

Portage County

Comprehensive Plan 2025



Prepared by:

Portage County Comprehensive Plan
Rural Steering Committee and
Portage County Planning and Zoning
Department Staff

Recommended by Portage County
Planning and Zoning Committee:
April 27, 2006

Adopted by Portage County Board:
May 16, 2006

Portage County

Comprehensive Plan 2025

Adopted by the Planning and Zoning Committee
April 27, 2006

Adopted by the Portage County Board of Supervisors
May 16, 2006

Acknowledgements:

Rural Steering Committee

John Jazdzewski - Chair	Jill Kollock - Member	Richard Worzalla - Alternate
Mike Peplinski – Vice Chair	Dale O'Brein - Member	Marlene Furo - Alternate
Harvey Olson - Member	Jerry Piesik - Member	Nathan Wolosek - Alternate
Sheri Zei - Member	Michael Juris - Member	Patricia Wollering - Alternate
Richard Simmons - Member	Ken Konkol - Member	Daniel Zaborowski - Alternate
Paul Cieslewicz - Member	Peter Mallek - Member	Jean Meddaugh - Alternate
Ralph Albert - Member	Dennis Bartig - Member	Joan Scheider - Alternate
Ben Bottensek - Member	Nancy Seaman - Alternate	Cindy Davis - Alternate
Dave Pederson - Member	Bert Glodowski - Alternate	Jim Stephani - Alternate
Town of Lanark - Member	Patricia Grant - Alternate	Mark Pionkowski - Alternate
Todd Knepfel - Member	Gary Isherwood - Alternate	Gale Gordon - Alternate

Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee

Leif Erickson - Chair
Harvey Olson - Vice Chair
Ronald Borski
Robert Brilowski
James Gifford

Portage County Board of Supervisors

O. Philip Idsvoog – Chair	Brian Kurzynski	Harvey Olsen
Norman A. Myers	Eric Krszjzaniek	Jerry Piesik
Jesse J. Higgins	William H. Peterson	James Zdroik
Perry Pazdernik	Donald Jankowski	Jeanne Dodge
Mike Wiza	James Gifford	Jerome J. Borski
Marion (Bud) Flood	Douglas Warner	Robert Brilowski
Richard M. Purcell	Dale O'Brien	James F. Krems
James E. Clark	Charles Gussel	Stan Potocki
Tony Patton	John O. Rendall	Richard Barden
David Medin	Lonnie Krogwold	

Portage County Planning and Zoning Department

Stephen D. Brazzale, Interim Director
Jeff Schuler, Senior Planner
Sarah Wallace, Associate Planner
Jeff Hartman, GIS LIS Manager
Rod Sutter, GIS Technician

And special thanks to the rest of the Planning and Zoning Department Staff

Also involved

Charles Kell, former Director
Michael Hansen, former Senior Planner
Tim Semmann, former Associate Planner

Developed by the Rural Steering Committee, with the assistance of
Portage County Planning and Zoning Department.

**This project was made possible through Comprehensive Planning Grant Funding
obtained through the State of Wisconsin Department of Administration.**

