion and may advise the person making the request. Advisory opinions and requests therefor shall be in writing. The District Attorney shall have 20 days to respond to the request. It is prima facie evidence of intent to comply with this code when a person refers a matter to the District Attorney and abides by the District Attorney's advisory opinion.

(2) Following an advisory opinion, the committee shall ratify, modify or reject the opinion of the District Attorney. If the committee rejects the opinion of the District Attorney, said action shall have prospective application.

2.04 Examples of conduct prohibited. By way of illustration the following gratuities may not be accepted by a county public official from any persons. These are examples only and other gratuities of like value are also prohibited. Examples are: Food and drink regularly offered in connection with meetings concerning county government, bottles of liquor, turkeys, and meals presented as a gift or reward. Any overnight trip, regardless of its purpose, taken by a county public official together with a vendor doing business with Portage County is prohibited unless prior approval by the governing committee of the county public official, or the ethics committee, is obtained.

2.05 Examples of approved gratuities. For purposes of illustration, the following items may be accepted by county public officials from any person. These are examples only and other gratuities of like value may also be acceptable. Examples are: Promotional items of a small value with advertising prominently displayed such as baseball caps, calendars, pens, pencils and ash trays. An occasional beverage offered at social gatherings may also be accepted.

3.01 Creation of Ethics Committee. (1) An ethics committee is hereby created.

(2) The ethics committee shall be comprised of nine people. Three members of the committee shall be county board supervisors. The remaining members, including their immediate family, shall not be county employees nor hold any other county public office. All members shall be nominated by a majority of the Judicial/General Government Committee. The Judicial/General Government Committee shall select 18 names from prospective appointees and forward those names to the Committee on Committees. The Committee on Committees shall select nine members from the nominated list. Said selected members shall be appointed by a majority of the County Board. The term of office shall be for three years, except the original appointees shall be appointed in groups of three to serve until September 1, 1988, 1989 and 1990 respectively. Members who are county board supervisors shall vacate their membership on the committee in the event they are no longer county board supervisors.

(3) No member shall serve more than two consecutive terms.

(4) The members shall elect a chair.

3.02 Duties of the committee. The Committee shall:

(1) Adopt such rules as may be necessary to carry out this code. The committee shall give prompt notice of the contents of its rules to county public officials who will be affected thereby.

(2) Accept and file any information related to the purposes of this code which is voluntarily supplied by any person in addition to the information required by this code.

(3) The records of the committee shall be kept in the County Clerk's Office.

(4) The County Clerk shall allow the inspection and copying of any record open to public inspection and may impose a reasonable fee therefor.

(5) The District Attorney shall provide legal assistance to the committee upon request regarding interpretation of the language and procedural aspects of the code.

(6) Upon recommendation by the District Attorney, and approval of the County Board, the committee may retain an attorney, at county expense, to carry out its duties. A maximum of \$500 per complaint may be authorized as payment by the committee without other approval. Expenditures in excess of that amount shall be approved by the County Finance Committee.

4.01. Complaints. (1) The committee shall accept from any individual, either personally or on behalf of an organization or governmental body, a verified complaint in writing which states the name of any person alleged to have committed a violation of this code and which sets forth the particulars thereof. The committee shall forward to the accused within 10 days a copy of the complaint and a general statement of the applicable rules with respect to such verified complaint. If the committee determines that the verified complaint does not allege facts sufficient to constitute probable cause a violation of this code occurred, it shall dismiss the complaint and notify the complainant and the accused. If the committee determines that the verified complaint alleges facts sufficient to constitute probable cause a violation of this code occurred, it may make an investigation with respect to any alleged violation. If the committee determines that the verified complaint was brought for harassment purposes, the committee shall so state and the complaint shall be dismissed.

(2) A signed complaint may be filed in the County Clerk's Office. The County Clerk shall accept the complaint and forward it to the chairman of the committee within 5 days. Thereafter, the procedure under sub (1) shall apply.

(3) Any county public official may request the committee to make an investigation of his or her own conduct or of allegations made by other persons as to his or her conduct. Such a request shall be made in writing and shall set forth in detail the reasons therefor.

(4) Following the receipt of a verified complaint or upon the receipt of other information, whether or not under oath, that provides a reasonable basis for the belief that a violation of this code has been committed or that an investigation of a possible violation is warranted, the committee may investigate the circumstances concerning the possible violation. Such investigation shall be initiated by a resolution of the committee and shall state the nature and purpose of the investigation and the actions or activities to be investigated. No investigation of any person may be commenced until it has been authorized by the committee and until the person who is the subject of the investigation has been notified of the investigation pursuant to sub. (4). If the committee, during the course of an investigation, finds probable cause to believe that a violation of this code has occurred, it may:

(a) If no verified complaint has been filed, make upon its own motion a verified complaint, which shall be in writing, shall state the name of the person who is alleged to have committed a violation of this code and shall set forth the particulars thereof. The committee shall forward to the accused within 10 days a copy of the

complaint, a general statement of the applicable rules with respect to such verified complaint and a specific statement enumerating the source or sources of information upon which the complaint is based.

(b) If a verified complaint has been filed and the committee finds probable cause to believe that a violation of this code, other than one contained in the complaint, has occurred it may amend the complaint, upon its own motion, to include such violations. If the complaint is so amended by the committee a copy of the amendment shall be sent to the person complained against within 48 hours.

(5) As soon as it becomes apparent to the chair that there exists probable cause for the belief that a particular person has committed a violation of this code, the chair shall apply to the committee for a resolution authorizing the investigation and, if secured, shall mail a copy of the resolution to the alleged violator together with a notice informing the alleged violator that such person is the subject of the investigation authorized by such resolution and a general statement of the applicable rules with respect to such investigation. Service of the notice is complete upon mailing.

(6) No action may be taken on any complaint which is filed later than 2 years after a violation of this code is alleged to have occurred.

4.02 Investigative panel. Following a resolution authorizing the investigation, the committee shall form an investigative panel. The panel shall be comprised of three people. Two people shall be selected by the committee from the six non-county board members of the ethics committee. The other person shall be a county board supervisor. That county board supervisor shall be drawn by lot. The County Clerk shall place the names of all county board supervisors in an opaque container at a time and place open to the public and select the name of a county board supervisor from the container. These three members shall be known as the investigative panel. If any chosen member of the investigative panel has a conflict of interest, he or she shall so state and a new name shall be selected.

4.03 Investigations. Pursuant to any investigation or hearing conducted under this code, the panel has the power:

(1) To require any person to submit in writing such reports and answers to questions relevant to the proceedings conducted under this code as the panel may prescribe, such submission to be made within such period and under oath or otherwise as the panel may determine.

(2) To administer oaths and to require by subpoena issued by it the attendance and testimony of witnesses and the production of any documentary evidence relating to the investigation or hearing being conducted.

(3) To order testimony to be taken by deposition before any individual who is designated by the panel and has the power to administer oaths, and, in such instances, to compel testimony and the production of evidence in the same manner as authorized by sub. (2).

(4) To pay witnesses the same fees and mileage as are paid in like circumstances by the courts of this state.

(5) To request and obtain from the department of revenue copies of state income tax returns and access to other appropriate information under s. 77.11(44)(c), Wis. Stats. regarding all persons who are the subject of such investigation.

4.03 Probable cause of violation. (1) At the conclusion of its investigation, the panel shall, in preliminary written findings of fact and conclusions based thereon, make a determination of whether or not probable cause exists to believe that a violation of this code has occurred. If the panel determines that no probable cause exists, it shall immediately send written notice of such determination to the accused and to the party who made the complaint. If the panel determines that there is probable cause for believing that a violation of this case has been committed, its preliminary findings of fact and conclusions may contain:

(a) A recommendation for criminal prosecution which shall be referred to the district attorney in whose jurisdiction the alleged violation occurred, and, if the district attorney fails to commence a prosecution within 30 days, to the attorney general, who may then commence a prosecution; or

(b) An order setting a date for hearing before the panel to determine whether a violation of this code has occurred. Such order shall be served upon the accused. A hearing ordered under this paragraph shall be commenced within 30 days of the date it is ordered unless the accused petitions for and the panel consents to a later date. Prior to any hearing ordered under this paragraph, the accused is entitled to full discovery rights, including adverse examination of witnesses who will testify at the hearing at a reasonable time before the date of the hearing.

(2) The panel shall inform the accused or his or her counsel of exculpatory evidence in its possession.

(3) If the panel makes a recommendation for criminal prosecution under sub. (1), the district attorney to whom the recommendation is made shall, within 30 days of receipt of such recommendation, make a decision whether to prosecute the party charged. The panel shall give written notice of any referral under this code to the accused. The district attorney shall give written notice of the decision to the accused, the complainant and the panel.

5.01 Hearing procedure. (1) During any investigation and during any hearing which is conducted to determine whether a violation of this code has occurred, the person under investigation or the accused may be represented by counsel of his or her own choosing and the accused or his or her representative, if any, shall have an opportunity to challenge the sufficiency of any complaint which has been filed against him or her, to examine all documents and records obtained or prepared by the panel in connection with the matter heard, to bring witnesses, to establish all pertinent facts and circumstances, to question or refute testimony or evidence, including the opportunity to confront and cross-examine adverse witnesses, and shall otherwise be able to exercise fully any pretrial discovery procedure usually available in civil actions. During any hearing conducted by the panel to determine whether a violation of this code has occurred, all evidence including certified copies of records which the panel considers shall be fully offered and made a part of the record in the proceedings. The accused or any other person under investigation shall be afforded adequate opportunity to rebut or offer countervailing evidence. Upon request of the accused, the panel shall issue subpoenas to compel the attendance of necessary witnesses.

(2) Any person whose name is mentioned or who is otherwise identified during a hearing being conducted by the panel and who, in the opinion of the panel, may be adversely affected thereby, may, upon request of the person or a representative of the person, or upon the request of any member, appear personally before the panel and testify on his or her own behalf or have a representative appear to so testify, and the panel may permit any other person to appear and to testify at a hearing.

(3) The standards of evidence and the burden of proof applicable to criminal proceedings shall apply to hearings under this section.

(4) After the conclusion of its hearing the panel shall as soon as practicable begin deliberations on the evidence presented at such hearing and shall then proceed to determine whether the accused has violated this code.

5.02 Findings of fact and conclusions; order and recommendations. If the panel determines that no violation of this code has occurred, it shall immediately send written notice of such determination to the accused and to the party who made the complaint. If the panel determines that a violation of this code has occurred, its findings of fact and conclusions may contain one or more of the following orders or recommendations;

(1) A recommendation that the county public official be censured, suspended, or removed from office or employment. Such recommendations shall be made to the appropriate appointing authority who may censure, suspend, or take action to remove the official from office or employment.

(2) An order requiring the accused to conform his or her conduct to this code.

(3) An order requiring the accused to forfeit not more than \$500 for each violation of this code. The district attorney, when so requested by the committee, shall institute proceedings to recover any forfeiture incurred under this section which is not paid by the person against whom it is assessed.

(4) Such other recommendation or order as may be necessary and appropriate and is consistent with the intent and purposes of this code.

5.03 Rehearings. (1) After the service upon the accused by the committee of any decision containing an order or recommendation, the accused may apply to the committee for a rehearing with respect to any matter determined in such decision.

(2) An application for rehearing is governed by such general rules as the committee may establish. Only one rehearing may be granted by the committee. No order of the committee becomes effective until 20 days after it is issued, or while an application for rehearing or a rehearing is pending, or until 10 days after such application for rehearing is either denied, expressly or by implication, or the committee has announced its final determination on rehearing.

5.04 Meetings, limitations, per diem. (1) The committee may meet twice annually for orientation.

(2) In addition to orientation meetings the committee may meet only when a verified complaint has been filed or upon request of the district attorney.

(3) A panel shall meet not more than four times for any one investigation unless approved by the Committee on Committees.

(4) The committee and panel shall be paid at the same rate as other county committees.

6.01 Public inspection of records. (1) Except as provided in sub. (2), all records in the possession of the committee including records filed with the County Clerk, are open to public inspection at all reasonable times. The committee shall require an individual wishing to examine the records which are in the committee's possession to provide his or her full name and address, and if the individual is representing another person, the full name and address of the person which he or she represents. Such identification may be provided in writing or in person. The committee shall record and retain for at least 3 years information obtained by it pursuant to this code. No individual may use a fictitious name or address or fail to identify a principal in making any request for inspection.

(2) The following records in the committee's possession are not for public inspection:

(a) Records obtained in connection with a request for an advisory opinion other than summaries of advisory opinions that do not disclose the identity of individuals requesting such opinions or organizations on whose behalf they are requested. The committee may, however, make such records public with the consent of the individual requesting the advisory opinion or the organization or governmental body on whose behalf it is requested. A person who makes or purports to make public the substance of or any portion of an advisory opinion requested by or on behalf of the person is deemed to have waived the confidentiality of the request for an advisory opinion and of any records obtained or prepared by the committee in connection with the request for the advisory opinion.

(b) Records obtained or prepared by the committee in connection with an investigation, except that the committee shall permit inspection of records that are made public in the course of a hearing by the committee to determine if a violation of this code has occurred. Whenever the committee refers such investigation and hearing records to a district attorney, they may be made public in the course of a prosecution initiated under this code.

(3) The records of the committee include the records of the panel.

7.01 Honorariums, fees and expenses. (1) Every county public official is encouraged to meet with clubs, conventions, special interest groups, political groups, school groups and other gatherings to discuss and to interpret legislative, administrative, executive or judicial processes and proposals and issues initiated by or affecting a department. Free meals offered in connection with such gatherings are not in violation of this code and need not be reported. Any other fee or expense paid in excess of \$10.00 per event or \$50.00 annually shall be reported to the ethics committee.

Motion by Supervisor Idsvoog, second by Supervisor Murphy for the adoption.

Supervisor Szymkowiak stated that he felt the code was too lengthy and difficult to understand. Szymkowiak stated that he felt the code would provide job security for lawyers because of the legal language. He stated that although he accepted the ordinance he would not support the code because it was too long.

Supervisor Kiedrowski stated that he felt the Code of Ethics would not make anyone a better County Board Supervisor but rather would add more red tape and added expenses to the County with another Committee.

Supervisor Idsvoog reminded Board members that the County has a personnel policy which expects alot from the County employees and felt that the least the Board could do is adopt a Code of Ethics. Idsvoog stated that the personnel policy governs employees but does not pertain to elected or appointed positions.

Supervisor Holdridge questioned if the Code becomes a law.

John Runde, District Attorney, stated that although the Code is not a law because a law requires legislative action but that it would become a rule of the County Board.

Supervisor Holdridge questioned how the people appointed and elected would be educated and made aware of the Code.

Clerk Wrycza stated that the Ordinance would be published and every appointed or elected member would receive a copy of the Code.

Motion by Supervisor Holdridge, second by Supervisor Idsvoog to amend the ordinance by adding to the last paragraph "and be one of its rules". Motion carried by voice vote with (1) naye vote.

Roll call vote on the adoption of the amended ordinance revealed (15) ayes, Supervisors Steinke, Schad, Cummings, Eckholm, Murphy, Peterson, James Clark, Bruski Mallek, Idsvoog, Kidder, Hanson, Stuart Clark, Bembenek, Holdridge, Erickson; (16) naves, Supervisors Borham, Zdroik, Wanta, Mancheski, Szymkowiak, Kaczmarek, Leppen, Purcell, Janowski, Winkler, Barbers, Check, Kiedrowski, Kirschling, Medin, Hintz; (2) excused, Supervisors Shibilski and Winblad. Ordinance lost.

RESOLUTION NO. 121

RE: DOG CLAIMS

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WE, the members of your committee on dog claims have met and pursuant to Section 174.11 of the Wisconsin Statutes have allowed the following claims:

Date	Name	Description	Asked	Allowed
6/29/87	Henry Konczak R. #2, Box 175 Almond, WI 54909	16 chickens, 2 months old, weighing 6 lbs. killed - \$5.00 each. 1 Turkey, 2 months old, weighing 8-9 lbs. killed - \$10.00	\$90.00	\$90.00
	T21N-R10E Town of Belmont			
7/5/87	Barney Lepak 7653 Cty. Z Custer, WI 54423	35 chickens killed \$1.00 each	\$35.00	\$35.00
	T24N-R9E Town of Sharon			

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the above claims be paid.

Dated this 18th day August, 1987.

OFFICIAL PROCEEDINGS
of the
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
of
PORTAGE COUNTY, WISCONSIN

September 22, 1987

Meeting was called to order by Chairman Robert Steinke following a tour of the Portage County Landfill.

Roll call was taken by the Clerk as follows.

District	1,	David A. Medin
District	2,	Gale L. Kidder
District	3,	Paul A. Borham
District	4,	Cheryl A. Kaczmarek
District	5,	Frank Barbers, Sr.
District	6,	Richard M. Purcell
District	7,	Leo Mancheski
District	8,	David Eckholm
District	9,	Eugene G. Szymkowiak
District	10,	Gordon M. Hanson
District	11,	Ernest V. Wanta
District	12,	Jeffrey K. Murphy
District	13,	James E. Clark
District	14,	Phillip J. Janowski
District	15,	Clifford F. Bembenek
District	16,	John W. Holdridge
District	17,	Kevin W. Shibilski
District	18,	William H. Peterson
District	19,	Margaret M. Schad
District	20,	Robert A. Winblad
District	21,	O. Philip Idsvoog
District	22,	Robert J. Steinke
District	23,	Anthony B. Kiedrowski
District	24,	Wayne A. Cummings
District	25,	Stuart Clark
District	26,	Carrol Winkler
District	27,	Ernest Leppen
District	28,	Stanley Kirschling
District	29,	Eugene Zdroik
District	30,	Ronald J. Check
District	31,	Leif Erickson
District	32,	Betty Bruski Mallek
District	33,	Clarence Hintz

Roll call taken by Clerk Roger Wrycza revealed (32) present, (1) absent, Supervisor Shibilski.

All present saluted the flag.

Supervisor Schad delivered the invocation.

Motion by Supervisor Szymkowiak, second by Supervisor Winblad to approve the minutes of the August Board meeting.

Correspondence

Bridge aid request from the Town of Plover.

Motion by Supervisor Zdroik, second by Supervisor Idsvoog to refer the request to the Highway Committee. Motion carried by voice vote.

(Enter Supervisor Shibilski)

Resolution proclaiming the month of October as Be Smart! Don't Start! Month to change harmful attitudes and behaviors about drinking alcohol.

William McCulley, Community Human Services Department, stated that the idea behind this proclamation was for prevention, education, and awareness of the problems of alcoholism and suicide attempts. McCulley encouraged Board members to try to attend some of the functions relating to the topic during the month.

Motion by Supervisor Janowski, second by Supervisor Bembenek to approve the resolution. Motion carried by voice vote.

Letter from the Portage County Democratic Party encouraging the Board to adopt a Code of Ethics.

Motion by Supervisor Idsvoog, second by Supervisor Shibilski to place the correspondence on file. Motion carried by voice vote.

Committee Referrals

Motion by Supervisor Barbers, second by Supervisor Idsvoog to refer to the District Attorney the summons and complaint of Margaret M. Fahrner, Dora E. Phelps, Edgar E. Mason and Edward M. Mason vs Portage County, etc.

Motion carried by voice vote.

Reports

Elaine Kizewski presented a report on the Comprehensive Occupant Protection Program.

Sheriff Wanta commended Ms. Kizewski on her participation in the program.

Motion by Supervisor Bembenek, second by Supervisor Janowski to approve the Highway Department Annual Report and Land Conservation Annual Report. Motion carried by voice vote.

Unlimited Topics

Supervisor James Clark questioned if the County Home has made corrections to meet State specifications.

Supervisor Murphy, County Home Committee Chairman, stated that one of the problems was with the nutritional intake as far as documentation and not enough registered nurses. These problems have been corrected.

Paul Jadin, Personnel Director, stated that there were three or four problems of which were the nutritional problem as stated earlier, another was feeding the residents between the time of 4:30 to 6:30 p.m. because of shortage of staff at that time so college students studying dietetics are assisting with that function, another problem was with the charge nurses working four days rather than the five days required but that has been remedied with a replacement person, and another problem was with the assessments and documentation by the physician and the Home Administrator is monitoring that area to be corrected.

Supervisor Murphy stated that one requirement that was changed was that patients must be served meals with no more than fourteen hours between meals which becomes a problem between the dinner meal and the breakfast meal.

Mr. Jadin stated that the new fourteen hour meal time did require some negotiations with the union to allow the day shift to start earlier and he praised the union for being very cooperative to accommodate this change.

Supervisor James Clark stated that although the union was cooperative in changing the time he reminded Board members that the County is operating the home for the care and needs of the residents of the County Home.

Supervisor Murphy stated that he agreed with Supervisor Clark but that since hours of work are bargained with the unions it was helpful that the union was so cooperative.

RESOLUTION NO. 123
RE: APPROVE THE SIZE, COST AND PROJECT TIMETABLE
OF THE NEW COUNTY JAIL

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, Resolution Number 193, dated November 20, 1985, authorized the Jail Building Committee to hire an architectural firm to prepare preliminary plans and drawings for a new County Jail, and

WHEREAS, the Jail Building Committee has held various meetings and has determined that the needs of Portage County would be met if a new County Jail as described in Attachment "A" was constructed, and

WHEREAS, it would be advantageous to Portage County to proceed with the jail project according to the timetable described in Attachment "B".

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors approves the size, cost and project timetable of the new County Jail as described in Attachments "A" and "B" for a sum not to exceed \$6,100,000.

Dated this 22nd day of September, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,

JAIL BUILDING COMMITTEE

Robert J. Steinke, Chairman
Frank Barbers
James Clark

Margaret Schad
Clifford Bembenek

Architect's Report
to the
Portage County Board Supervisors
September 10, 1987

Building Analysis and Project Cost Estimate
for the
Portage County Law Enforcement Center
Strongs Avenue/Arlington Place
and
Remodel Existing Jail

Summary of Events

The Jail Building Committee requested the Architect to Provide a schematic drawing incorporating 5,000 square feet of storage space and provide unfinished space for future offices. This additional requirement is to be incorporated into Schematic Building Plan Type 2, dated August 12, 1987.

The Architect reviewed the program statement and space requirements in depth and detail with Jail Building Committee members and County Staff prior to development of the schematic plan.

Mr. Charles Kell, Portage County Planning Director, conducted a preliminary review and survey of County Space needs to analyze how the selected law enforcement center plan will fit in with the overall space concerns of the County Courthouse operations. Based on the informal survey of space needs of departments located in the courthouse project the following medium range (5 to 10 year) space needs for the County.

OFFICE SPACE NEEDS	PROPOSED AREA	CURRENT AREA
District Attorney's Office	3,000 sq. ft.	2,388 sq. ft.
Clerk of Courts' Office	3,000	2,132
Law Library	1,000	800
<hr/>		
TOTALS	7,000 sq. ft.	5,320 sq. ft.

The Data Processing Department and Planning and Zoning Departments also have a need for additional space that can be easily accommodated by relocating departments into existing space made available by the law enforcement project. This is more thoroughly described below. Based on contacts made with other County Departments, it appears that their space requirements are adequately provided for over the next 5 to 10 years.

Proposed Solution (Preliminary)

The Architect proposed a building program that will make 7,500 square feet of office space available through renovation of current (old) jail area on the third floor.

This 7,500 square foot area should adequately provide for the needs of both the District Attorney, Clerk of Courts, and the Law Library, and will allow for all departments connected with the court system to be located on the same floor in relative close proximity to the courtrooms.

Following vacation of the basement area by the District Attorney, it is recommended that the Planning and Zoning Departments be relocated into the current District Attorney/Veteran's Services Offices to make room for expansion needs of the Data Processing Department and the possible relocation of the purchasing operations from the Highway Department back to the Courthouse.

Record Storage Needs

Currently 2,500 square feet of Courthouse record storage area is located in the basement of the Gilfry Building. This location is at times very inconvenient for several Courthouse departments and may eventually be necessary area for conversion into office area for the Human Services Department. The law enforcement center project represents one of the County's limited opportunities to address current and future record storage needs. It is recommended that 5,000 square feet of record storage area be provided in connection with the construction of the new building.

The Architect's Building design lends to the construction of a second floor located immediately above the Sheriff's Department. This floor is shown in

schematic design in a suggested floor plan. The gross area of the second floor is 12,824 square feet with a net assignable area of 5,000 square foot area designated for storage. The remaining area could be utilized for offices, corridor, circulation, stairways and toilet facilities.

The estimated amount of costs allows only for construction of the structural floor slab and columns, exterior walls, finish of stairway and lobby area, and construction of cubical area for storage. The remaining floor area to be left unfinished for future development.

Preliminary Areas

First Floor

Sheriff's Department and Parole	12,194 sq. ft.	
Main Entrance and Lobby	630	
Training Area	1,638	
Sheriff's Vehicle	8,710	
Sallyport	1,008	
Vehicle Repair	3,276	
Maintenance	1,323	
	<hr/>	28,779 sq. ft.

Second Floor

Entrance Area	630 sq. ft.	
Storage and Unfinished Space	12,194	
Mechanical Equipment	1,323	
	<hr/>	14,147 sq. ft.

Third Floor

Entrance Area	630 sq. ft.	
Jail and Office	17,680	
	<hr/>	18,310 sq. ft.

Total Enclosed Area

61,236 sq. ft.

Roof space available for future expansion,
third floor of 10,422 sq. ft.

Preliminary Cost Estimate

1. Costs are projected to a 1988 early spring construction start and with a 15 month construction duration.
2. The final cost also depends upon structural and mechanical system design, selection and construction.
3. The final cost is also dependent upon the selection of materials used.
4. Estimated costs are calculated on the construction, delivery method, i.e. utilizing the traditional five or six prime contractors.

5. Estimated costs are computed by utilization of R.S. Means Company's Building Construction Cost Data 1987 Marshall Evaluation Services.
6. Costs have been evaluated for the entire project on a preliminary basis by both the Architect and the Planning Department for such items as property acquisitions and parking lot construction.

Based upon the Building concept approved by the Jail Building Committee and the space needs indicated on previous page, the following cost scenario has been developed:

High Estimated Building Cost:		\$4,750,000
(Low Estimated Building Cost: \$4,550,000)		
Overhead Walkway		230,000
Site Costs:		
Demolition of Houses	\$ 10,000	
Utility Extensions	20,000	
Site Development	<u>20,000</u>	\$ 50,000
Remodel Existing Jail		
7,500 sq. ft. Office Space	\$265,000	
2,500 sq. ft. Juvenile	<u>115,000</u>	\$ 380,000
Construct +/- 95 Car Parking Lot on Annex Property		
Demolition of Annex	\$ 15,000	
Construction of Parking Lot	77,818	
Landscaping	<u>5,858</u>	\$ 98,676
Construct Parking Lot Behind New Law Enforcement Center		
Home Acquisition & Relocation	\$ 92,000	
Demolition of Houses	10,000	
Construct New Parking Lot	17,550	
Landscape of Lot & Perimeter	<u>7,569</u>	\$ 127,119
Construction Contingency Fund		\$ 300,000
Purchase of Furnishings for Law Enf Ctr		\$ 120,783
		<hr/>
		<hr/>
Total Estimated Project Cost		\$6,056,578
	SAY	\$6,100,000

ARCHITECT'S STATEMENTS

The restricted urban site requires a multistoried solution. The project includes a 56-bed jail, a sheriff's department headquarters and vehicle area and repair, storage area for County Government and future office space within the new facility. Also included in the project is remodel of the existing jail area into juvenile detention and office area for the District Attorney, Clerk of Court and Law Library.

Located on the perimeter of the existing County-City Building complex, the building is oriented to centralize major circulation routes. The jail is connected to the Courthouse by a walkway bridge. This bridge can be made a maximum security bridge during inmate movement, to prevent inmate movement from interfering with public circulation.

The design of the jail facility uses the concept of cluster housing, which helps to minimize staffing requirements while providing maximum flexibility for inmate classification segregation.

The facility allows for easy expansion in the future, either for jail or for County office needs. Expansion can take place with minimal disruption.

Great care is taken in the design for the facility to complement the existing County-City Building and to provide maximum security while maintaining a pleasant and dignified environment for staff, visiting public and inmates.

JAIL CELL ARRANGEMENT

<u>Type of Cell</u>	<u>Number of Beds</u>
Male Adult - Huber	32
Male Adult - Maximum Security	4
Male Adult - Medium	14
Female Adult - Huber	4
Female Adult - Medium	2
	<u>56 beds</u>

Juvenile Secure Detention
(Located in remodeled jail)

Juvenile - Male	2
Juvenile - Female	2
	<u>4 beds</u>

ATTACHMENT "B"

TIMETABLE FOR NEW COUNTY JAIL PROJECT

9-23-87	Acquisition of necessary property for jail project begins.
11-1-87	Finance Committee - Bond Consultant complete documents for bond letting. Jail Building Committee completes architect retention agreement.
11-17-87	County Board - resolution authorizing the notice of sale of \$6,100,000 in general obligation promissory notes.
12-15-87	County Board - resolution awarding notes and authorizing the borrowing of \$6,100,000 pursuant to section 67.12 (12), Wisconsin State Statutes for corporate purposes. (Subject to favorable bond market)
2-16-88	Jail Building Committee - letting of project bids. Acquisition of necessary property for jail project is completed.

4-11-88 Jail Building Committee - awarding of project contract.

8-30-89 Project substantially completed.

(It is understood that unknown situations may occur which could alter the target dates of the timetable to some degree.)

Motion by Supervisor Barbers, second by Supervisor Schad for the adoption. Chairman Steinke asked Board members to view the presentation before making any decisions on the project.

Dennis D'Jock of Ozolins-D'Jock Architects gave a slide presentation of the jail project. D'Jock presented the location and floor plans of the new building and overhead walkway as well as possible usage of the old facility and cost estimates of the same.

Several supervisors questioned the high cost and uses of the overhead walkway.

D'Jock stated that the overhead walkway would serve many purposes such as a more efficient way of using the existing boiler system from the County-City Building and without using the existing boiler more space would have to be allocated for a new boiler system. The walkway would also provide better access to the handicapped to the existing building. The walkway provides accessibility to courts, sheriff and other department staff between the two facilities without loss of time for various reasons which requires these department personnel the use of both buildings.

Sheriff Wanta stated that the major concern of using the walkway would be the safety factor of transporting the prisoners through the halls of the courthouse and across the street which would require an additional staff person to accompany that prisoner. Wanta stated that response time to the judge for trouble in the courtroom would be an important factor. Another consideration is food service to the juvenile area if it stays in the old jail area. Wanta also brought up the waste of time of various department personnel that have interaction between the buildings if the walkway is not included with the project.

Supervisor Idsvoog questioned where the costs were obtained for the walkway.

D'Jock stated that he obtained his estimates from a contractor that constructs and installs overhead walkways and also based the estimate on the cost of the one that was constructed at another facility.

Supervisor Idsvoog questioned the cost of staffing the new jail facility.

Sheriff Wanta stated that the staffing issue has not yet been studied, the major concern has been the facility itself.

Supervisor Holdridge stated that he felt the staffing requirement would be an important question that needed to be answered.

Sheriff Wanta estimated that five full-time positions to cover the entire twenty-four hour shift would be needed.

Supervisor Murphy questioned where D'Jock obtained the numbers of items included in the project such as showers, car stalls, bathroom facilities, heated garage, carwash, offices and whether it is state mandated. Murphy questioned what dictated these necessities to be included in the project.

D'Jock stated that the numbers were obtained from a program study with the Sheriff's Department and Jail Building Committee and were based on the needs of the department.

Sheriff Wanta stated that the plans are not extravagant. Wanta stated that the garage area is needed not only for the cars but for storage area for the seasonal vehicles such as boats and snowmobiles and the area has already been cut where some equipment will be stored at the Highway Department.

Supervisor Murphy questioned why five showers are needed.

Sheriff Wanta stated that sometimes the Sheriff's Department personnel does different types of messy and dirty work and would rather leave that at work than take it home.

Supervisor Murphy also questioned if the car wash was automated.

Wanta stated that it is just a designated area in the garage to wash cars, there is nothing automated.

Supervisor Hanson stated that he was also concerned about a 20-stall car garage and felt that if the number of stalls was cut down the size of the building could be decreased. Hanson stated that his constituents were concerned that the overhead walkway was very extravagant and costly. Hanson stated that the County has to be concerned with what the County can afford. He asked that the Board look at the parking areas by tearing down the annex as being sufficient without tearing down any homes for parking areas. Hanson also questioned what the alternates are if the quotes come in higher than the estimates.

D'Jock stated that no alternates have been set up yet, it is currently just a schematic drawing with costs showing the needs of the departments that will be using the facility.

Supervisor Hanson asked for some ideas of alternates referring to the walkway as a possible alternate.

D'Jock stated that the walkway could be an alternate as well as the types of materials selected but the County would have to weigh the durability and maintenance factors of different materials. Cost analysis of different products was presented to the Committee for them to make the decision.

Supervisor Hanson questioned other sites for the facility.

D'Jock stated that other sites were studied such as to the north and south of the building, as well as the Weber Building.

Chuck Kell, County Planner, stated that the Weber Building was ruled out because of the distance from the Courts as would be the case of the facility in an outlying area. Kell stated that the Committee looked at all of the areas and felt the current site would be the best to suit all needs of the various departments involved. Kell stated that in response to Hanson's concerns of tearing down homes for parking, we do have parking problems with this building and we also felt that we would be doing a disservice to those residents to have them looking at the back door of our new facility. These are modest home that could be purchased at a reasonable cost.

D'Jock stated that they also looked at the site east of the County-City building and found that it would not be feasible because it is too close to the street.

Supervisor Peterson stated that he felt that the Board should have had the information longer to study the project before voting on it. Peterson also questioned if it would be cheaper to construct offices in the designated second floor unfinished space at this time while the building is being constructed rather than remodel at a later date.

D'Jock stated that it would be cheaper now but you would not know what type of offices to construct.

Supervisor Eckholm questioned the issue of huber prisoners and whether or not it would be possible to house them in a half-way house and if so at what cost and with what state restrictions.

Sheriff Wanta stated that there are many state restrictions and the Committee did look at buying a home and putting the huber prisoners there but it was not cost efficient.

Supervisor Eckholm stated that he was quoted a figure of \$26,000 to house a prisoner in a prison vs \$16,000 in a half-way house which would be quite a savings.

Clinton Hand, State Division of Corrections, stated that Supervisor Eckholm was quoting the price of a prison which is higher than a County jail cost to house a prisoner.

Sheriff Wanta stated that it would have to be quite a large facility to house all of the huber prisoners and it would need quite a lot of work to bring it up to code for security purposes.

Supervisor Eckholm again questioned if it would not be cheaper to house these prisoners in a half-way house.

Chairman Steinke stated that Eckholm was using the cost of a prison and not the cost of the County jail housing a prisoner.

Mr. Hand stated that he believed the cost of the County jail housing and the halfway house would be very similar.

Supervisor Eckholm asked that the County consider looking at other alternatives for housing these prisoners and look at other facilities.

Sheriff Wanta stated that reforestation camps were looked at and they were not found to be cost-efficient for the County level although they do seem to work for prisons and larger facilities.

John Runde, District Attorney, stated that at the outcome of the study he felt an arrangement could have been made with Wood and Marathon Counties but they are already building new jails. The reforestation issue becomes a dead issue with other Counties because they have already expended their funds. Runde stated that he felt on a numbers test the stand alone huber facility could be as cost effective as being incorporated in the jail however that issue is raised too late because the study has already been completed and that issue would have had to be raised some time ago. Runde added that he has been in a number of jails and feels that the proposed plan is a good plan and a very secure plan. Runde stated that he wished the County had looked at a stand alone huber facility.

Supervisor Hanson stated that Portage County does not have many hardened criminals that requires them to be in a jail and that the backlog of prisoners could be kept in a halfway house facility. Hanson questioned if this issue has been studied.

Chairman Steinke pointed out that if the County would go to a free standing facility you would have to staff both the jail and the other facility.

Supervisor Kidder stated that you would be requiring additional staff for the new jail anyway. Kidder also questioned if the concept of the mobile jail had been considered.

D'Jock stated that the Committee has not gotten to that stage and hasn't looked at that option but that type of mobile jail is usually used with a larger facility and in the more calm climate.

Supervisor Murphy questioned if D'Jock's fees are included in the estimates.

Supervisor Schad stated that D'Jock is an architectural consultant and before a jail is built the Committee would have to interview architects.

D'Jock stated that all fees such as architects, engineers, soils and surveys should be included in the cost.

Supervisor Schad apologized that the County Board members did not receive the information sooner to study the plans but the timetable did not allow for it. Schad stated that after the Committee concluded that the building could not go north the Committee did some intensive studying for new plans and locations as well as satisfy the requests of some departments for future expansion needs. Schad stated that she recognizes the problems that some of the board members have in dealing with the project with such a short notice to study the project.

Motion by Supervisor Eckholm, second by Supervisor Szymkowiak to refer the resolution back to the Jail Building Committee and conduct a public hearing on the project prior to County Board action.

Supervisor Holdridge stated that he supported the motion because he felt the questions of personnel should be answered. Holdridge stated that he would also like to see a plan for remodeling the existing jail rather than just a dollar figure.

Roll call vote on the referral motion revealed (20) ayes, Supervisors Winblad, Janowski, Cummings, Stuart Clark, Bruski Mallek, Murphy, Szymkowiak, Hanson, Holdridge, Mancheski, Eckholm, Hintz, Kidder, Idsvoog, Purcell, Erickson, Medin, Winkler, Kiedrowski, Borham; (13) naves, Supervisors Barbers, Zdroik, James Clark, Wanta, Peterson, Steinke, Schad, Shibilski, Kaczmarek, Bembenek, Check, Kirschling, Leppen. Motion carried.