Table of Contents

Introduction	1
Chapter 1 - Issues and Opportunities	4
Section 1.1 - Description and History of Planning Area	4
Section 1.2 - Past Planning in Portage County	10
Section 1.3 - Current Comprehensive Planning Process	10
Section 1.4 - Demographic Trends	12
Section 1.5 - Forecasts	25
Section 1.6 - Vision Statement.....	26
Section 1.7 - Community Goals and Policies	26
Chapter 2 - Housing Element	28
Section 2.1 - Introduction	28
Section 2.2 - Housing Inventory and Analysis	28
Section 2.3 - Housing Programs	33
Section 2.4 - Portage County Vision Statement and Guiding Principals for Housing	34
Section 2.5 - County-Wide Housing Issues and Conclusions.....	35
Section 2.6 - Guiding Principal and Preliminary Goals for Housing	35
Chapter 3 - Transportation Element	37
Section 3.1 - Transportation Inventory	37
Section 3.2 - Inventory and Analysis of Applicable Transportation Plans and Programs.....	43
Section 3.3 - Portage County Vision Statement and Guiding Principles for Transportation ..	45
Section 3.4 - County-Wide Transportation Issues and Conclusions.....	46
Section 3.5 - Guiding Principle and Preliminary Goals for Transportation	47
Chapter 4 - Utilities and Community Facilities Element	48
Section 4.1 - Public Utilities Inventory.....	48
Section 4.2 - Community Facilities Inventory	55
Section 4.3 - Rural Vision Statement for Utilities/Community Facilities	71
Section 4.4 - County-Wide Utilities and Community Facilities Issues and Conclusions.....	71
Section 4.5 - Guiding Principal and Preliminary Goals for Utilities/Community Facilities ..	72
Chapter 5 - Agricultural, Natural and Cultural Resources Element	73
Section 5.1 - Agricultural Resources	73
Section 5.2 - Natural Resources Inventory	82
Section 5.3 - Cultural Resources.....	119
Section 5.4 - Rural Vision Statement for Agricultural, Natural and Cultural Resources	120
Section 5.5 - Agricultural, Natural and Cultural Resources Issues and Conclusions.....	121
Section 5.6 - Guiding Principal and Preliminary Goals for Agricultural, Natural and Cultural Resources	123

Chapter 6 - Economic Development Element	124
Section 6.1 - Introduction	124
Section 6.2 - Population and Employment	124
Section 6.3 - Income and Earnings	131
Section 6.4 - Labor Force Overview.....	138
Section 6.5 - Overview of Portage County Firm Distribution	144
Section 6.6 - Analysis of Selected Industry Sectors	148
Section 6.7 - Future Commercial Development Location Considerations	174
Section 6.8 - Rural Vision Statement for Economic Development	193
Section 6.9 - Economic Development Issues and Conclusions	193
Section 6.10 - Guiding Principle and Preliminary Goals for Economic Development	194
Chapter 7 - Intergovernmental Cooperation Element	195
Section 7.1 - Inventory and Analysis of Intergovernmental Relationships	195
Section 7.2 - Analysis of Intergovernmental Agreements	199
Section 7.3 - Identification of Existing or Potential Issues and Conflicts with Other Governmental Units	199
Section 7.4 - Rural Area Vision Statement.....	200
Section 7.5 - Conclusions Regarding Intergovernmental Relations	201
Section 7.6 - Guiding Principle and Preliminary Goals - Intergovernmental Cooperation	202
Chapter 8 - Land Use Element	203
Section 8.1 - Existing Land Use	203
Section 8.2 - Trend Analysis: Land Use and Value.....	206
Section 8.3 - Potential Land Use Conflicts, Needs, and Solutions	209
Section 8.4 - Vision for Portage County Land Use	211
Section 8.5 - Portage County “Overall Development” Goals.....	211
Section 8.6 - General County-Wide Land Use Goals	215
Section 8.7 - Growth Assumptions and Land Capability	224
Section 8.8 - Future Land Use Recommendations.....	228
Section 8.9 - Future Land Use Categories	229
Section 8.10 - Relationship of Land Use Elements and Comprehensive Plans to Zoning and Other Factors	233
Chapter 9 - Implementation Element	234
Section 9.1 - Comprehensive Plan Adoption Procedures	234
Section 9.2 - Comprehensive Plan Implementation	236
Section 9.3 - Integration, Amendment, and Update of Comprehensive Plan Elements	239
Section 9.4 - Monitoring/Formal Review of the Plan.....	241

Maps

Map 3.1 - Portage County Functional Classification and ADT numbers 2002	39
Map 3.2 - USH 10 by-Pass Alternatives and West Side USH 10 Improvements.....	40
Map 4.1 - Utilities	50
Map 4.2 - Drainage District Boundaries and Groundwater Protection Zones	51