Supervisor Hanson suggested the possibility of using the open area of the new plan on the second floor as office space and not remodeling the old jail. Hanson stated that maybe in the long range plan the County might want those jail cells.

Chuck Kell, County Planner, stated that that there has been no final decision on the old jail usage, but recommended that the District Attorney and Clerk of Courts offices use that area and that costs be included to accommodate that usage.

Supervisor James Clark, Space and Properties Committee Chairman, stated that the Committee has had several space requests in the past years and those requests have been delayed in anticipation of solving those space needs when the jail has been built. Clark stated that the area in the old jail may be used for the juvenile area.

Supervisor Winblad questioned the possibility of rather than using the overhead walkway for the heating units going underground to the new facility.

D'Jock stated that he did look at that but did not cost it out because of the water, sewer, telephone and gas lines that are already under the street, but that it is a possibility that might be considered.

Supervisor Winblad questioned putting the juvenile area in the new facility in the area for possible expansion rather than going to all the remodeling costs.

D'Jock stated that it was the consensus of the Committee to utilize some of the old cells in the existing jail.

Supervisor Winblad questioned if the only reason for the second floor was for the storage areas and if that was eliminated could not the walkway be eliminated.

D'Jock stated that if the walkway and the second floor was eliminated the height of the garage area for the car maintenance purposes would be lost. The Committee wanted to use the building for the maximum of efficiency.

Supervisor Winblad also questioned the demolition of homes and suggested that possibly the homes could be moved.

Supervisor Schad stated that in response to an earlier statement the trend is to keep the juveniles away and out of the regular jail. Schad stated that the Committee has considered contracting out to Marathon County the juveniles since the number of juvenile occupancy is so small.

Supervisor Holdridge stated that he hoped the Finance Committee would look at and provide information on the impact of the tax levy of this facility.

Supervisor Schad stated that the Finance Committee has looked briefly on the matter but felt some sort of a plan would have to be decided on. Schad stated that the Finance Committee would be looking at the matter during the budget process and be discussing it with the County's financial consultants.

Chairman Steinke stated the Committees will try to present that type of information to the Board before voting on the project.

ORDINANCE NO. 124
RE: ZONING ORDINANCE MAP AMENDMENT,
BORNTRAGER PROPERTY

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, Sam and Saddle Borntager request to amend the Portage County Zoning Ordinance so part of Sections 12 and 13 of T22N, R9E, Town of Buena Vista, an area of 160 acres be changed from A-1, Exclusive Agricultural District to Agricultural District; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee held a public hearing on the proposed amendment in the County Conference Room of the County-City Building on September 9, 1987 after due notices were published in the Stevens Point Journal. At said hearing all those who wished to be heard were heard and pertinent facts constituting the testimony were recorded; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee, after carefully considering the testimony, including the attached fact sheet at the September 9, 1987 meeting, has placed a recommendation with the County Board that the request be approved; and

WHEREAS, the proposed amendment, with the information furnished in the attached report and fact sheet, has been given due consideration by the County Board.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors does ordain as follows: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of the SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of the SW $\frac{1}{4}$, of Section 12, T22N, R9E, and NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$, of Section 13, T22N, R9E, Town of Buena Vista is hereby changed from A-1, Exclusive Agricultural District to Agricultural District.

Dated this 22nd day of September, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE
O. Philip Idsvoog, Chairperson
Leif E. Erickson
Ronald J. Check

Clifford F. Bembenek
Richard M. Purcell

Motion by Supervisor Idsvoog, second by Supervisor Bembenek for the adoption.

Roll call vote revealed (32) ayes, (1) excused, Supervisor Erickson. Ordinance adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 125
RE: NEW STAFF REQUEST FOR 1987-1988
CONSERVATION TECHNICIAN

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, a request for additional staff has been submitted by a department head and his governing committee to provide essential services for 1987-88; and

WHEREAS, the Personnel and Finance Committees have evaluated the staff requests for need justification; and

WHEREAS, a two-thirds vote of the County Board members being present will be required for final approval; and

WHEREAS, the grades and salaries indicated on the attached forms are tentative and subject to revision by the Personnel Committee.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors authorize the new staff requests for 1987-1988 as outlined on the attached authorization forms.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this position is to be fully funded through a grant and will have a term of October 1, 1987 through September 30, 1988.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that should funding for this position no longer be available from the funding source, the position shall be eliminated.

Dated this 22nd day of September, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
PORTAGE COUNTY PERSONNEL COMMITTEE
Gordon Hanson, Chairperson
Clarence Hintz
Gale Kidder
David Medin
Margaret Schad

Respectfully submitted,
PORTAGE COUNTY FINANCE COMMITTEE
Margaret Schad, Chairperson
O. Philip Idsvoog
Paul Borham
Kevin Shibilski
Eugene Zdroik

FARBILL CONSERVATION TECHNICIAN

- A. To perform any or all of the following items of work as designated by the service:
1. Gather facts, conduct analysis and prepare documentation to form the basis for highly erodible land, wetland, or converted wetland determinations.
 2. Prepare materials necessary for use in and/or assist in/conduct group planning meetings.
 3. Gather information, develop documentation, and development of conservation plans.
 4. Gather information, documentation, design, and/or layout/apply components of required conservation systems which result in acres benefited and/or protected.
 5. Provide clerical assistance for the FSA activities such as computer data entry, filing, typing, processing documents, and photocopying materials.
- B. Cross compliance will be used to the fullest extent in dovetailing the Farmland Preservation Program and Erosion Control Plan with FSA in conservation accomplishments.
- C. Position's immediate supervisor is the Soil Conservation Service, District Conservationist.
- D. Position Fiscal Impact is as follows:
- | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------|
| Salary (\$7.00/hr.) | \$14,616.00 |
| Social Security (7.51%) | 1,098.00 |
| Health (family) | 2,628.42 |
| Life Insurance | 68.40 |
| Total | <u>\$18,410.82</u> |

Unemployment Compensation 5,076.00
 \$23,486.82 = \$11.248/hr.

- E. All equipment and miscellaneous items are present in the Department.
- F. Position starts October 1, 1987, and terminates September 30, 1988 unless reapproved by federal funding. Total costs are reimbursed by Soil Conservation Service, USDA through the County.
- G. Soil Conservation Service estimate for Farmbill work load 1.4 additional positions.

PORTAGE COUNTY
AUTHORIZATION FOR ADDITIONAL STAFF

Department	Land Conservation
Classification	Conservation Technician
Beginning Employment Date	October 1, 1987
Hourly Rate Recommended by Comm.	\$7.00
No. of Hours Per Year	2,088
Anticipated Annual Salary	\$14,616.00
Annualized Fringe Benefits:	
Retirement	(Not applicable for 1 yr. LTE)
Social Security (7.51%)	\$1,098.00
Health Insurance	\$2,628.42
Life Insurance	\$ 68.40
Unemployment Compensation	\$5,076.00
Total Cost of Fringe Benefits	\$8,870.82
Cost of Operating Supplies (Specify)	-0-
Cost of Equipment for New Position:	
Typewriter	\$ 785.43
Space Requirements:	
Side Desk	
Total Cost for Additional Staff	\$24,272.25
Department Head	Timothy J. Victor
Governing Committee Chair	Stanley Kirschling
Personnel Committee Chair	Gordon Hanson
Finance Committee Chair	Margaret Schad

Motion by Supervisor Idsvoog, second by Supervisor Kidder for the adoption.
 Supervisor Murphy questioned the unemployment compensation allotment.

Paul Jadin, Personnel Director, stated that the money for unemployment was simply put there for anticipation for unemployment compensation. Jadin stated that the supposedly fully funded position requests usually do not include reimbursement for unemployment but we are asking for that through this grant.

Supervisor Bembenek questioned what will happen to this position after the money is depleted.

Tim Victor, Soil Conservationist, stated that the grant is only good for one year and after that time it would be up to the County Board of what to do with this position.

Chairman Steinke pointed out that the resolution states that once the funds run out the position would be terminated.

Roll call vote revealed (29) ayes, (3) nays, Supervisors Janowski, Kiedrowski, Bembenek, (1) excused, Supervisor Erickson. Resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 126
RE: CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS FOR 1988

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, the Capital Improvements Committee was charged with the responsibility of reviewing and recommending to the County Board capital improvement projects as defined in the Capital Improvements Program Handbook adopted by the County Board on July 16, 1986, and

WHEREAS, the Capital Improvements Committee has discussed and reviewed capital improvement projects submitted by various departments and

WHEREAS, the Capital Improvements Committee has determined that the capital improvement projects should be classified into two categories, those being minor and major projects with the minor projects being funded by a direct tax levy and the major projects being funded by bonding, and

WHEREAS, the Capital Improvements Committee has ranked the projects as follows:

MINOR PROJECTS

- | | |
|--|----------|
| 1. Goerke Park (Previously committed by Co. Bd.) | \$20,000 |
| 1. County Home - Outdoor Shelter - No Tax Levy | \$30,000 |
| 2. Lake Emily Park - Showers & Toilets | \$20,000 |
| 3. Central Wisc. Airport - Widen Taxiway (only) | \$12,352 |

MAJOR PROJECT

- | | |
|---|--------------------|
| 1. Landfill Expansion - User Fee Funded | \$367,000 |
| 2. "HH" Interchange Construction | \$376,685 |
| 3. County Jail Project | \$6,600,000 (est.) |

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Portage County Board of Supervisors that the above projects be funded in 1988 in the priority order listed, subject to funding limitations as determined by the Finance Committee.

Dated this 22nd day of September, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS COMMITTEE
Robert Steinke, Chairman
Margaret Schad
O. Philip Idsvoog

Kevin Shibilski
James Clark

Motion by Supervisor James Clark, second by Supervisor Schad for the adoption.

Motion by Supervisor Hanson, second by Supervisor Schad to amend the resolution by changing the amount for the County Jail Project from \$6,600,000 to \$6,100,000. Motion carried by voice vote.

Supervisor Schad outlined the projects presented in the resolution. Schad reminded the Board that these projects are all subject to funding limitations. Schad stated that the budget procedure has not yet been finalized but the Finance Committee is struggling to come in with no more than a four per cent increase and possibly a little lower.

Roll call vote revealed (32) ayes, (1) excused, Supervisor Erickson.
Amended resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 127
RE: AMBULANCE SERVICE CONTRACT

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, increased operating costs of the ambulance service each year require an increased subsidy by Portage County, and

WHEREAS, it would be advantageous to Portage County to have a long-term, stable ambulance service contract, and

WHEREAS, the attached ambulance service contract would provide such stability.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Portage County Board of Supervisors that the attached ambulance service contract is hereby approved.

Dated this 22nd day of September, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
LAW ENFORCEMENT/EMERGENCE GOV'T. COMM.
Frank Barbers, Chairman
Clifford Bembenek
Clarence Hintz
Carrol Winkler
Jeffrey Murphy

FINANCE COMM.
Margaret Schad, Chairperson
Kevin Shibilski
Eugene Zdroik
Paul Borham
O. Philip Idsvoog

AMBULANCE SERVICE AGREEMENT
between
PORTAGE COUNTY AND THE CITY OF STEVENS POINT

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into this 1st day of January, 1988, by and between Portage County, quasi-municipal corporation, hereinafter referred to as "COUNTY" and the City of Stevens Point, hereinafter referred to as "PROVIDER".

WITNESSETH:

For and in consideration of the mutual agreements herein contained and other good and valuable consideration, the COUNTY and PROVIDER do agree as follows:

1. DESCRIPTION, TYPE AND MANNER OF SERVICE TO BE PERFORMED:

PROVIDER agrees to provide ambulance service throughout Portage County, Wisconsin.

For the purpose of this agreement, ambulance service shall be defined as that ambulance service which is necessary to respond to a condition that, in the opinion of the person, his family, or whoever calls for and/or attends the person, requires medical attention and includes non-emergency transfers.

A. EMERGENCY AMBULANCE SERVICE

1) Provided as follows:

- a. To any person within Portage County.
- b. Outside of Portage County on the request of Law or Fire Department authorities from requesting municipality. Mutual aid agreements with municipalities and other units of government outside of Portage County shall be submitted in writing to the Police & Fire Commission prior to the effective date of contract. Authorization for service outside of Portage County shall be given exclusively by the Police & Fire Commission.

B. NON-EMERGENCY AMBULANCE SERVICE

1) Provided as follows:

- a. Only within Portage County.
- b. If emergency ambulance service is not impaired.
- c. Upon proper scheduling.
- d. If pre-payment is deposited with the Ambulance Billing Service.
- e. Deputy Fire Chief/Shift Commander has ultimate dispatch responsibility.

C. TRANSFERS - EMERGENCY

1) Provided as follows:

- a. From health care facilities within Portage County.
- b. Upon hospital/doctor orders.
- c. Outside of Portage County on the request of Law or Fire Department authorities from requesting municipality.

D. TRANSFERS NON-EMERGENCY (within Portage County)

1) Provided as follows:

- a. If emergency ambulance service is not impaired.
- b. Upon proper scheduling.
- c. Deputy Fire Chief/Shift Commander has ultimate dispatch responsibility.

E. TRANSFERS NON-EMERGENCY (outside of Portage County for tests or treatment not available at St. Michael's)

1) Provided as follows:

- a. Upon doctor/hospital orders.
- b. If emergency ambulance service is not impaired.
- c. Upon proper scheduling.
- d. Deputy Fire Chief/Shift Commander has ultimate dispatch responsibility.

F. TRANSFERS NON-EMERGENCY (from health care facilities within Portage County to outside facilities for patient convenience)

1) Provided as follows:

- a. If emergency ambulance service is not impaired.
- b. Upon proper scheduling.
- c. If pre-payment is deposited with the Ambulance Billing Service.
- d. Deputy Fire Chief/Shift Commander has ultimate dispatch responsibility.

2. ADMINISTRATION OF PORTAGE COUNTY AMBULANCE SERVICE POLICY

The Portage County Ambulance Service policies and administrative guidelines shall be formulated and executed by the City of Stevens Point Police and Fire Commission. The Commission shall have sole jurisdiction over the personnel administration of the ambulance service.

3. TERMS OF AGREEMENT AND TERMINATION

The term of this agreement shall be for three years. The agreement shall automatically renew on an annual basis on its anniversary date. Written notice of intent to terminate this agreement shall be made at least two (2) years in advance of termination.

4. STAFFING REQUIREMENTS

PROVIDER agrees to operate two ambulance units on a 24-hour, on-duty basis and a third unit on an on-call basis with trained personnel whose education and other preparation shall comply with section 146.50 of the Wisconsin Statutes.

5. TRAINING REQUIREMENTS

In addition to required training to obtain licensure, all personnel shall, at a minimum successfully complete the following continues education requirements.

<u>Course</u>	<u>Period</u>
CPR Refresher	Annually
EMT Refresher	Biennially
Auto Extraction	Biennially

6. DISPATCHING

Emergency Ambulance calls will be received by the 911 communication system. The 911 dispatcher shall activate the ambulance dispatching system by contacting the PROVIDER via telephone and/or radio.

7. EQUIPMENT OWNERSHIP AND MAINTENANCE COSTS

The COUNTY shall provide three (3) fully equipped ambulance units which comply with provisions of state mandated regulations.

The ambulances and equipment contained therein are and shall at all times remain the sole property of the COUNTY and the PROVIDER shall have no right, title or interest therein except as expressly set forth in this service agreement.

The PROVIDER, at the cost and expense of the COUNTY, shall keep the ambulances and equipment contained therein in good repair, condition and working order.

The expenses of such maintenance shall be paid for by the COUNTY.

8. HOUSING FOR STAFF AND EQUIPMENT

The PROVIDER shall be responsible for all housing costs for staff and equipment at no cost to the COUNTY.

9. INSURANCE

The COUNTY agrees to provide the following types and minimum limits of insurance and will include the PROVIDER as an additional insured:

Vehicle Liability and	BI \$300,000
Uninsured Motorist	PD \$300,000
Collision and Comprehensive	Actual Cash Value
Umbrella	\$2,000,000

The COUNTY agrees to cover the PROVIDER for any losses exceeding the above covered amounts.

The PROVIDER agrees to provide the following types and amounts of insurance and include COUNTY as additional insured:

EMT Malpractice	\$250,000/\$500,000
Workers Compensation	Statutory Limits

The PROVIDER shall furnish satisfactory evidence of all insurance coverage to the COUNTY and the COUNTY to the PROVIDER as outlined above.

10. EXPENDABLE SUPPLIES

Supplies for emergency use shall be furnished by the PROVIDER. For purposes of this agreement supplies shall be defined as those supplies which are essential for the personnel who are staffing ambulances used by PROVIDER to provide adequate care for the critically ill and injured at the scene and during transport to medical facilities, including but not limited to the following:

a. Oxygen	e. Soft roller-type bandages
b. Disposable blankets	f. Adhesive tape
c. Universal dressings	g. Splints
d. Sterile gauze pads	h. Boards

The COUNTY will be responsible for unanticipated supplies (i.e., occurrence of a catastrophic event).

11. AMBULANCE RATES

The COUNTY shall be solely responsible for establishing rates to be charged for ambulance service rendered by the PROVIDER.

12. BILLING AND COLLECTIONS

The COUNTY shall be responsible for the billing and collecting of all charges made for ambulance service pursuant to this agreement. The PROVIDER shall furnish the COUNTY, on a weekly basis, with billing data on a COUNTY prescribed form.

13. REPORT AND REQUIREMENTS

The PROVIDER agrees to prepare the following reports:

- a. Ambulance service reports for state agencies. A monthly statistical report will be submitted by the Fire Chief, or person responsible for ambulance service, to the COUNTY and Law Enforcement Committee for their regular meeting.
- b. A personnel roster which lists all staff, hire dates, certification, and training records.

14. PAYMENT BY COUNTY

1. The COUNTY agrees to reimburse the PROVIDER for the following expenses that are and will continue to be part of the PROVIDER'S budget related to ambulance service:

A) Personnel costs:

Ten (10) men defined as Firefighter/EMT-I by the City of Stevens Point and Firefighters Association contract, including but not limited to, payroll expenses, longevity, holiday and overtime pay, 100% off-duty trip expenses, retirement, medical insurance, life insurance, workmens compensation and unemployment compensation insurance, uniform allowance, training seminar and schools and any other personal related negotiated costs for those positions.

B) Vehicle Expenses:

100% including repairs, gas, oil, radio expenses, towing and insurance.

C) Miscellaneous Expenses:

Supplies (100%) including laundry expense, malpractice insurance.

D) Any changes in levels of support of service mandated by Federal, State or County governments.

2. The PROVIDER agrees to submit an estimated expense Budget by October 15th, prior to each budget year and an audit of each years expenses by March 15th after the end of each calendar year. Any debit or credit from the estimated expense shall be handled as an April installment. The PROVIDER agrees the expenses are subject to audit by the COUNTY and agrees to cooperate fully with COUNTY auditors or representatives relative to verification of the expenses to be paid by the COUNTY.

COUNTY agrees to pay in twelve (12) equal installments with payment due on the 1st of each month.

15. OTHER PROVISIONS

It is understood by the COUNTY and PROVIDER that all agreements and understandings have been embodied in this agreements and no changes shall be made herein except in writing and duly signed by the COUNTY and the PROVIDER.

The PROVIDER agrees that should a municipality exercise its statutory obligation and contract for ambulance service with another provider, the contract payment shall be reduced by that municipality's pro rata share under the contract.

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into pursuant to the authority granted by Section 59.07(41) and Section 66.30, Wisconsin State Statutes.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this agreement on the date first above written.

PORTAGE COUNTY

Robert Steinke, Chairman
Roger Wrycza, County Clerk

W. Scott Schultz, Mayor
Barbara A. Kranig, City Clerk

Supervisor Szymkowiak questioned that since he was an employee of the fire department should he abstain on voting on the resolutions dealing with the ambulance issues.

John Runde, District Attorney, stated he could see no conflict of interest and felt that Supervisor Szymkowiak should be allowed to vote but left the decision up to him.

Motion by Supervisor Barbers, second by Supervisor Zdroik for the adoption.

Supervisor Purcell questioned the cost of the contract.

Supervisor Schad stated that in 1987 the contract was for \$423,000 but the actual cost was \$430,000. Schad stated that the County is looking at approximately \$439,000 to \$445,000 for 1988 which would be about a five per cent increase.

Supervisor Purcell questioned the cost per run.

Roger Wrycza, County Clerk, stated that the average cost is \$230 based on 1850 runs.

Supervisor Holdridge questioned the cost of personnel in the contract and asked what percentage of time those ten employees spend for ambulance coverage.

Supervisor Szymkowiak stated that the contract covers three ambulances capable to respond twenty-four hours a day every day of the year.

Supervisor Schad stated that the contract was written in this manner because a new auditing system is being devised to specify exactly the expenses that each of these ten positions incurs.

Clerk Wrycza offered as information the fact that during studies it was found out that to operate a free standing ambulance service with three full-time ambulances it would take a minimum of eighteen full-time personnel and six part-time personnel.

Supervisor James Clark questioned if the County receives any reimbursement from the municipalities.

Supervisor Schad stated that the Committees studied the cost-sharing plan with municipalities but after meeting with town and city officials and discovering that everyone felt comfortable with the present system it was decided to go this route at this time. Schad stated that this does not mean that the cost-sharing issue would not come up at a later date.

Supervisor James Clark questioned the revenues.

Supervisor Schad stated that with the possible increase in fees the anticipated revenues would be approximately \$200,000.

Supervisor Kiedrowski stated that the Town of Grant did conduct a study into the possibility of private ambulance service and the costs were very similar with the County costs. Kiedrowski stated that the County does have a good service for their dollar.

Roll call vote revealed (31) ayes, (1) excused, Supervisor Erickson, (1) present, Supervisor Szymkowiak. Resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 128
RE: BILLING RATES FOR AMBULANCE SERVICE

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, increased operating costs of the ambulance service each year require and increased subsidy by Portage County, and

WHEREAS, billing rates should be established so as to recover reasonable operating costs, and

WHEREAS, the ambulance billings rates have not been adjusted since January 1, 1982,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Portage County Board of Supervisors that the attached billing rates for ambulance service are hereby approved.

Dated this 22nd day of September, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,

LAW ENFORCEMENT/EMERGENCY GOV'T. COMM.

Frank Barbers, Chairman

Clifford Bembenek

Clarence Hintz

Carrol Winkler

Jeffrey Murphy

FINANCE COMMITTEE

Margaret Schad, Chairperson

Kevin Shibilski

Eugene Zdroik

Paul Borham

O. Philip Idsvoog

RATE SCHEDULE FOR PORTAGE COUNTY AMBULANCE SERVICE EFFECTIVE 10-1-87

STANDARD RUN RATE (Loaded mile means half the total distance of the run)

Base Rate - within Portage County	\$125.00 - plus \$1.00 loaded mile
Base Rate - outside Portage County	\$125.00 - plus \$4.00 loaded mile
Non-emergency transfer - institution to institution within County	\$100.00
Waiting Time - per hour	\$100.00

SUPPLY AND PROCEDURE CHARGES - in addition to base rate and mileage

Traction splint use & application	\$25.00
Air or wire splint use & application	\$10.00
Short or long board use & application	\$25.00
MAST suit use & application	\$25.00
Burn Pack	\$10.00
O.B. Kit	\$20.00
E.G.T.A. (Breathing Tubes)	\$25.00
Miscellaneous supplies	actual replacement cost
Oxygen	\$15.00 per 1/4 hour
Extrication**	\$100.00

**plus \$25.00 per 1/4 hour

OFFICIAL PROCEEDINGS
of the
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
of
PORTAGE COUNTY, WISCONSIN

October 20, 1987

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Robert J. Steinke.
Roll call was taken by the Clerk as follows.

District	1,	David A. Medin
District	2,	Gale L. Kidder
District	3,	Paul A. Borham
District	4,	Cheryl A. Kaczmarek
District	5,	Frank Barbers, Sr.
District	6,	Richard M. Purcell
District	7,	Leo Mancheski
District	8,	David Eckholm
District	9,	Eugene G. Szymkowiak
District	10,	Gordon M. Hanson
District	11,	Ernest V. Wanta
District	12,	Jeffrey K. Murphy
District	13,	James E. Clark
District	14,	Phillip J. Janowski
District	15,	Clifford F. Bembenek
District	16,	John W. Holdridge
District	17,	Kevin W. Shibilski
District	18,	William H. Peterson
District	19,	Margaret M. Schad
District	20,	Robert A. Winblad
District	21,	O. Philip Idsvoog
District	22,	Robert J. Steinke
District	23,	Anthony B. Kiedrowski
District	24,	Wayne A. Cummings
District	25,	Stuart Clark
District	26,	Carrol Winkler
District	27,	Ernest Leppen
District	28,	Stanley Kirschling
District	29,	Eugene Zdroik
District	30,	Ronald J. Check
District	31,	Leif Erickson
District	32,	Betty Bruski Mallek
District	33,	Clarence Hintz

Roll call taken by Clerk Roger Wrycza revealed (29) present, (2) absent, Supervisors Erickson and Cummings, (2) excused, Supervisor Winblad and Shibilski.

All present saluted the flag.

Supervisor Zdroik delivered the invocation.

Motion by Supervisor Szymkowiak, second by Supervisor Kaczmarek to approve the minutes of the September County Board meeting. Motion carried by voice vote.

(Enter Supervisor Erickson)

Committee Referrals

Chairman Steinke stated that the public hearing date on the jail will be announced at a later date after alternate plans are finalized.

Motion by Supervisor Bembenek, second by Supervisor Janowski to refer to the District Attorney the summons and complaint of Citizens Marine National Bank vs various defendants including Portage County. Motion carried by voice vote.

Motion by Supervisor Janowski, second by Supervisor Idsvoog to deny the claim of St. Michael's Hospital for \$4919.17. Motion carried by voice vote.

Motion by Supervisor Barbers, second by Supervisor Kirschling to deny the claim of St. Michael's Hospital for \$3113.45. Motion carried by voice vote.

Motion by Supervisor Janowski, second by Supervisor Bembenek to schedule the annual meeting of the Portage County Board on November 17, 1987 at 6:30 p.m. Motion carried by voice vote.

Supervisor Schad stated that Portage County lost no money on their investments due to the recent economic actions. The County has approximately one million dollars in the Wisconsin Municipal Pool program which is separate from the Wisconsin Investment Board. Schad stated that the objective of the Municipal Pool program includes a complete balance between a rate of return and security of the funds. She added that the other one million dollars invested is diversified into direct federal securities as well as certificates of deposit.

Supervisor Schad also reported that the Finance Committee expects to conclude its budget work at the end of the current week. The budget process consisted of six planning meetings and seven budget hearings and two wrap-up sessions. Schad stated that the Finance Committee earlier set a tax levy limit goal of no more than a 4% increase over last year. Schad added that the goal was based on the change in the equalization valuation, projected inflationary factors, projected state and federal revenues, and on the County's own internal financial resources. Schad stated that the Finance Committee is confident that the County will meet the 4% goal and possibly even lower than that.

Appointments

Motion by Supervisor Murphy, second by Supervisor Kaczmarek to approve the following appointments to the Groundwater Council:

Stanley Kirschling, Land Conservation Committee Chairman to replace Frank Dernbach.

W. Scott Schultz, City of Stevens Point Mayor to replace Michael Haberman.

Cletus Tepp, Village of Whiting Trustee, to replace Jerome Zurawski.

Motion carried by voice vote. Appointments approved.

Unlimited Topics

Supervisor Murphy questioned the resolution concerning the contingency fund transfer for the jail project.

Chairman Steinke stated that the architect is working on a schematic for the project to be located south of the County-City building but nothing has been finalized.

Supervisor Zdroik informed the County Board that the Winter Highway Conference will be held in Stevens Point in 1990.

ORDINANCE NO. 130

RE: ZONING ORDINANCE MAP AMENDMENT, GUSSEL PROPERTY

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, Charles and Patricia Gussel and Alma Gussel, request to amend the Portage County Zoning Ordinance so part of Section 20, T22N, R7E, Town of Grant, an area of approximately 15.68 acres be changed from Single Family Residence District to Agricultural District; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee held a public hearing on the proposed amendment in the County Conference Room of the County-City Building on September 23, 1987 after due notices were published in the Stevens Point Journal. At said hearing all those who wished to be heard were heard and pertinent facts constituting the testimony were recorded; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee, after carefully considering the testimony, including the attached fact sheet at the September 23, 1987 meeting, has placed a recommendation with the County Board that the request be approved; and

WHEREAS, the proposed amendment, with the information furnished in the attached report and fact sheet, has been given due consideration by the County Board.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors does ordain as follows: commencing where the shoreline of Four Mile Creek intersects the west line of Section 20, which is the point-of-beginning (pob), thence north 300 feet along said section line, thence easterly, parallel with Four Mile Creek to the east line of the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 20, thence south along said 40 line to a point 300 feet south of Four Mile Creek, thence westerly, parallel with Four Mile Creek to a point 371 feet east of the west line of Section 20, thence northerly to Four Mile Creek, thence westerly to the west line of Section 20, thence northerly to the pob, being part of the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 20, T22N, R7E, Town of Grant, being approximately 15.68 acres, is hereby changed from Single Family Residence District to Agricultural District.

Dated this 20th day of October, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE
O. Philip Idsvoog, Chairman
Clifford F. Bembenek
Richard M. Purcell

Ronald J. Check
Leif E. Erickson

Motion by Supervisor Idsvoog, second by Supervisor Purcell for the adoption.

Roll call vote revealed (30) ayes, (1) absent, Supervisor Cummings, and (2) excused, Supervisors Winblad and Shibilski. Ordinance adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 131
RE: APPROVAL OF APPLICATIONS FOR FARMLAND
PRESERVATION AGREEMENTS

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, on April 16, 1985, the County Board adopted Resolution No. 124 adopting the Portage County Farmland Preservation Plan as Section 6.6.8 of the County Development Guide, which allows landowners to apply for Farmland Preservation Agreements; and

WHEREAS, Section 91.13(4) of the Wisconsin Statutes requires that the County Board approve or reject all applications for Farmland Preservation Agreements; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Clerk's Office has received eight (8) applications for Farmland Preservation Agreements on or before June 30, 1987, which are listed on the attached sheet; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 91.13(2) of the Wisconsin Statutes, all required agencies have received written notice and have been given an opportunity to comment on the aforementioned applications; and

WHEREAS, on September 23, 1987, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee reviewed and voted to recommend that the County Board approve the applications as listed.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors approves the eight (8) applications for Farmland Preservation Agreements as listed.

Dated this 20th day of October, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE
O. Philip Idsvoog, Chairperson
Leif E. Erickson
Ronald J. Check

Clifford F. Bembenek
Richard M. Purcell

Motion by Supervisor Idsvoog, second by Supervisor Check for the adoption.
Roll call vote revealed (30) ayes, (1) absent, Supervisor Cummings, and (2) excused, Supervisors Winblad and Shibilski. Resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 132
RE: AMENDMENTS TO THE PORTAGE COUNTY
FARMLAND PRESERVATION MAP

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, on April 16, 1985, the County Board adopted Resolution No. 124 adopting the Portage County Farmland Preservation Plan as Section 6.6.8 of the County's Development Guide; and

WHEREAS, in order to allow local farmers to voluntarily participate in the State Farmland Preservation Program, it is necessary and desirable to identify approximately 180 additional acres of land as "Farmland Preservation Areas" as follows (also see attached maps):

<u>Property Owner</u>	<u>Town</u>	<u>Additional Acreage to be Identified as Farmland Preservation Areas</u>
Dambroski, Robert & Judy	Lanark	±20.15 Acres, S1, T22N-R10E
Hudziak, Edwin & Margaret	Belmont	±40.00 Acres, S25, T21N-R10E
Kukowski, Stanley	Pine Grove	±100.00 Acres, S5, T21N-R10E
Volk, Maurice	Belmont	<u>±20.00 Acres</u> , S13, T21N-R10E
TOTAL		±180.15 Acres

WHEREAS, after holding a formal public hearing on September 23, 1987, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee voted to recommend approval of the aforementioned map amendments to the County Board of Supervisors.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors approves the map amendments listed herein and accordingly amends the Portage County Farmland Preservation Map, a portion of the County Farmland Preservation Plan.

Dated this 20th day of October, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
 PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE
 O. Philip Idsvoog, Chairman
 Clifford F. Bembenek

Richard M. Purcell
 Ronald J. Check
 Leif E. Erickson

Motion by Supervisor Idsvoog, second by Supervisor Erickson for the adoption.

Roll call vote revealed (30) ayes, (1) absent, Supervisor Cummings, and (2) excused, Supervisors Winblad and Shibilski. Resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 133
 RE: \$2,500 CONTINGENCY FUND TRANSFER FOR
 ADDITIONAL JAIL STUDY COSTS

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, the initial allotment for preliminary schematic plans for the proposed new county jail have been depleted, and

WHEREAS, a new proposal has surfaced that appears to warrant additional study.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Portage County Board of Supervisors that \$2,500 be made available from the contingency fund for the above stated purpose.

Dated this 20th day of October, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
JAIL BUILDING COMMITTEE
Robert Steinke, Chairman
Margaret Schad
James Clark
Frank Barbers
Clifford Bembenek

FINANCE COMMITTEE
Margaret Schad, Chairpeson
Kevin Shibilski
Paul Borham
O. Philip Idsvoog
Eugene Zdroik

Motion by Supervisor Schad, second by Supervisor James Clark for the adoption.

Supervisor Schad stated that after approval of this resolution the balance of the contingency fund would be \$26,180.

Roll call vote revealed (30) ayes, (1) absent, Supervisor Cummings, and (2) excused, Supervisors Winblad and Shibilski. Resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 134
RE: NEW STAFF REQUESTS FOR 1988

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, requests for additional staff have been submitted by department heads and their governing committees to provide essential services for 1988; and

WHEREAS, the Personnel and Finance Committees have evaluated the staff requests for need justification; and

WHEREAS, a two-thirds vote of the County Board members being present will be required for final approval; and

WHEREAS, the classifications and salaries indicated on the attached forms are tentative and subject to revision by the Personnel Committee.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors authorize the new staff requests for 1988 as outlined on the attached authorization forms.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that these positions are subject to Portage County's ability to finance them and may be cut by the Finance Committee during future budget hearings.

Dated this 20th day of October, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
PORTAGE COUNTY PERSONNEL COMMITTEE
Gordon Hanson, Chair
Clarence Hintz
Gale Kidder
David Medin
Margaret Schad

Respectfully submitted,
PORTAGE COUNTY FINANCE COMMITTEE
Margaret Schad, Chair
O. Philip Idsvoog
Paul Borham
Kevin Shibilski
Eugene Zdroik

NEW STAFF REQUESTS FOR 1988

<u>DEPARTMENT</u>	<u>POSITION TITLE</u>	<u>HOURS PER YEAR</u>
1. Purchasing	Purchasing Assistant	new - 1044
2. Clerk of Courts	Bookkeeper II	new - 2088
3. District Attorney	Legal Receptionist/Typist	new - 2088
4. District Attorney	Child Support Investigator	new - 2088
5. Commission on Aging	Bookkeeper I	existing - 522
6. Commission on Aging	Benefits Advocate	existing - 522
7. Library	Library Page - Plover Branch	existing - 520
8. Library	Extension Aide - Rosholt Branch	existing - 624

PORTAGE COUNTY
AUTHORIZATION FOR ADDITIONAL STAFF

Department	Purchasing
Classification	Purchasing Assistant
Beginning Employment Date	January 4, 1988
Hourly Rate Recommended by Comm.	\$7.75
No. of Hours Per Year	1044
Anticipated Annual Salary	\$8,091.00
Annualized Fringe Benefits:	
Retirement	\$ 970.92
Social Security (7.51%)	\$ 607.63
Health Insurance	\$ 1,308.00
Life Insurance	-0-
Other (Specify)	-0-
Total Cost of Fringe Benefits	\$ 2,886.55
Cost of Operating Supplies (Specify)	-0-
Cost of Equipment for New Position	-0-
Space Requirements	-0-
Total Cost for Additional Staff	\$10,977.55
Department Head	Paul Jadin
Governing Committee Chair	
Personnel Committee Chair	Gordon Hanson
Finance Committee Chair	Margaret Schad

PORTAGE COUNTY
AUTHORIZATION FOR ADDITIONAL STAFF

Department	Clerk of Courts
Classification	Bookkeeper II
Beginning Employment Date	January 1, 1988
Hourly Rate Recommended by Comm.	\$7.56
No. of Hours Per Year	2088
Anticipated Annual Salary	\$15,785
Annualized Fringe Benefits:	
Retirement	\$ 1,849.00
Social Security (7.51%)	\$ 1,157.00
Health Insurance	\$ 2,426.00
Life Insurance	\$ 46.00
Other (Specify)	-0-
Total Cost of Fringe Benefits	\$ 5,478.00
Cost of Operating Supplies (Specify)	200.00
Cost of Equipment for New Position:	
Desk, Glass Top, Insert Typing Table, Calculator, Chair, Typewriter	\$ 2,017.00
Space Requirements:	
Clerk of Courts Bookkeeping Area	
Total Cost for Additional Staff	\$23,480.00
Department Head	Alfred Lewandowski
Governing Committee Vice-Chair	Phillip Janowski
Personnel Committee Chair	Gordon Hanson
Finance Committee Chair	Margaret Schad