Map 4.3 - Corporate Utilities	54
Map 4.4 - Portage County Fire Districts	57
Map 4.5 - Portage County Library Facilities	58
Map 4.6 - School Districts	61
Map 4.7 - County Parks, Recreation Lands and Trails	68
Map 5.1 - Highly Productive Agricultural Soils	79
Map 5.2 - Pleistocene Geology	84
Map 5.3 - Bedrock Geology	85
Map 5.4 - Surficial Geology	86
Map 5.5 - Topography	87
Map 5.6 - General Soil Associations	88
Map 5.7 - Groundwater Contamination Susceptibility	93
Map 5.8 - Nitrate Map (with Most Recent Samples)	94
Map 5.8A - Nitrate Map (Samples taken before 1990)	95
Map 5.8B - Nitrate Map (Samples taken between 1990 and 2000)	96
Map 5.8C - Nitrate Map (Samples taken since 2000)	97
Map 5.9 - Atrazine Prohibition Areas	98
Map 5.10 - Hydrography	105
Map 5.11 - River Basins and Watersheds	106
Map 5.12 - Wetlands	111
Map 5.13 - Floodplains	112
Map 5.14 - Wildlife Areas	113
Map 5.15 - Forested Areas	116
Map 5.16 - Portage County Non-Metallic Mining Resources and Pits	117
Map 8.1 - General Land Use 2005	205
Map 8.2A - Development Constraints	226
Map 8.2B - Development Constraints	227
Map 8.3 - Generalized Future Land Use 2025	230

Appendices

Appendix A - Public Participation Plan	A-1
Appendix B - Portage County Survey (2001)	B-1
Appendix C - Wisconsin Statutes 59.69, 66.1001, and 16.965(4)	C-1
Appendix D - Land Evaluation and Site Assessment (LESA)	D-1
Appendix E - Threatened and Endangered Species List	E-1
Appendix F - Economic Development Study Appendices A-C	F-1
Appendix G - Portage County Planning and Zoning Committee Public Hearing Minutes and Motion on Resolution for Adoption, April 27, 2006	G-1
Appendix H - Portage County Board Ordinance for Adoption and Minutes from May 16, 2006 County Board Meeting	H-1

Introduction

Welcome to the Portage County Comprehensive Plan. This document is intended to re-focus the discussion of the community development planning process to a more County-wide perspective, stating our community's desired development goals and outlining public policies for guiding future growth and development within Portage County. It is designed to provide an over-arching framework for planning at the individual community level, to ensure that future growth is not only anticipated, but planned for. With its description of shared Vision and general community aspirations and expectations, the Plan functions as a practical guide to coordinate day-to-day decisions so they make sense in the future.

The Portage County Urban Area Comprehensive Planning Process

The Portage County Comprehensive Plan has been completed as a part of a larger, county-wide planning project. In November of 2000, the Portage County Planning and Zoning Department submitted an application for a State of Wisconsin planning grant to help fund the creation of County-wide comprehensive plans that would meet the newly enacted State Statute requirements for long range planning (see SS 66.1001). The Statute stipulates that by January 1, 2010, all towns, villages, cities, and counties that make decisions regulating land use will need to base those decisions on an adopted comprehensive plan. In January 2001 grant funds totaling \$504,000 were awarded to Portage County to assist with the project. Two separate "large area" plan documents are to be developed: an Urban Area plan which encompasses the City of Stevens Point, Villages of Park Ridge, Whiting and Plover, and a portion of the unincorporated Towns of Hull, Stockton, Plover, Linwood and Carson; and the Portage County Comprehensive Plan, which includes each of the seventeen unincorporated Towns (Alban, Almond, Amherst, Belmont, Buena Vista, Carson, Dewey, Eau Pleine, Grant, Hull, Lanark, Linwood, New Hope, Pine Grove, Plover, Sharon, Stockton) and six incorporated rural Villages (Almond, Amherst, Amherst Junction, Junction City, Nelsonville, Rosholt) that make up the rest of the County. In addition to the large area plans, the project includes the adoption of a Comprehensive Plan for each of the County's individual units of government.

In June of 2001, a committee made up of representatives from each unit of government within Portage County was appointed to draft these plan documents. The *Portage County Comprehensive Planning Joint Steering Committee* adopted a "Public Participation Plan" on July 25, 2001 (Appendix A). The Participation Plan detailed the different ways that residents of the County would be invited and encouraged to participate in the formulation of the Comprehensive Plans.