PORTAGE COUNTY
AUTHORIZATION FOR ADDITIONAL STAFF

Department	District Attorney
Classification	Legal Receptionist/Typist
Hourly Rate Recommended by Comm.	\$6.68
No. of Hours Per Year	2088
Anticipated Annual Salary	\$13,958.00
Annualized Fringe Benefits:	
Retirement	\$ 1,675.00
Social Security (7.51%)	\$ 998.00
Health Insurance	\$ 2,328.00
Life Insurance	\$ 46.00
Other (Specify)	-0-
Total Cost of Fringe Benefits	\$ 5,047.00
Cost of Operating Supplies	-0-
Cost of Equipment for New Position	-0-
Space Requirements	-0-
Total Cost for Additional Staff	\$19,005.00
Department Head	John Runde
Governing Committee Chair	
Personnel Committee Chair	Gordon Hanson
Finance Committee Chair	Margaret Schad

PORTAGE COUNTY
AUTHORIZATION FOR ADDITIONAL STAFF

Department	Child Support
Classification	Child Support Investigator
Beginning Employment Date	January 4, 1988
Hourly Rate Recommended by Comm.	\$9.77
No. of Hours Per Year	2088
Anticipated Annual Salary	\$20,418.18
Annualized Fringe Benefits:	
Retirement	\$ 2,450.18
Social Security (7.51%)	\$ 1,533.41
Health Insurance	\$ 2,616.00
Life Insurance	\$ 91.20
Other (Specify) Disability Ins.	\$ 132.00
Total Cost of Fringe Benefits	\$ 4,372.61
Cost of Operating Supplies (Specify)	-0-
Cost of Equipment for New Position:	
Desk, Chair	\$ 1,000.00
Space Requirements	-0-
Total Cost for Additional Staff	\$25,790.79
Department Head	John Runde
Governing Committee Chair	
Personnel Committee Chair	Gordon Hanson
Finance Committee Chair	Margaret Schad

PORTAGE COUNTY
AUTHORIZATION FOR ADDITIONAL STAFF

Department	Commission on Aging	
Classification	Bookkeeper I	
Beginning Employment Date	20 hours/week 1-7-80	
	30 hours/week 1-1-88	
Hourly Rate recommended by Comm.	\$7.31*	
No. of Hours Per Year	1044 (20 hours/week)	
	1566 (30 Hours/week)	
Anticipated Annual Salary	\$ 7,631.64	1044 hours
	\$11,447.46	1566 Hours
*2.5% increase for 1988 from \$7.13 to \$7.31		
Annualized Fringe Benefits:	<u>1044 hours</u>	<u>1566 hours</u>
Retirement	\$ 915.80	\$ 1,373.70
Social Security (7.51%)	\$ 573.14	\$ 859.70
Health Insurance	n/a	
Life Insurance	n/a	
Other (Specify)	-0-	
Total Cost of Fringe Benefits	\$ 1,488.94	\$ 2,233.40
Cost of Operating Supplies (Specify)	n/a	
Cost of Equipment for New Position	n/a	
Space Requirements	n/a	
Total Cost for Additional Staff	\$ 9,120.58	\$13,680.86
Department Head	Patricia Stade	
Governing Committee Chair		
Personnel Committee Chair	Gordon Hanson	
Finance Committee Chair	Margaret Schad	

PORTAGE COUNTY AUTHORIZATION FOR ADDITIONAL STAFF

Department	Commission on Aging	
Classification	Benefits Advocate	
Beginning Employment Date	20 hours/week 1-1-85	
	30 hours/week 1-1-88	
Hourly Rate Recommended by Comm.	\$8.07*	
No. of Hours Per Year	1044 (20 hours/week)	
	1566 (30 hours/week)	
Anticipated Annual Salary	\$ 8,425.08	1044 hours
	\$12,637.62	1566 hours
*2.5% increase for 1988 from 7.87 to 8.07 per hour		
Annualized Fringe Benefits:	<u>1044 Hours</u>	<u>1566 Hours</u>
Retirement	\$ 1,011.01	\$ 1,516.51
Social Security (7.51%)	\$ 632.72	\$ 949.09
Health Insurance	n/a	
Life Insurance	n/a	
Other (Specify) Disability	\$ 3.66	\$ 3.66
Total Cost of Fringe Benefits	\$ 1,647.39	\$ 2,469.26
Cost of Operating Supplies (Specify)	n/a	
Cost of Equipment for New Position	n/a	
Space Requirements	n/a	
Total Cost for Additional Staff	\$10,072.47	\$15,106.88

PORTAGE COUNTY
AUTHORIZATION FOR ADDITIONAL STAFF

Department	Public Library
Classification of New Position	Library Page - Plover Branch
Beginning Employment Date	January 1, 1988
Hourly Rate Recommended by Comm.	\$3.82/4.03
No. of Hours Per Year	520
Anticipated Annual Salary	\$2,041.00
Annualized Fringe Benefits:	
Retirement	\$ 245.00
Social Security (7.51%)	\$ 153.00
Health Insurance	\$ 270.00
Life Insurance	n/a
Other (Specify)	n/a
Total Cost of Fringe Benefits	\$ 668.00
Cost of Operating Supplies (Specify)	n/a
Cost of Equipment for New Position	n/a
Space Requirements:	
Plover Branch Library, Plover Municipal Building	
Total Cost for Additional Staff	\$2,709.00

PORTAGE COUNTY
AUTHORIZATION FOR ADDITIONAL STAFF

Department	Public Library
Classification of New Position	Extension Aide - Rosholt
Beginning Employment Date	January 1, 1988
Hourly Rate Recommended by Comm.	\$5.08/5.36
No. of Hours Per Year	624
Anticipated Annual Salary	\$3,257.00
Annualized Fringe Benefits:	
Retirement	\$ 391.00
Social Security (7.51%)	\$ 245.00
Health Insurance	\$ 324.00
Life Insurance	n/a
Other (Specify)	n/a
Total Cost of Fringe Benefits	\$ 960.00
Cost of Operating Supplies (Specify)	n/a
Cost of Equipment for New Position	n/a
Space Requirements:	
Rosholt Branch Library, Rosholt Village Hall	
Total Cost for Additional Staff	\$4,217.00

Motion by Supervisor Murphy, second by Supervisor Hanson for the adoption.
Supervisor Holdridge questioned the purchasing position.

Paul Jadin, Personnel Manager, stated that the reorganization required some supervision of the purchasing manager and the question was where it was going to go. The old purchasing agent had reported to the Business Administrator and to the Finance Committee. The intent in the reorganization was to still have the purchasing department report to the Finance Committee and when it came down to which department head would actually supervise purchasing it was decided

that it would be the Personnel Manager.

Jerry Glad, Business Administrator, stated that one of the reasons to have the Personnel Manager supervise the purchasing manager is because the supportive staff at the present time comes from the Personnel office. Glad stated that it would be better suited if one department head would supervise the entire purchasing staff.

Supervisor Holdridge questioned if there might be a problem where the Personnel Manager answers to the Personnel Committee and the Finance Committee still has jurisdiction over the purchasing department.

Supervisor Hanson, Personnel Committee Chairman, stated that the Committee felt it was a workable solution since current personnel staff is working with the purchasing department.

Supervisor Schad, Finance Committee Chairman, stated that the Finance Committee has discussed this with the purchasing manager and it was felt that this was the best route to follow.

Supervisor Szymkowiak questioned the total county cost of the positions.

Supervisor Hanson stated that the total cost to the County is approximately \$28,000. Hanson added that because of revenues created and funds from outside areas the cost on the tax levy is decreased. Hanson also pointed out that these new positions had to be included in these budgets without going over the budget guidelines.

Supervisor James Clark questioned why a new purchasing position is being created.

Supervisor Hanson stated that this position is an assistant to the purchasing agent to create a county purchasing system.

Jerry Glad stated that the total cost of the new positions is approximately \$94,000 of which approximately \$30,000 would be applied to the County tax levy. Glad stated that in regard to the purchasing position, the Finance Committee has in the past year directed staff to expand the purchasing function to allow better central purchasing. This new position would provide supporting staff to the one purchasing agent.

Supervisor James Clark stated that he could not agree with adding more purchasing staff.

Supervisor Hanson stated that some of the departments have been doing their own purchasing and it was felt that it would be better with centralized purchasing.

Paul Jadin explained that in the past there was a purchasing agent in the courthouse with a parts clerk out at the highway department that was doing nothing but inventory for the highway department. Once the Finance Committee developed their objectives of central purchasing the purchasing agent was moved out to the highway department to get the two people working together and get the data entry system on line. The purchasing manager that was hired by the highway department was to replace the stock clerk and also hired as the purchasing manager to do the highway work as well as supervise the existing purchasing agent. He stated that it was not being accomplished because the highway department had more work for the position than anticipated after they moved into their new building. Jadin stated that after improving the data entry and requisition systems we will be able to make the transition of the purchasing manager back to the courthouse which was hired with the intent to have centralized purchasing for the County. It was then determined that it would be appropriate to provide the purchasing manager with clerical assistance to accomplish the task of central purchasing. Jadin stated that it is a clerical position and not a professional position. Jadin added that this will be part of the purchasing budget under the jurisdiction of the Finance Committee.

Chairman Steinke questioned if the positions should be voted on separately.

Supervisor Szymkowiak stated that he felt if Board members wanted a position pulled out of the resolution they should recommend it but otherwise

felt the positions should be voted on as a whole.

Supervisor Purcell questioned if the District Attorney's office is expanding in areas that it has not covered before or are these additional employees.

John Runde, District Attorney, stated that these are additional employees. Runde stated that in the child support area there have not been any staff increases since 1975. Runde stated that in 1975 there were 300 cases with two full-time staff people compared to January of 1987 where there were 1600 cases with the equivalent of 1.8 full-time people. Runde stated that these two positions would bring the case ratio of approximately 600-700 cases per investigator although an ideal ratio would be 500. Runde added that he felt the office could handle the increase with these new positions. Runde also indicated that the departments were given the limit of a 4% tax levy and this budget comes in at a 3.6% decrease over 1987.

Supervisor Purcell questioned if the number of overall county employees has decreased.

Jerry Glad stated that there has been a number of staff decreases referring to the highway department decrease of six to seven people, planning and zoning half-time reduction, land conservation summer help reduction. Glad added that in comparison to 1987 he felt the staffing will decrease slightly for 1988.

Supervisor James Clark questioned if the child support investigator position would generate monies.

Runde stated that the profit in 1988 would be approximately \$5000 better than 1987. Runde added that there is no county tax levy increase as a result of these positions but rather additional profit.

Supervisor Kiedrowski stated that although the increase in hours at the Commission on Aging involves no county tax dollars it does involve tax dollars from other sources. Kiedrowski stated that the reason the increase in hours is needed is to allow the employee to complete all the forms required by state and federal regulations. Kiedrowski felt that Board members should be contacting legislators and trying to get some of these regulations decreased so more time could be spent on the actual program rather than documentation of the program.

Roll call vote revealed (29) ayes, (1) naye, Supervisor Kirschling, (1) absent, Supervisor Cummings, and (2) excused, Supervisors Winblad and Shibilski. Resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 135

RE: DOG CLAIMS

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WE, the members of your committee on dog claims have met and pursuant to Section 174.11 of the Wisconsin Statutes have allowed the following claims:

Date	Name	Description	Asked	Allowed
8/5/87	Edward Olszewski 1723 County Trunk C Stevens Point, WI 54481 T23N-R7E Town of Linwood	1 Wild Turkey, 1 year old, weighing 15 lbs. or more, killed outside of fence.	\$20.00	\$20.00
8/6/87	Patricia S. Sullivan 7309 County J Bancroft, WI 54921 T22N-R9E Town of Buena Vista	10 chickens killed @ \$4.50 each 4-4 1/2 lbs each.	\$45.00	\$45.00

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the above claims be paid.

Dated this 7th day of October, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
AGRICULTURE AND EXTENSION EDUCATION COMMITTEE
Robert Winblad, Chairman
Ernest Leppen
Betty Bruski Mallek

Carrol Winkler
Stanley Kirschling

Motion by Supervisor Winkler, second by Supervisor Kirschling for the adoption.

Supervisor Purcell questioned if a wild turkey qualified as a domestic animal.

Supervisor Peterson stated that he had the same question and wondered if the Board might not set precedent and start paying for other wild animals.

Roger Wrycza, County Clerk, stated that a past District Attorney opinion explains that there are a number of animals covered as long as they are domesticated to qualify for dog claims.

Supervisor Kiedrowski informed Board members that if the person claiming dog damage does not have his own pet licensed he is not allowed dog damage claims.

Motion by Supervisor Idsvoog, second by Supervisor Leppen to amend the resolution to strike the word "wild". Motion carried by voice vote.

Roll call vote on the amended resolution revealed (30) ayes, (1) absent, Supervisor Cummings, (2) excused, Supervisors Winblad and Shibilski. Resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 136
RE: FINAL RESOLUTION

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, by the County Board of Supervisors of Portage County, Wisconsin, now in Adjourned Session assembled that the Resolutions and motions adopted and carried at this meeting and all appropriations made and claims allowed at this meeting be and they are hereby ratified and confirmed in all respects by the Board.

(s) Supervisor Eugene Zdroik

Motion by Supervisor Kidder, second by Supervisor Wanta for the adoption.

Motion carried by voice vote. Resolution adopted.

Motion by Supervisor Szymkowiak, second by Supervisor Kaczmarek to adjourn the meeting subject to the call of the chair. Motion carried by voice vote.

STATE OF WISCONSIN)
) SS
COUNTY OF PORTAGE)

I, Roger Wrycza, County Clerk of said County do hereby certify that the above is a true and correct record of the Adjourned Session of the Portage County Board of Supervisors of Portage County, Wisconsin.

ROGER WRYCZA
Portage County Clerk

OFFICIAL PROCEEDINGS
of the
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
of
PORTAGE COUNTY, WISCONSIN

November 17, 1987

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Robert J. Steinke.
Roll call was taken by the Clerk as follows.

District	1,	David A. Medin
District	2,	Gale L. Kidder
District	3,	Paul A. Borham
District	4,	Cheryl A. Kaczmarek
District	5,	Frank Barbers, Sr.
District	6,	Richard M. Purcell
District	7,	Leo Mancheski
District	8,	David Eckholm
District	9,	Eugene G. Szymkowiak
District	10,	Gordon M. Hanson
District	11,	Ernest V. Wanta
District	12,	Jeffrey K. Murphy
District	13,	James E. Clark
District	14,	Phillip J. Janowski
District	15,	Clifford F. Bembenek
District	16,	John W. Holdridge
District	17,	Kevin W. Shibilski
District	18,	William H. Peterson
District	19,	Margaret M. Schad
District	20,	Robert A. Winblad
District	21,	O. Philip Idsvoog
District	22,	Robert J. Steinke
District	23,	Anthony B. Kiedrowski
District	24,	Wayne A. Cummings
District	25,	Stuart Clark
District	26,	Carrol Winkler
District	27,	Ernest Leppen
District	28,	Stanley Kirschling
District	29,	Eugene Zdroik
District	30,	Ronald J. Check
District	31,	Leif Erickson
District	32,	Betty Bruski Mallek
District	33,	Clarence Hintz

Roll call taken by Clerk Roger Wrycza revealed (30) present, (3) absent,
Supervisors Janowski, Szymkowiak, Shibilski.

All present saluted the flag.

Supervisor Wanta delivered the invocation.

Motion by Supervisor Idsvoog, second by Supervisor Bembenek to adjourn as
the Board and convene as a Committee of the Whole for the purpose of a public
hearing on the proposed budget for 1988. Motion carried by voice vote.

Supervisor Schad, Finance Committee Chairperson, and Jerry Glad, Business Administrator, presented the proposed 1988 budget.

(Enter Supervisor Szymkowiak)

Supervisor Schad stated that the Finance Committee together with governing committees, department heads, elected officials, and department staff has produced a budget that proposes a tax levy increase of 3.7% over 1987 and a tax rate of \$4.79 per \$1000 of equalized valuation. Schad stated that early during the budget process the Finance Committee set the objective of a tax increase of no more than 4% over 1987. She stated that this objective was based on the projected inflationary rate, the equalized valuation of the county, projected state and federal revenues, and the County's own internal financial resources. Schad stated that after many meetings and budget hearings the end result is a \$6,899,035 property tax requirement for 1988 which is a \$245,081 increase over 1987. Schad reported that 56% of this increase is committed to finance capital projects and the contingency fund. She also added that no surplus funds have been applied to the 1988 budget which is a change from previous years. Schad also pointed out the new budget format referred to as 'GAAFR', governmental accounting auditing and financial reporting. Schad stated that everyone who worked on the budget should be pleased that Portage County is exercising fiscal control over expenditures while still maintaining essential services for our citizens.

(Enter Supervisors Shibilski and Janowski)

Jerry Glad presented the budget in its new format and explained some of the account breakdowns.

Supervisor Purcell questioned the conservation warden budget cuts.

Supervisor Schad stated that the cut is part of a three-year phase out plan adopted by the Finance Committee with 1988 being the second year of the plan.

Supervisor Eckholm questioned the postage account and whether the departments broke down their usage of these funds.

Jerry Glad stated that the postage meter is operated under the jurisdiction of the County Clerk and that it was studied as to the feasibility of departmental breakdown but that proved to be too time consuming.

Supervisor Murphy questioned the car replacement fund and whether it was in an interest bearing account.

Jerry Glad stated that this account was created for the small departments which required the usage of vehicles and their budget being hit hard in the years of car replacement, and added that this money is lumped with the other funds in interest bearing accounts kept by the County Treasurer.

Supervisor Hanson questioned the large amount of the highway winter maintenance account for the last six months of the year.

Tom Shirek, Highway Department, stated that the figure was based on the last six years experience of the fall season.

Supervisor James Clark questioned if the money could be used for other purposes.

Shirek stated that they have not used the money for any other purposes in the past and when the account ran in the red the money had been taken from other accounts within the budget. Shirek stated that the department has probably under budgeted this account in the past and if there would be any money left over perhaps they could use it for paving since this is one area that has been used for snow removal overrun in the past. This concept has been discussed with the Finance Committee.

Supervisor Purcell questioned if the highway department has any non-lapsing accounts.

Jerry Glad stated that he would provide that information at a later time.
Supervisor Zdroik pointed out to the Board that in the past when the snow removal fund ran in the red other areas of the highway budget picked up the difference.

Shirek stated that if there would be leftover funds in snow removal they would like to use the monies toward paving projects that have been delayed.

Supervisor James Clark questioned the number of TIF districts in Portage County.

Jerry Glad stated that he would provide that information at a later time.

Motion by Supervisor Murphy, second by Supervisor Shibilski to return to Adjourned Session for the adoption of the 1988 budget. Motion carried by voice vote.

RESOLUTION NO. 137

RE: ADOPTION OF THE 1988 BUDGET AND AUTHORIZATION FOR
PROPERTY TAX LEVY APPORTIONMENT

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISOR:

WHEREAS, the Finance Committee has conducted numerous budget meetings formulating the 1988 budget, and;

WHEREAS, formal publication of the budget summary and announcement of public hearing was made on November 2, 1987 in the Stevens Point Journal in accordance with Section 65.90 of the Wisconsin State Statutes, and;

WHEREAS, a public hearing on the proposed 1988 Portage County budget was held at 6:30 p.m. on November 17, 1987.

WHEREAS, the 1988 budget as proposed by the Finance Committee has been presented and explained to the County Board at the annual fall meeting on November 17, 1987.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Portage County Board of Supervisors hereby adopts the budget of \$23,310,710 for the fiscal year beginning January 1, 1988.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Portage County Board of Supervisors authorizes a property tax levy in the amount of \$6,899,035 in support of the adopted 1988 budget and directs the County Clerk to apportion that amount to respective municipalities located within Portage County:

Dated this 17th day of November, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
FINANCE COMMITTEE

Margaret Schad, Chairperson

Phil Idsvoog

Eugene Zdroik

Paul Borham

Kevin Shibilski

Motion by Supervisor Schad, second by Supervisor Zdroik for the adoption. Roll call vote revealed (33) ayes. Resolution adopted.

After a break the Board held the regular monthly meeting as follows:

Motion by Supervisor Szymkowiak, second by Supervisor Wanta to approve the minutes of the October County Board meeting. Motion carried by voice vote.

Correspondence

Thank you from the family of Fabyan Wysocki for a floral arrangement.

Motion by Supervisor Bruski Mallek, second by Supervisor Bembenek to place the correspondence on file. Motion carried by voice vote.

Clerk Wrycza informed the Board that the Community Human Services Annual Report was distributed on the desks and will be discussed at the December Board meeting. The Clerk also informed the Board that the Ag Agent will be mailing his annual report and will also be discussed at the December meeting.

Committee Referrals

Motion by Supervisor Zdroik, second by Supervisor Murphy to refer the summons and complaint of Jason D. Etlicher vs various defendants including Portage County to the District Attorney. Motion carried by voice vote.

Motion by Supervisor Murphy, second by Supervisor Wanta to refer the notice of claim of Earl H. Peterson to the District Attorney. Motion carried by voice vote.

Motion by Supervisor Szymkowiak, second by Supervisor Janowski to refer the notice of claim of William S. Adams to the District Attorney. Motion carried by voice vote.

Supervisor Winblad referred Board members to a handout regarding University Extension services and asked the Board to review it.

Paul Jadin, Personnel Manager, provided a brief overview of the new proposed 1988 salary plan and performance evaluation procedures for non-union employees. Jadin stated that the employee performance evaluations are going to be a more significant aspect of the new plan with more demands from the department heads and governing committees. Jadin suggested that when governing committees evaluate department heads during an executive session meeting each committee member could bring data based on the evaluation form to that meeting and share it with other committee members. The Committee should then discuss this information and come to one final agreement on how the department head should be evaluated on each of the criteria. The Personnel Committee is mandating ten criteria as well as evaluation on unique nature of the department head responsibilities. Each criteria should receive the appropriate standard performance rating as listed on the evaluation forms provided. Jadin stated that once the Committee has completed the evaluation they should meet with the department head to discuss areas of concern or areas where the employee would have some rebuttal. Once the evaluation is forwarded to the Personnel Department the appropriate increase will be assigned to the employee based on the salary schedule. Jadin stated that the Personnel Committee would like to see more input from the governing committees on the performance evaluations.

Supervisor Holdridge questioned the role of the Personnel Committee with the new plan.

Jadin stated that if the Personnel Committee would see an obvious mistake where a performance evaluation came with an 'exceeds performance standards' when the employee was a nuisance to the County Board perhaps the evaluation would be sent back to the governing committee and make them justify their evaluation.

Jadin also informed the County Board that the personal services section of the 1988 budget which represents salaries and benefits is approximately 48% of the total expenditures as compared to 53% in 1984.

Jerry Glad informed the County Board that there are five TIF Districts in Portage County with two in the Village of Plover and three in Stevens Point. Glad stated that the increment which represents the increase of the valuation from the base value is approximately thirty-nine million dollars. That is the amount of growth that the County by law cannot apportion any of the budget to.

Glad also reported that the unappropriated and uncommitted surplus balance for the highway department is approximately \$96,000.

Appointments

Motion by Supervisor Schad, second by Supervisor Wanta to approve the reappointment of David Manske to the Veterans Service Commission for a three-year term expiring December, 1990. Motion carried by voice vote.

Motion by Supervisor Idsvoog, second by Supervisor Winblad to approve the reappointment of George Meeks to the Wisconsin Valley Library Service for a three-year term expiring December 1990. Motion carried by voice vote.

Unlimited Topics

Supervisor Murphy asked for an update on the Jail Study.

Chairman Steinke reported that the Jail Building Committee met jointly with the Space and Properties Committee and City officials and the outcome of that meeting is that the Committee is strongly moving back to the original plan which would be on the west side of the courthouse. We are trying to meet with the City to see if it is possible or feasible if the City vacates the building to possibly use that space and cut the jail building down by one floor. After we receive those answers and the architect has time to study these ideas we will have a public hearing and then back to the County Board.

Supervisor Murphy questioned if the architect had brought in sketches of the proposed design to fill in the "H" of the Courthouse.

Chairman Steinke stated that there have been no sketches as yet only detailed information as to how far he has progressed to date. Steinke stated that the biggest reason the Committee turned against the plan where the jail would be split on two floors is because of the added personnel required which amounts to approximately \$250,000 per year.

Supervisor Murphy questioned if the \$2500 that was allocated for additional funds for the architect was supposed to include some drawings and schematics.

Chairman Steinke stated that sketches will be provided for the two-story facility.

Supervisor Bembenek stated that sketches were not produced for the plan south of the building because of the additional staff requirements with that plan. Bembenek stated that there were more negative factors with this plan than positive and therefore the Committee did not pursue it any longer.

Supervisor Holdridge questioned if the Board will be able to review some dollar figures on how feasible or infeasible it would be to build south of the building to make comparisons.

Chairman Steinke stated that after the City gives the County some figures on the costs of their office space those figures can be made available to the County Board.

Supervisor Hanson stated that there are Board members concerned about seeing what can be done by using the current building.

Chairman Steinke stated that we would be looking at using this building but with the other two-story plan west of the building.

Supervisor Hanson stated that he felt the Board should see more detailed information on the plan south of the building to be sure that we cannot proceed with that plan. Hanson stated that he would like to see what that would look like.

Chairman Steinke stated that the Committee decided that with the two-story jail the personnel costs exceeded the feasibility of the plan.

Supervisor Holdridge stated that he would like to see the dollar analysis and comparison of all the proposed plans.

Chairman Steinke stated that the Board will have that information before a final vote is taken on the project.

Supervisor Idsvoog stated that the Village of Plover would offer the land to have the County seat as well as the jail building all in one location.

Clerk Wrycza stated that the Jail Building Committee needs the figures on the cost of the City office space to determine if it is feasible to use that space.

Chairman Steinke informed the Board that the Mayor stated that the City would not be moving if the County does not acquire their space.

Supervisor Kidder informed the Board that because of the vandalism of the deer being shot at Jordan Park deer pen there has been donations offered for a reward for any information concerning the incident.

ORDINANCE NO. 138

RE: ZONING ORDINANCE MAP AMENDMENT, KAWLESKI PROPERTY

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, Gary and Sandra Kawleski request to amend the Portage County Zoning Ordinance so part of Section 26, T25N, R7E, Town of Dewey, an area of approximately 5.3 acres is changed from Conservancy District to Agricultural District; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee held a public hearing on the proposed amendment in the County Board Room of the County-City Building on October 22, 1987 after due notices were published in the Stevens Point Journal. At said hearing all those who wished to be heard were heard and pertinent facts constituting the testimony were recorded; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee, after carefully considering the testimony, including the attached fact sheet at the October 22, 1987 meeting, has placed a recommendation with the County Board that the request be approved; and

WHEREAS, the proposed amendment, with the information furnished in the attached report and fact sheet, has been given due consideration by the County Board.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors does ordain as follows: commencing at a point on the north line of Government Lot 3 of Section 26, T25N, R7E, Town of Dewey, which is approximately 50 feet east of the Wisconsin River, also being the point-of-beginning (pob), thence southwesterly following the top of the bluff to the south line of Government Lot 3, thence easterly along said line approximately 120 feet, thence northeasterly parallel to and 300 feet east of the Wisconsin River to the north line of Government Lot 3, thence westerly to the pob, being part of Government Lot 3 of Section 26, T25N, R7E, Town of Dewey, an area of approximately 5.3 acres is hereby changed from Conservancy District to Agricultural District.

Dated this 17th day of November, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE
O. Philip Idsvoog, Chairman
Clifford F. Bembenek

Richard M. Purcell
Ronald J. Check
Leif E. Erickson

Motion by Supervisor Idsvoog, second by Supervisor Check for the adoption.
Roll call vote revealed (30) ayes, (2) excused, Supervisors Shibilski and Kiedrowski, (1) present, Supervisor Murphy. Ordinance adopted.

ORDINANCE NO. 139

RE: ZONING ORDINANCE MAP AMENDMENT, CEPLINA PROPERTY

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, Frank Ceplina requests to amend the Portage County Zoning Ordinance so part of Section 1, T24N, R8E, Town of Hull, an area of approximately 16 acres be changed from Conservancy District to Agricultural District; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee held a public hearing on the proposed amendment in the County Board Room of the County-City Building on October 22, 1987 after due notices were published in the Stevens Point Journal. At said hearing all those who wished to be heard were heard and pertinent facts constituting the testimony were recorded; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee, after carefully considering the testimony, including the attached fact sheet at the October 22, 1987 meeting, has placed a recommendation with the County Board that the request be approved; and

WHEREAS, the proposed amendment, with the information furnished in the attached report and fact sheet, has been given due consideration by the County Board.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors does ordain as follows: commencing at the NE corner of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 1, T24N, R8E, which is the point-of-beginning (pob), thence westerly along the section line 660 feet, thence southerly, parallel to the north/south 40 line, approximately 1,060 feet, thence easterly, parallel to the north section line of Section 1, 660 feet to the east line of said 40, thence northerly along said 40 line to the pob, being part of the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 1, T24N, R8E, Town of Hull, an area of approximately 16 acres is hereby changed from Conservancy District to Agricultural District.

Dated this 17th day of November, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE
O. Philip Idsvoog, Chairman
Clifford F. Bembenek

Richard M. Purcell
Ronald J. Check
Leif E. Erickson

Motion by Supervisor Idsvoog, second by Supervisor Bembenek for the adoption.

Roll call vote revealed (31) ayes, (2) excused, Supervisors Kiedrowski and Shibilski. Ordinance adopted.

ORDINANCE NO. 140

RE: ZONING ORDINANCE MAP AMENDMENTS, TOWN OF EAU PLEINE

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, the Eau Pleine Town Board and the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee request to amend the zoning map for the Town of Eau Pleine, which involves extensive, Townwide changes to and/or from the Conservancy, A-1 Exclusive Agricultural, Agricultural, Recreational, Low Density Residence, Single Family Residence, Commercial, Highway Commercial and Industrial Zoning Districts; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee held a public hearing on the proposed map amendments in the County Board Room of the County-City Building on October 22, 1987 after due notices were published in the Stevens Point Journal. At said hearing, all those who wished to be heard were heard and pertinent facts constituting the testimony were recorded; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee, after carefully considering the testimony, including the attached fact sheet at the October 22, 1987 meeting, has placed a recommendation with the County Board that the request be approved; and

WHEREAS, the proposed amendments, with the information furnished in the attached report and fact sheet, have been given due consideration by the County Board.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors does ordain as follows: the current zoning map for the Town of Eau Pleine is hereby amended by adoption of the updated zoning map for the Town of Eau Pleine to be dated November 17, 1987, and filed in the office of the Portage County Zoning Administrator. Said map, together with all boundary lines and designations therein, is made part of the Portage County Zoning Ordinance.

Dated this 17th day of November, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE
O. Philip Idsvoog, Chairman
Clifford F. Bembenek

Richard M. Purcell
Ronald J. Check
Leif E. Erickson

Motion by Supervisor Idsvoog, second by Supervisor Erickson for the adoption.

Roll call vote revealed (31) ayes, (2) excused, Supervisors Kiedrowski and Shibilski. Ordinance adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 141

RE: AUTHORIZING COMPENSATION FOR COMMITTEE MEETINGS
IN EXCESS OF THIRTY AND SPECIAL MEETINGS IN 1988

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, Wisconsin State Statutes provide a limit of 30 days in the aggregate for which members of the Portage County Board may be paid for all committee meetings during the year of 1988, and

WHEREAS, past experience has shown that a limit of 30 days is not practical for the reason that it is necessary for many Board Members to meet on committees in excess of the aforesaid limit, and

WHEREAS, Section 59.06 (2) (b), Wisconsin State Statutes, provides that the Board may by a two-thirds vote of its members present, increase the number of days for which compensation and mileage may be paid in any year and fix the compensation for each additional day.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the number of days in 1988 for committee meetings of any member of the Portage County Board of Supervisors be extended to cover such time in excess of 30 days as may be required to complete and carry out the work of committees, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that per diem, mileage and other expenses for committee meetings authorized by this resolution shall be for meetings of committees attended by at least a majority of any committee wherein the secretary thereof makes a written record of said meeting which shall be filed with the County Clerk, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that per diem, mileage and other expenses for those meetings designated as special meetings other than committee meetings must be approved by the supervising committee and the Committee on Committees prior to payment, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the compensation for over thirty and special meetings shall be the same as authorized for other meetings.

Dated this 17th day of November, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES
Robert Steinke, Chairman
O. Philip Idsvoog, 1st Vice-Chairman
Frank Barbers, 2nd Vice-Chairman

Motion by Supervisor Barbers, second by Supervisor Idsvoog for the adoption.

Roll call vote revealed (31) ayes, (2) excused, Supervisors Kiedrowski and Shibilski. Resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 142
RE: ESTABLISHMENT OF SPEED ZONES ON C.T.H. "SS", VILLAGE
OF NELSONVILLE, PORTAGE COUNTY, STATE OF WISCONSIN

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

The Portage County Board, does ordain as follows:

Section 1. A traffic and engineering investigation having been made on the following described highway, the maximum permissible speed at which vehicles may be operated on said highway which speed is herewith established as reasonable and safe pursuant to Sections 346.57 and 349.11, Wisconsin Statutes, Laws of 1985, shall be as set forth herein, subject to the erection of standard signs giving notice thereof.

C. T. H. "SS", Village of Nelsonville

- (a) Twenty-five miles per hour for all vehicles from a point 0.05 of a mile west of its intersection with Pavelsky Road, said point being the west corporate limits of the Village of Nelsonville, southerly to its south intersection with S.T.H. 161, a distance of 0.35 of a mile. (From this point C.T.H. "SS" runs concurrently with S.T.H. 161, a distance of 0.24 of a mile and is zoned in accord with Speed Zone Declaration No. 2975 at 30 MPH);
- (b) Twenty-five miles per hour for all vehicles from its north intersection with S.T.H. 161, to a point 0.57 of a mile easterly of its north intersection with S.T.H. 161, said point being the east corporate limits of the Village of Nelsonville.

Section 2. Any person violating any provision of this ordinance may be required to forfeit not less than \$30 nor more than \$300.

Section 3. Any and all ordinances in conflict with this ordinance are hereby repealed.

Dated this 17th day of November, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
HIGHWAY COMMITTEE
Eugene Zdroik, Chairman
Frank Barbers
Ernest Wanta

Ernest Leppen
Ronald Check

Motion by Supervisor Zdroik, second by Supervisor Wanta for the adoption.
Roll call vote revealed (31) ayes, (2) excused, Supervisors Kiedrowski and Shibilski. Resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 143
RE: COUNTY TRUNK HIGHWAY ALLOTMENT FROM STATE

Motion by Supervisor Zdroik, second by Supervisor Check to withdraw this resolution because the issues are addressed in the budget. Motion carried by voice vote.

RESOLUTION NO. 144
RE: PURCHASE OF HIGHWAY EQUIPMENT

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, for the construction and maintenance of highways, including the removal and control of snow and ice, it becomes necessary from time to time to purchase equipment, the nature of and necessity for which cannot be accurately anticipated.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the County Highway Committee is hereby authorized, pursuant to Section 83.015(2) of the Statutes, to purchase without further authority, and to the extent that revolving funds accumulated for such purpose or appropriations made for such purpose are available, such highway equipment as they deem necessary to properly carry on the work, and to trade or sell such old equipment as may be considered to be for the best interests of the county;

PROVIDED that no one complete unit of equipment of a value exceeding Forty Thousand Dollars (40,000.00) shall be purchased without further authority of the County Board, with the exception of the following listed equipment for which the cost has been entered into the 1988 budget.

Quantity	Type of Machinery	Estimated Net Price
3	Patrol Trucks	\$ 82,048.00
3	Patrol Wings	23,646.00
1	9 Ft Left Hand Wing	8,313.00
3	Dump Boxes	20,547.00
2	Tri-Axles	64,948.00
2	Tri-Axle Boxes	16,786.00
1	One-Ton Passenger Van	14,210.00
1	3/4 Ton Truck	9,190.00
1	Brush Chipper	13,246.00
1	Tractor	15,500.00
1	Tractor Mower	36,500.00
1	Riding Mower	8,500.00
1	Centerliner	26,000.00
2	Patrol Cars	24,000.00
Total Machinery		\$363,434.00

Dated this 17th day of November, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
HIGHWAY COMMITTEE
Eugene Zdroik, Chairman
Ernest Leppen
Ernest Wanta

Ronald Check
Frank Barbers

Motion by Supervisor Zdroik, second by Supervisor Barbers for the adoption. Supervisor Purcell questioned what happens to the used highway equipment. Michael Buss, Highway Commissioner, stated that the County sells all used equipment at a District 4 Highway Auction held in May of every year. Buss stated that nine counties participate in this auction. Buss added that the sale of this used equipment lowers the cost of the new equipment being purchased.

Supervisor Szymkowiak questioned if another County Department could obtain equipment from the highway department used equipment.

Buss stated that municipalities purchase used equipment from the highway department and could see no problem with the Parks purchasing equipment from highway.

Roll call vote revealed (31) ayes, (2) excused, Supervisors Kiedrowski and Shibilski. Resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 145
RE: COUNTY AID BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION UNDER
SECTION 81.38 OF THE STATUTES

Motion by Supervisor Zdroik, second by Supervisor Check to withdraw the resolution because these issues are addressed in the budget. Motion carried by voice vote.