The first step in soliciting public involvement was a County-wide opinion survey, which was conducted in the second half of September 2001. Over 30,000 surveys were mailed, with an intended target of one for every household within the County. Over 6,600 of the households responded, a return rate of approximately 22% (Appendix B). The survey responses provided information on resident's feelings in the areas of: County trends and land use; housing; utilities and community facilities; agriculture, natural and cultural resources; commerce and employment; transportation; and quality of life within the County. In order to follow up and build on the information gathered in the survey, a series of Hopes and Concerns Workshops were held in various locations around Portage County. A wide variety of County residents participated in the Workshops. To include as many groups as possible, workshops were scheduled in towns and villages across the County, as well as junior high and high schools, UW-Stevens Point (with both faculty and students), and the Lincoln Center.

After completion of the Hopes & Concerns workshops all of the response information was forwarded to the Portage County Comprehensive Planning Joint Steering Committee, who used a series of meetings to boil the information down to 44 summarizing “issue statements”. This list then became the basis for a series of six visioning sessions held across Portage County during the spring of 2002. Again, all of the public input was returned to the Joint Steering Committee for review and discussion. An Urban Area Vision Statement and a Rural Vision Statement were approved in the fall of 2002. These Vision Statements were used as a foundation for the Urban Area and Rural Area Comprehensive Plans.

State Statute 66.1001 defines minimum requirements for what content should be included within a comprehensive plan. These requirements include nine “elements” or chapters describing: *issues and opportunities; housing; transportation; utilities and community facilities; agricultural, natural and cultural resources; economic development; intergovernmental cooperation, land use; and implementation.* The Portage County Plan has used the required elements as an outline for the chapters that follow.

As previously stated, this Plan was completed as part of a larger grant project. When Portage County, representing its twenty-seven constituent municipalities, made application to the State of Wisconsin for grant funding to offset costs of the project, it was required to include in its plan development process the methods that would be utilized to address a number of planning concepts detailed in the State Statutes. Section 16.965(4) of the State Statutes describes these concepts (see attached Appendix C for the complete text of this section). Listed below is the primary intent of the section:

- 4) *In determining whether to approve a proposed grant, preference shall be accorded to applications of local governmental units that contain all of the following elements:*
 - (b) *Planning efforts that contain a specific description of the means by which all of the following local, comprehensive planning goals will be achieved:*
 1. *Promotion of the redevelopment of lands with existing infrastructure and public services and the maintenance and rehabilitation of existing residential, commercial and industrial structures.*
 2. *Encouragement of neighborhood designs that support a range of transportation choices.*
 3. *Protection of natural areas, including wetlands, wildlife habitats, lakes, woodlands, open spaces and groundwater resources.*
 4. *Protection of economically productive areas, including farmland and forests.*
 5. *Encouragement of land uses, densities and regulations that promote efficient development patterns and relatively low municipal, state governmental and utility costs.*
 6. *Preservation of cultural, historic and archaeological sites.*
 7. *Encouragement of coordination and cooperation among nearby units of government.*
 8. *Building of community identity by revitalizing main streets and enforcing design standards.*
 9. *Providing an adequate supply of affordable housing for individuals of all income levels throughout each community.*

10. *Providing adequate infrastructure and public services and an adequate supply of developable land to meet existing and future market demand for residential, commercial and industrial uses.*
11. *Promoting the expansion or stabilization of the current economic base and the creation of a range of employment opportunities at the state, regional and local levels.*
12. *Balancing individual property rights with community interests and goals.*
13. *Planning and development of land uses that create or preserve varied and unique urban and rural communities.*
14. *Providing an integrated, efficient and economical transportation system that affords mobility, convenience and safety and that meets the needs of all citizens, including transit-dependent and disabled citizens.*

These 14 planning concepts are not required “elements” (chapters) of the plan, but rather are ideas or themes that need to be referenced or addressed within the text of the planning grant application and subsequent planning documents. They have been addressed within the Portage County plan, as well as the other individual comprehensive plans within the Portage County planning project.