RESOLUTION NO. 146
RE: FINAL RESOLUTION

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, by the County Board of Supervisors of Portage County, Wisconsin, now in Adjourned Session assembled that the Resolutions and motions adopted and carried at this meeting and all appropriations made and claims allowed at this meeting be and they are hereby ratified and confirmed in all respects by the Board.

(s) Supervisor Ernest Wanta

Motion by Supervisor Kirschling, second by Supervisor Cummings for the adoption.

Motion carried by voice vote. Resolution adopted.

Motion by Supervisor Szymkowiak, second by Supervisor Kaczmarek to adjourn the meeting subject to the call of the chair. Motion carried by voice vote.

STATE OF WISCONSIN)
) SS
COUNTY OF PORTAGE)

I, Roger Wrycza, County Clerk of said County do hereby certify that the above is a true and correct record of the Adjourned Session of the Portage County Board of Supervisors of Portage County, Wisconsin.

ROGER WRYCZA
Portage County Clerk

OFFICIAL PROCEEDINGS
of the
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
of
PORTAGE COUNTY, WISCONSIN

December 15, 1987

The meeting was called to order by Chairman Robert J. Steinke.
Roll call was taken by the Clerk as follows.

District	1,	David A. Medin
District	2,	Gale L. Kidder
District	3,	Paul A. Borham
District	4,	Cheryl A. Kaczmarek
District	5,	Frank Barbers, Sr.
District	6,	Richard M. Purcell
District	7,	Leo Mancheski
District	8,	David Eckholm
District	9,	Eugene G. Szymkowiak
District	10,	Gordon M. Hanson
District	11,	Ernest V. Wanta
District	12,	Jeffrey K. Murphy
District	13,	James E. Clark
District	14,	Phillip J. Janowski
District	15,	Clifford F. Bembenek
District	16,	John W. Holdridge
District	17,	Kevin W. Shibilski
District	18,	William H. Peterson
District	19,	Margaret M. Schad
District	20,	Robert A. Winblad
District	21,	O. Philip Idsvoog
District	22,	Robert J. Steinke
District	23,	Anthony B. Kiedrowski
District	24,	Wayne A. Cummings
District	25,	Stuart Clark
District	26,	Carrol Winkler
District	27,	Ernest Leppen
District	28,	Stanley Kirschling
District	29,	Eugene Zdroik
District	30,	Ronald J. Check
District	31,	Leif Erickson
District	32,	Betty Bruski Mallek
District	33,	Clarence Hintz

Roll call taken by Clerk Roger Wrycza revealed (30) present, (3) excused,
Supervisors Check, Barbers, Bembenek.

All present saluted the flag.

Supervisor Winblad delivered the invocation.

Motion by Supervisor Szymkowiak, second by Supervisor Kaczmarek to approve
the minutes of the November County Board meeting. Motion carried by voice
vote.

Correspondence

Letter from the Stevens Point Fire Department thanking the Board for making available the mechanical services of the Portage County Sheriff's Department for ambulance repairs.

Motion by Supervisor Stuart Clark, second by Supervisor Murphy to place the correspondence on file. Motion carried by voice vote.

Note of information to Board members that Supervisor Barbers is hospitalized.

Note of thanks to Supervisor Hintz for the donation of the Christmas tree in the County Clerk's office.

Appointments

Motion by Supervisor Winblad, second by Supervisor Shibilski to approve the reappointment of Helen R. Adams to the Portage County Public Library Board for a three-year term expiring January 1992. Motion carried by voice vote.

Motion by Supervisor Idsvoog, second by Supervisor Zdroik to approve the reappointment of Mary Jane Zdroik to the Portage County Public Library Board for a three-year term expiring January 1992. Motion carried by voice vote.

Motion by Supervisor Kidder, second by Supervisor Purcell to approve the appointment of James L. Schurter to the Portage County Public Library Board for a three-year term expiring January 1992 to replace Susan Rutta. Motion carried by voice vote.

Motion by Supervisor Purcell, second by Supervisor Winblad to approve the appointment of the Portage County Safety Officer to the Traffic Safety Commission as an additional member. Motion carried by voice vote.

Appearances

Motion by Supervisor Murphy, second by Supervisor Szymkowiak to approve the Community Human Services annual report. Motion carried by voice vote.

Discussion

Charles Kell, County Planner, presented an update on the Groundwater Management Plan. Kell stated that a draft of the plan will be available for public inspection and input for the next two to three months before a public hearing will be held and then to the County Board in April or May. Kell stated that if the County is serious about the groundwater it will have to take some progressive steps which might make some people unhappy such as real estate agents, builders, the agriculture community and the Town of Hull where development might be restricted. Kell added that there is also support from the Izaak Walton League, homeowners with water problems and other municipalities. Kell stated that refinements are needed and compromises can be made and that there will be some costs on the County level as pertains to additional staff.

Supervisor James Clark questioned if any additional staff has been added to the present time.

Kell stated that the work has been done by his department and other county departments but the plan is at the stage where a coordinator is going to be needed and the Board may see a request for this position in the near future. Kell stated that the full implementation calls for four and one-half positions.

Supervisor Hanson questioned how much help the County has received from the UWSP.

Kell stated that the UWSP provides an educational and informational role but cannot commit only to Portage County since it serves as a regional office.

Charles Kell, County Planner, presented an update on the County Jail Project. Kell stated that there are a number of alternatives that the Jail Building Committee has looked at and costs and plans will be presented at a public hearing and then to the County Board. Kell stated that the most recent project being supported by the Committee is a two-story building west of the Courthouse and purchase the City first floor office space for a total cost of approximately \$6,064,000. Kell stated that a similar plan with three floors would cost approximately \$6,177,000 and an undetermined cost of the unfinished space. Kell stated that the Committee rejected the southside sites because they would require additional staffing on the two floors with a total cost of approximately \$6.1 million. Kell added that the Committee also studied and rejected the concept of filling in the H of the Courthouse because of a number of reasons which included loss of entrance to the City Police Department through the ramp area, the ramps would have been too steep, and there was no expansion potential for a total cost of approximately \$6,105,000 and an additional cost of approximately \$200,000 for a maintenance garage. Kell stated that the Board needs to keep an open mind and consider all of the proposals and costs that will be presented at the public hearing and Board meeting. Kell stated that the Jail Building Committee is scheduled to meet on December 29 with the public hearing set for January 13. Kell added that the Board may need a special Board meeting if the City Council acts to sell the County the city office space.

Supervisor Idsvoog reported to the County Board that if the County builds a jail facility for approximately \$6 million it would cost the taxpayer an additional \$1 million per year for the next twenty years to cover staffing, maintenance costs and payment on principal and interest.

Supervisor Murphy stated that he had concerns that Architect D'Jock kept coming up with the same figure for each different site and proposal and thought that maybe the County should seek a second opinion.

Supervisor Cummings stated that he also had concerns that the same figure is tied with every plan. He added that he felt the site was irrelevant as compared to the money.

Supervisor Hanson questioned if the County considered the electronic huber system.

Sheriff Wanta stated that the County did look at it but that Wood County is having quite a few bugs with their electronic system. Wanta stated that Portage County would still need a jail because there are only so many people that would qualify for that type of system.

Supervisor Holdridge asked that the Board be provided with at least a five year cost analysis on the budget impact with the costs of the jail project.

Supervisor Janowski expressed a concern that the County hired a firm from outside the area.

Kell stated that no local architects applied for the position and that no local architects have experience with jail projects.

Supervisor Purcell questioned if the City moved their offices if the City Police would remain in the courthouse.

Kell stated that the City Police would remain where they are in the Courthouse.

Supervisor Eckholm questioned if the jail could be scaled down to cut costs.

Kell stated that the Jail Study Committee came up with the needs of the jail and they are very comparable to the study that was conducted back in the early 1970's. Kell stated that the Jail Study Committee worked with the State on jail building requirements and the needs of Portage County for the next twenty years.

Supervisor Janowski questioned if the jail could be a referendum question for the people to vote on.

Chairman Steinke stated that there will be a public hearing on the issue. Supervisor Kiedrowski stated that he felt that with all the new options available, the new jail could be more efficient and not require additional staff.

Roger Wrycza, County Clerk, stated that the number of staff is mandated in relation to your jail population and with a greater population you need more staff.

Unlimited Topics

Supervisor James Clark stated that the Space and Properties Committee voted to offer the City \$350,000 for the City property but does not include that which is within the walls of the City Police Department. Clark stated that there was a quote in the paper that the amount was not "set in granite" and it probably wasn't, the Committee was thinking of a lower amount.

Supervisor Cummings stated that he felt that if the amount was not set in stone then the Space & Properties Committee wasted their time and taxpayers money. Cummings stated that if the Committee was going to accept anything more or less than that amount than they should have been told that at the time of the meeting.

Roger Wrycza, County Clerk, stated that he made the comment to the journal that "everything is negotiable" and possibly it was taken out of context.

Supervisor Holdridge questioned what would happen if the City would not accept the price of \$350,000.

Cummings stated that he asked the Mayor the same question and the Mayor's response was that maybe the City would not move or maybe they would move or rent out their space.

ORDINANCE NO. 147

RE: ZONING ORDINANCE MAP AMENDMENT,
WALKER PROPERTY

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, Harry and Charlotte Walker request to amend the Portage County Zoning Ordinance so part of Section 24, T21N, R9E, Town of Almond, an area of 39.74 acres is changed from Recreational District to Agricultural District; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee held a public hearing on the proposed amendment in the County Conference Room of the County-City Building on November 18, 1987 after due notices were published in the Stevens Point Journal. At said hearing all those who wished to be heard were heard and pertinent facts constituting the testimony were recorded; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee, after carefully considering the testimony, including the attached fact sheet at the November 18, 1987 meeting, has placed a recommendation with the County Board that the request be approved; and

WHEREAS, the proposed amendment, with the information furnished in the attached report and fact sheet, has been given due consideration by the County Board.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors does ordain as follows: the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of the SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 24, T21N, R9E, an area of 39.74 acres is hereby changed from Recreational District to Agricultural District.

Dated this 15th day of December, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE
O. Philip Idsvoog, Chairperson
Leif E. Erickson
Ronald J. Check

Clifford F. Bembenek
Richard M. Purcell

Motion by Supervisor Idsvoog, second by Supervisor Purcell for the adoption.

Roll call vote revealed (30) ayes, (3) excused, Supervisors Barbers, Bembenek, Check. Ordinance adopted.

ORDINANCE NO. 148
RE: ZONING ORDINANCE MAP AMENDMENT,
WYSOCKI FARMS, INC. PROPERTY

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, Wysocki Farms, Inc. requests to amend the Portage County Zoning Ordinance so part of Section 7, T24N, R9E, Town of Sharon, an area of 0.5 acres be changed out of the Shoreland/Wetland District; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee held a public hearing on the proposed amendment in the County Conference Room of the County-City Building on November 18, 1987 after due notices were published in the Stevens Point Journal. At said hearing all those who wished to be heard were heard and pertinent facts constituting the testimony were recorded; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee, after carefully considering the testimony, including the attached fact sheet at the November 18, December 5 and 9, 1987 meetings, has placed a recommendation with the County Board that the request be approved; and

WHEREAS, the proposed amendment, with the information furnished in the attached report and fact sheet, has been given due consideration by the County Board.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors does ordain as follows: a part of Government Lot 6 of Section 7, T24N, R9E, Town of Sharon, an area of approximately 0.1 acres is hereby changed out of the Shoreland/Wetland District.

Dated this 15th day of December, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE
O. Philip Idsvoog, Chairperson
Leif E. Erickson
Ronald J. Check

Clifford F. Bembenek
Richard M. Purcell

Motion by Supervisor Idsvoog, second by Supervisor Erickson for the adoption.

Roll call vote revealed (30) ayes, (3) excused, Supervisors Barbers, Bembenek, Check. Ordinance adopted.

ORDINANCE NO. 149
RE: ZONING ORDINANCE MAP AMENDMENT,
KOCH PIPELINES, INC.

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, Koch Pipelines, Inc. requests to amend the Portage County Zoning Ordinance so part of Sections 22, 26, 35, and 36 of T24N, R7E; Section 1 of T23N, R7E, and Section 17 of T23N, R8E, located in the Towns of Carson, Linwood, and Plover, an area of approximately 0.3 acres be changed out of Shoreland/Wetland District; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee held a public hearing on the proposed amendment in the County Conference Room of the County-City Building on November 18, 1987 after due notices were published in the Stevens Point Journal. At said hearing all those who wished to be heard were heard and pertinent facts constituting the testimony were recorded; and

WHEREAS, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee, after carefully considering the testimony, including the attached fact sheet at the November 18, 1987 meeting, has placed a recommendation with the County Board that the request be approved; and

WHEREAS, the proposed amendment, with the information furnished in the attached report and fact sheet, has been given due consideration by the County Board.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors does ordain as follows: Parts of SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 22, T24N, R7E; NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$; NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$; SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$; SE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 26, T24N, R7E; NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 35, T24N, R7E; NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$; NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$; NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$; SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$; SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 36, T24N, R7E; NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 1, T23N, R7E; and the NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$; SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 17, T23N, R8E, Towns of Carson, Linwood, and Plover; an area of approximately 0.3 acres is hereby changed out of the Shoreland/Wetland District.

Dated this 15th day of December, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
PLANNING AND ZONING COMMITTEE
O. Philip Idsvoog, Chairperson
Leif E. Erickson
Ronald J. Check

Clifford F. Bembenek
Richard M. Purcell

Motion by Supervisor Idsvoog, second by Supervisor Murphy for the adoption.
Roll call vote revealed (30) ayes (3) excused, Supervisors Barbers, Bembenek, Check. Ordinance adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 150
RE: 1988 SALARY ADMINISTRATION PLAN
NON-UNION EMPLOYEES

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, the Portage County Personnel Committee has been delegated the responsibility of determining salaries and submitting its recommendation to the Portage County Board of Supervisors; and

WHEREAS, the Personnel Committee has reviewed the 1987 Salary Administration Plan for non-union employees and has determined that adjustments are necessary; and

WHEREAS, the Personnel Committee's proposal has a fiscal impact which falls within the Finance Committee's 1988 budget constraints of 3%;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Portage County Board of Supervisors hereby approves the attached 1988 Salary Administration Plan for Non-Union Employees of Portage County.

Dated this 15th day of December, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,
PERSONNEL COMMITTEE
Gordon Hanson, Chairman
Gale Kidder
Margaret Schad

Clarence Hintz
David Medin

OUTLINE OF PLAN MANAGEMENT PRINCIPLES

1. Departments have the authority to hire within Step A; however, employees may be hired up to Step D1, with Personnel Committee approval, and the Department must prove that the employee has six years of identical experience.
2. After one year, the employee will move to B1 (if "Meets Performance Standards"), B2 (if "Exceeds Performance Standards" more than half of the time); or B3 (if "Exceeds Performance Standards" all of the time).
3. Each year, the employee, while remaining in his/her letter step, will receive salary increases due to the upward movement of the schedule itself. The schedule will be adjusted based on consideration of: (a) Consumer Price Index; (b) County's ability to pay; (c) anticipated union settlements; and (d) Central Wisconsin comparables. The total cost of the plan each year will not exceed the amount mandated by the Finance Committee.
4. The employee's numerical level (i.e., 1, 2 or 3) may change based on performance.
5. On the January 1 following the second anniversary, the employee goes to Step C1 (if "meeting standards most of the time"), C2 (if "exceeding standards on two of three reviews"), or C3 (if "exceeding standards on all three reviews").
6. On the January 1 following six years of employment, the employee goes to Step D1 (if "exceeding standards on less than three of the five most recent reviews"), Step D2 (if "exceeding standards on three or four of the last five reviews"), or Step D3 (if "exceeding standards on all of the last five reviews").
7. All future increases are based on the increases in the schedule and the five most recent reviews as outlined in number 6 above.

GRADE	HIRE	ONE YEAR			JAN. 1 AFTER TWO YEARS			JAN. 1 AFTER SIX YEARS			JAN. 1 AFTER TEN YEARS			JAN. 1 AFTER FIFTEEN YEARS			JAN. 1 AFTER TWENTY YEARS		
		A	B		C			D			E			F			G		
		1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
36	37,849 - 39,841	40,638	41,036	41,434	42,231	42,630	43,028	43,825	44,223	44,622	44,263	44,665	45,068	44,701	45,108	45,514	45,140	45,550	45,960
35	36,393 - 38,308	39,075	39,458	39,841	40,607	40,990	41,373	42,139	42,522	42,905	42,561	42,948	43,335	42,982	43,373	43,764	43,403	43,798	44,193
34	34,993 - 36,835	37,572	37,940	38,308	39,045	39,413	39,782	40,519	40,887	41,255	40,924	41,296	41,668	41,329	41,705	42,080	41,734	42,113	42,493
33	33,647 - 35,418	36,127	36,481	36,835	37,543	37,898	38,252	38,960	39,314	39,669	39,350	39,707	40,065	39,739	40,101	40,462	40,129	40,494	40,859
32	32,353 - 34,056	34,737	35,078	35,418	36,099	36,440	36,781	37,462	37,802	38,143	37,836	38,180	38,524	38,211	38,558	38,906	38,586	38,936	39,287
31	31,109 - 32,746	33,401	33,729	34,056	34,711	35,038	35,366	36,021	36,348	36,676	36,381	36,712	37,043	36,741	37,075	37,409	37,101	37,439	37,776
30	29,912 - 31,487	32,116	32,431	32,746	33,376	33,691	34,006	34,635	34,950	35,265	34,982	35,300	35,618	35,328	35,649	35,970	35,674	35,999	36,323
29	28,762 - 30,276	30,881	31,184	31,487	32,092	32,395	32,698	33,303	33,606	33,909	33,636	33,942	34,248	33,969	34,278	34,587	34,302	34,614	34,926
28	27,656 - 29,111	29,693	29,985	30,276	30,858	31,149	31,440	32,022	32,314	32,605	32,343	32,637	32,931	32,663	32,960	33,257	32,983	33,283	33,583
27	26,592 - 27,992	28,551	28,831	29,111	29,671	29,951	30,231	30,791	31,071	31,351	31,099	31,381	31,664	31,407	31,692	31,978	31,714	32,003	32,291
26	25,569 - 26,915	27,453	27,722	27,992	28,530	28,799	29,068	29,607	29,876	30,145	29,903	30,174	30,446	30,199	30,473	30,748	30,495	30,772	31,049
25	24,964 - 26,278	26,803	27,066	27,329	27,854	28,117	28,380	28,905	29,168	29,431	29,194	29,460	29,725	29,483	29,751	30,019	29,772	30,043	30,314
24	24,237 - 25,512	26,022	26,278	26,533	27,043	27,298	27,553	28,063	28,318	28,574	28,344	28,602	28,859	28,625	28,885	29,145	28,905	29,168	29,431
23	23,531 - 24,769	25,264	25,512	25,760	26,255	26,503	26,751	27,246	27,494	27,741	27,518	27,769	28,019	27,791	28,044	28,296	28,063	28,318	28,574
22	22,845 - 24,048	24,529	24,769	25,010	25,491	25,731	25,971	26,452	26,693	26,933	26,717	26,960	27,203	26,981	27,227	27,472	27,246	27,494	27,741
21	22,180 - 23,347	23,814	24,048	24,281	24,748	24,982	25,215	25,682	25,915	26,149	25,939	26,175	26,410	26,196	26,434	26,672	26,452	26,693	26,933
20	21,534 - 22,667	23,121	23,347	23,574	24,027	24,254	24,481	24,934	25,161	25,387	25,183	25,412	25,641	25,433	25,664	25,895	25,682	25,915	26,149
19	20,907 - 22,007	22,447	22,667	22,887	23,327	23,547	23,768	24,208	24,428	24,648	24,450	24,672	24,894	24,692	24,916	25,141	24,934	25,161	25,387
18	20,208 - 21,271	21,696	21,909	22,122	22,547	22,760	22,973	23,398	23,611	23,824	23,632	23,847	24,062	23,866	24,083	24,300	24,100	24,319	24,538
17	19,430 - 20,453	20,862	21,067	21,271	21,680	21,885	22,089	22,498	22,703	22,907	22,723	22,930	23,136	22,948	23,157	23,365	23,173	23,384	23,595
16	18,683 - 19,666	20,060	20,256	20,453	20,846	21,043	21,240	21,633	21,830	22,026	21,849	22,048	22,247	22,066	22,266	22,467	22,282	22,484	22,687
15	17,964 - 18,910	19,288	19,477	19,666	20,045	20,234	20,423	20,801	20,990	21,179	21,009	21,200	21,391	21,217	21,410	21,603	21,425	21,620	21,814
14	17,273 - 18,185	18,546	18,728	18,910	19,274	19,455	19,637	20,001	20,183	20,365	20,201	20,385	20,568	20,401	20,586	20,772	20,601	20,788	20,975
13	16,609 - 17,483	17,833	18,008	18,183	18,532	18,707	18,882	19,232	19,406	19,581	19,424	19,600	19,777	19,616	19,795	19,973	19,809	19,989	20,169
12	15,970 - 16,811	17,147	17,315	17,483	17,819	17,988	18,156	18,492	18,660	18,828	18,677	18,847	19,016	18,862	19,033	19,205	19,047	19,220	19,393
11	15,356 - 16,164	16,488	16,649	16,811	17,134	17,296	17,457	17,781	17,942	18,104	17,959	18,122	18,285	18,136	18,301	18,466	18,314	18,481	18,647
10	14,765 - 15,543	15,853	16,009	16,164	16,475	16,631	16,786	17,097	17,252	17,408	17,268	17,425	17,582	17,439	17,597	17,756	17,610	17,770	17,930
9	14,198 - 14,945	15,244	15,393	15,543	15,841	15,991	16,140	16,439	16,589	16,738	16,604	16,755	16,906	16,768	16,920	17,073	16,932	17,086	17,240
8	13,651 - 14,370	14,657	14,801	14,945	15,232	15,376	15,520	15,807	15,951	16,094	15,965	16,110	16,255	16,123	16,270	16,416	16,281	16,429	16,577
7	13,126 - 13,817	14,094	14,232	14,370	14,646	14,784	14,923	15,199	15,337	15,475	15,351	15,491	15,630	15,503	15,644	15,785	15,655	15,797	15,940
6	12,622 - 13,286	13,552	13,684	13,817	14,083	14,216	14,349	14,614	14,747	14,880	14,761	14,895	15,029	14,907	15,042	15,178	15,053	15,190	15,327
5	12,136 - 12,775	13,030	13,158	13,286	13,541	13,669	13,797	14,052	14,180	14,308	14,193	14,322	14,451	14,333	14,464	14,594	14,474	14,605	14,737
4	11,669 - 12,284	12,529	12,652	12,775	13,021	13,143	13,266	13,512	13,635	13,758	13,647	13,771	13,895	13,782	13,907	14,033	13,917	14,044	14,170
3	11,221 - 11,811	12,047	12,165	12,284	12,520	12,638	12,756	12,992	13,110	13,228	13,122	13,241	13,361	13,252	13,372	13,493	13,382	13,504	13,625
2	10,789 - 11,357	11,584	11,698	11,811	12,038	12,152	12,265	12,492	12,606	12,720	12,617	12,732	12,847	12,742	12,858	12,974	12,867	12,984	13,101
1	10,374 - 10,920	11,138	11,248	11,357	11,575	11,684	11,794	12,012	12,121	12,230	12,132	12,242	12,353	12,252	12,364	12,475	12,372	12,485	12,597

8. On the January 1 following the tenth, fifteenth, and twentieth anniversaries, the employee will move to the "longevity" steps (E, F, and G) which are one, two and three percent higher than the rates in Step D.
9. Employees who are promoted or reclassified shall be assigned a step in the new grade by the Personnel Committee with the increase to be effective on the first pay period after action. The Committee may also establish a new date of hire for purposes of determining the appropriate step in the grade.
10. Placement within the grade is determined by time within the current classification and/or grade rather than time employed by the County. Exceptions to the principle can only be implemented by Personnel Committee action.
11. Performance evaluations should be completed by July 1 of each year in order to facilitate costing for the next year and to eliminate manipulation of evaluations simply to enjoy the best possible increase.
 - a. Because the schedule recognized work performance to such a great extent, performance evaluations are to accurately display the employee's performance and are not to be just a goodwill gesture.
 - b. It is the responsibility of the Personnel Manager to audit evaluations and those that appear inappropriate are subject to review and revision by the Personnel Committee.
12. The plan will include costs of progressing from Step A through Step G as well as savings enjoyed by replacing employees who are situated at Steps B through G with an individual at Step A. Savings created, as identified by the Personnel Manager, are not to be used by departments for other purposes but will be utilized to support the schedule. Also, as Administrator of the plan, the Personnel Manager shall not be a part of it.

Motion by Supervisor Hanson, second by Supervisor Schad for the adoption. Supervisor Eckholm questioned the annual increase that the schedule represents.

Paul Jadin, Personnel Director, stated that the overall impact is approximately 3% but there is a range between 2% and 4%

Roll call vote revealed (30) ayes, (3) excused, Supervisors Barbers, Bembenek, Check. Resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 151
RE: ABOLISHING THE COUNTY WARDEN PROGRAM

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

WHEREAS, in 1954 the County Warden Program was created under Wisconsin State Statutes, and

WHEREAS, budget constraints the past several years has resulted in the program being drastically reduced, and

WHEREAS, the program has been scheduled to be phased out at the end of 1988, and

WHEREAS, personnel clashes between the state and county wardens as well as a continued lack of cooperation from the state warden makes this program no longer efficient for continued operation.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Portage County Board of Supervisors that the County Warden Program is hereby abolished effective 1-1-88.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that any Sheriff's Department overtime that can be directly attributed to functions normally handled by the county wardens can be paid out of the 1988 county warden budget.

Dated this 15th day of December, 1987.

Respectfully submitted,

LAW ENFORCEMENT/EMERGENCY GOVERNMENT COMMITTEE

Frank Barbers, Chairman

Clarence Hintz

Clifford Bembenek

Jeffrey Murphy

Carrol Winkler

Motion by Supervisor Hintz, second by Supervisor Winkler for the adoption.

Supervisor Peterson stated that he felt that since the program was set to be phased out in one year possibly the Board should not adopt this resolution and stick with the original phase out plan. Peterson stated that Portage County is the only County with just one State Warden and that he really needs the help of the County wardens to protect our resources. Peterson suggested that the County put some heavy pressure on Madison to get another State Warden before phasing out the County program.

Supervisor Holdridge stated that the resolution suggests personnel problems between the County and State wardens.

Supervisor Murphy stated that approximately one year ago there were five county wardens and that two have resigned because of personnel conflicts where the State Warden threatened to pull their state credentials for enforcement. Murphy stated that the County had enacted its own ordinances and when County wardens had issued many citations that could have been for the County ordinance the State Warden told the County wardens to issue State citations instead. Murphy stated that the Committee did meet with the State warden regarding the matter but with no results and therefore is recommending the abolishment of the county program. Murphy stated that the Law Enforcement Committee is asking that the money from the warden budget be put in the Sheriff budget to cover overtime to answer some of the complaints that would have been handled by the county wardens.

Supervisor Purcell stated that he felt the County resources should be considered first and set aside the bickering between the departments.

Supervisor Kidder stated that the Parks Departments relies on the county wardens to patrol the county lakes and that without them they will be patrolled less frequently.

Supervisor Zdroik stated that he felt the County should let the State worry about the resources and get another State warden in the County.

Roll call vote revealed (22) ayes, (8) naves, Supervisors Cummings, Wanta, Peterson, Mancheski, Kidder, Purcell, Shibilski, Kaczmarek, (3) excused, Barbers, Bembenek, Check. Resolution adopted.

RESOLUTION NO. 152
RE: FINAL RESOLUTION

TO THE HONORABLE CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE PORTAGE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS:

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, by the County Board of Supervisors of Portage County, Wisconsin, now in Adjourned Session assembled that the Resolutions and motions adopted and carried at this meeting and all appropriations made and claims allowed at this meeting be and they are hereby ratified and confirmed in all respects by the Board.

(s) Robert Winblad, Supervisor

Motion by Supervisor Kirschling, second by Supervisor Shibilski for the adoption.

Motion carried by voice vote. Resolution adopted.

Motion by Supervisor Szymkowiak, second by Supervisor Kaczmarek to adjourn the meeting subject to the call of the chair. Motion carried by voice vote.

STATE OF WISCONSIN)

COUNTY OF PORTAGE)

I, Roger Wrycza, County Clerk of said County do hereby certify that the above is a true and correct record of the Adjourned Session of the Portage County Board of Supervisors of Portage County, Wisconsin.

ROGER WRYCZA
Portage County Clerk

INDEX

SESSIONS OF THE BOARD

<u>Date</u>	<u>Page</u>
January 20, 1987	1-9
March 17, 1987	10-29
April 21, 1987	30-42
May 19, 1987	43-49
June 16, 1987	50-57
July 21, 1987	58-83
August 18, 1987	84-100
September 22, 1987	101-123
October 20, 1987	124-137
November 17, 1987	138-149
December 15, 1987	150-159

RESOLUTIONS

<u>No.</u>		<u>Page</u>
69	ZONING ORDINANCE MAP AMENDMENT, LAZARE PROPERTY	2
70	TEXT AMENDMENTS TO THE PORTAGE COUNTY ZONING AND SUBDIVISION ORDINANCES	3
71	DESIGNATING THE SECRETARY OF TRANSPORTATION AS ITS AGENT FOR AIP 3-55-0052-07, CWA	4
72	WAIVING THE PROVISIONS OF ORDINANCE NUMBER 146 DATED JUNE 18, 1985 AND PERMITTING THE FORMER OWNER, WILLIAM S. TRZEBIATOWSKI, TO RE-PURCHASE CERTAIN TAX-DEEDED LANDS	6
73	CONTINGENCY FUND TRANSFER FOR CONSOLIDATED PAPERS, INC. OVER-PAYMENT OF COUNTY TAXES FOR 1983 & 1984	6
74	SUPPORTING THE CONTINUATION OF THE COMMUNITY OPTIONS PROGRAM	7
75	DOG CLAIMS	8
76	FINAL RESOLUTION	9
77	ZONING ORDINANCE MAP AMENDMENT ADAMSKI PROPERTY	12
78	ZONING ORDINANCE MAP AMENDMENT SIMKOWSKI PROPERTY	13
79	ZONING ORDINANCE MAP AMENDMENT BIADASZ PROPERTY	14
80	TEXT AMENDMENTS TO THE PORTAGE COUNTY FLOODPLAIN AND SHORELAND ZONING ORDINANCES	14
81	PETITIONING FOR AIRPORT IMPROVEMENT AND DESIGNATING THE SECRETARY OF TRANSPORTATION AS ITS AGENT FOR CENTRAL WISCONSIN AIRPORT	18
82	FUNDING AUTHORIZATION OF CAPITAL PROJECTS	21
83	FUNDING LAW ENFORCEMENT COMMUNICATION PROJECT	22
84	RESOLUTION FOR SNOWMOBILE TRAIL AIDS 1987-88 SEASON	23
85	HIGHWAY SALT SHED PROGRAM	24
86	THEFT OF LIBRARY MATERIAL ORDINANCE	25
87	ENDORSEMENT OF CONCEPT OF CORPORATE RESPONSIBILITY FOR CHEMICAL CONTAMINATION CLEAN UP BY THOSE BUSINESSES MARKETING PRODUCTS CREATING ENVIRONMENTAL HAZARDS	26
88	SUPPORTING LEGISLATIVE CHANGES IN THE NURSING HOME REIMBURSEMENT FORMULA	27
89	REFUND OF EXCESS DOG LICENSE FUNDS	28
90	DOG CLAIMS	29
91	FINAL RESOLUTION	29
92	ZONING ORDINANCE MAP AMENDMENT ZDROIK PROPERTY	33
93	ZONING ORDINANCE MAP AMENDMENT TOWN OF NEW HOPE	33

94	NEW STAFF REQUESTS FOR 1987 - GENERAL ASSISTANCE CASEWORKER AND THREE (3) PERMANENT PART-TIME COOK/MATRONS	34
95	CONTINGENCY FUND TRANSFER OF \$4,000 FOR PRISONER MEDICAL EXPENSES	36
96	DIVIDED INSURANCE PROGRAM FOR WORKERS COMPENSATION	37
85	RECALL - HIGHWAY SALT SHED PROGRAM	38
97	ADMINISTRATIVE COORDINATOR DUTIES	39
98	CANCELLATION OF UNCASHED CHECKS ISSUED PRIOR TO JANUARY 1, 1986	41
99	DOG CLAIMS	42
100	FINAL RESOLUTION	42
101	ZONING ORDINANCE MAP AMENDMENT, GLODOWSKI PROPERTY	46
102	CONTINGENCY FUND TRANSFER FOR ADDITIONAL JAIL STUDY COSTS	46
103	SUPPORTING THE ENACTMENT OF SB-207 DEALING WITH INCREASING THE ISSUANCE FEE FOR DNR LICENSES	47
104	SUPPORTING LEGISLATION TO PERMIT COUNTIES TO RETAIN ALL PROCEEDS FROM THE SALE OF TAX DEEDED PROPERTY	48
105	FINAL RESOLUTION	49
106	ADOPTION OF COUNTY ZONING MAP FOR TOWN OF PLOVER	52
107	ZONING ORDINANCE MAP AMENDMENT, LINDQUIST PROPERTY	53
108	ZONING ORDINANCE MAP AMENDEMENT, LASKA PROPERTY	53
109	ACQUISITION OF PROPERTY LOCATED AT 1016 ARLINGTON PLACE	55
110	UPDATING AND REVISION OF THE COUNTY PARK ORDINANCE	56
111	FINAL RESOLUTION	57
112	RATIFYING ACTION OF THE SECRETARY OF TRANSPORTATION WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION IN ACCEPTING GRANT OFFER AND EXECUTING GRANT AGREEMENT, CENTRAL WISCONSIN AIRPORT, MOSINEE, WISCONSIN AIP 3-55-0052-07	73
113	AFFIRMATIVE ACTION	73
114	AUTHORIZATION TO HOST THE 1990 WINTER HIGHWAY CONFERENCE	81
115	FINAL RESOLUTION	82
116	ZONING ORDINANCE MAP AMENDMENT, COOK AND GAGAS PROPERTIES	87
117	PORTAGE COUNTY ACCEPTANCE OF THE NORTH CENTRAL WISCONSIN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT'S 1987 ANNUAL OVERALLL ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM (OEDP) REPORT	87
118	WASTE-TO-ENERGY FEASIBILITY STUDY GRANT PROGRAM	89
119	ABANDONED PROPERTY ORDINANCE	89
120	CODE OF ETHICS FOR PUBLIC OFFICIALS	90
121	DOG CLAIMS	99
122	FINAL RESOLUTION	100
123	APPROVE THE SIZE, COST AND PROJECT TIMETABLE OF THE NEW COUNTY JAIL	103
124	ZONING ORDINANCE MAP AMENDMENT, BORNTRAGER PROPERTY	112
125	NEW STAFF REQUEST FOR 1987-88 CONSERVATION TECHNICIAN	112
126	CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS FOR 1988	115
127	AMBULANCE SERVICE CONTRACT	116
128	BILLING RATES FOR AMBULANCE SERVICE	122
129	FINAL RESOLUTION	123
130	ZONING ORDINANCE MAP AMENDMENT, GUSSEL PROPERTY	126
131	APPROVAL OF APPLICATIONS FOR FARMLAND PRESERVATION AGREEMENTS	127
132	AMENDMENTS TO THE PORTAGE COUNTY FARMLAND PRESERVATION MAP	127
133	\$2,500 CONTINGENCY FUND TRANSFER FOR ADDITIONAL JAIL STUDY COSTS	128
134	NEW STAFF REQUESTS FOR 1988	129
135	DOG CLAIMS	136
136	FINAL RESOLUTION	137
137	ADOPTION OF THE 1988 BUDGET AND AUTHORIZATION FOR PROPERTY TAX LEVY APPORTIONMENT	140
138	ZONING ORDINANCE MAP AMENDMENT, KAWLESKI PROPERTY	143
139	ZONING ORDINANCE MAP AMENDMENT, CEPLINA PROPERTY	144
140	ZONING ORDINANCE MAP AMENDMENTS, TOWN OF EAU PLEINE	145

141	AUTHORIZING COMPENSATION FOR COMMITTEE MEETINGS IN EXCESS OF THIRTY AND SPECIAL MEETINGS IN 1988	145
142	ESTABLISHMENT OF SPEED ZONES ON C.T.H. "SS", VILLAGE OF NELSONVILLE, PORTAGE COUNTY, STATE OF WISCONSIN	146
143	COUNTY TRUNK HIGHWAY ALLOTMENT FROM STATE	147
144	PURCHASE OF HIGHWAY EQUIPMENT	147
145	COUNTY AID BRIDGE CONSTRUCTION UNDER SECTION 81.38 OF THE STATUTES	148
146	FINAL RESOLUTION	149
147	ZONING ORDINANCE MAP AMENDMENT, WALKER PROPERTY	153
148	ZONING ORDINANCE MAP AMENDMENT, WYSOCKI FARMS, INC. PROPERTY	154
149	ZONING ORDINANCE MAP AMENDMENT, KOCH PIPELINES, INC.	155
150	1988 SALARY ADMINISTRATION PLAN NON-UNION EMPLOYEES	156
151	ABOLISHING THE COUNTY WARDEN PROGRAM	157
152	FINAL RESOLUTION	158